

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF
STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 03.09.2025**

MEMBERS PRESENT:

- | | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman
STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Chairman |
| 2. Smt. Chinmayee Biswal. OAS(SAG),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Member |

1. Route:- BAGALGADA TO PURI VIA GOP, CHANDRABHAGA AND BACK
2RT, DEBARAJ BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13X4454.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Nihar Ranjan Swain, owner of vehicle No. OD05BX5886 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bagalgadia.

The applicant is directed to submit detail route map.

2. Route:- DHALAPATHARA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI), CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BANKI AND BACK, RAKESH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05A7205.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

3. Route:- KOSKA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA GEDIAPALLI, BAGHAMARI AND BACK, CHITTA RANJAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25E0415.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Shibendra Prasad Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OD051338 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



4. Route:- KABISURYA NAGAR TO ASKA (4RT) AND KABISURYA NAGAR TO POLSARA (3RT), MAHESWAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AX9447.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Manas Bhuyan, owner of vehicle No. OR07N5801 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Aska.

Sri Prafulla Bhuyan has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Aska, but no vehicle No. is given. Hence his objection is not considered.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

5. Route:- BARPALI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOUDH AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR MAHANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03F2588.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

6. Route:- SINGHEIPALI TO RENGALI, RENGALI TO SUNDARGARH AND BACK, TEJARAM SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09A9577.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Sudhira Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OD16J5384 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Sundergarh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

7. Route:- KAJALAIPALLI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DARPANARAYANPUR, BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO OLASA AND BACK, PRATIMA PRAHARAJ, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DA3956.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sk Samiuddin, owner of vehicle No. OD02AF4849 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and it violates 2(d) of OMV Rule 1993.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

8. Route:- DHAMARA TO KASAFAL VIA BALICHANDAGHAT, ANJI AND BACK, CHANDRAKANTA MALIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01BA1527.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Puspallata Sarangi, owner of vehicle No. OR01S0737 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balasore.

Rahas Bihari Mohanty, owner of vehicle No. OD22Y3332 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Balasore and one e-challan has been drawn against the applied vehicle.

Gouri Khatua, owner of vehicle No. OD281388 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Balasore.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

9. Route:- LAIDA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA REMAL AND BACK, SANJIB GANGA DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD280090.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

10. Route:- LAIDA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA REAMAL, BUDHAPAL AND BACK, SANJIB GANGA DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD280091.

The applicant was absent. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.9.

The decision of Sl. No.9 is applicable.

11. Route:- MUNIGUDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA PANIGANDA, ADAVA AND BACK, PRABHAT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ3999.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

12. Route:- SOLE TO IB THERMAL VIA KIRIMIRA, ARDABAHAL AND BACK, ROSY DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23Q6142.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

13. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BADASILINGA VIA KANTILO, GANIA AND BACK, RAMA CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CQ2014.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Shibendra Prasad Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR05AV3063 and OD051338 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

14. Route:- ADAKATA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KANTILO AND BACK, RAMA CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CQ2011.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

15. Route:- GAUDABEREDA TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA KESHPUR, BALUGAON AND BACK, ASUTOSH KHUNTIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13H0085.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Sasmita Sahoo, owner of vehicle No. OD02AC3132 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Budhamba and has not applied in vacant slot.

The application is rejected as the ownership of the vehicle has been changed and the applicant is no longer the owner of the vehicle.

16. Route:- KHARIAR TO UMARKOTE VIA JHALIPADA, CHANDAHANDI AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24L2890.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Rusimani Tandi, owner of vehicle No. OD26C5731 has filed objection and stated that Dhungiamunda is situated at Chhatisgarh and the route applied for is not an inter region route.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

17. Route:- ROURKELA TO BRAJARAJNAGAR VIA SUNDARGARH, UJALPUR AND BACK, MUKESH EKKA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16E5492.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Rakesh Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OD14AJ0979 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela and the proposed slot is not vacant.

Roshan Ara, owner of vehicle No. OD16F1991 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Sundargarh.

The application is rejected as the proposed slot is not vacant.

18. Route:- ANGUL TO BAGEDIA, ANGUL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, SANTILATA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AQ2485.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

19. Route:- KALIAPANI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SARANGI, GONDIA AND BACK, PRITAM KHATUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05D3008.

The applicant was present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

20. Route:- KHARIAR TO BOLANGIR VIA TITILAGARH, BELGAON AND BACK, TIKESWAR NANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03AD2699.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Rusimani Tandi, owner of vehicle No.OD261961 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Khariar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

21. Route:- JANGARA TO SAMBALPUR VIA BARKOTE , BALLAM AND BACK, PRADEEP KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19T3865.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Pradeep Sunil Toppo, owner of vehicle No. O14AE6041 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Deogarh.

Kalabati Mohanta, owner of vehicle No. OR16C5255 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Deogarh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

22. Route:- RAIGHAR TO DHARMAGARH VIA CHANDAHANDI, MALGAM AND BACK, SUSANT KUMAR MUDULI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AB6438.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Soumyadeep Bisoyi, owner of vehicle no. OD10Z2205 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Umarkote.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

23. Route:- PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO JAGANNATHPRASAD VIA JANKIA AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13V5485.

The applicant was present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

24. Route:- TALAKANI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PATANA, GADAMANITRI AND BACK 2R.T., BIMAL CHANDRA MATAGAJASINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AF9064.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

25. Route:- JAGAMOHANPUR TO KAMAKHYANAGAR WITH I.T. KAMAKHYANAGAR TO BENAMUNDA AND BACK, PADMANAV NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19AA1435.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Sri Lachhman Jena, owner of vehicle No. OD04B1257 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Padang in up trip and Kamakhyanagar in down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

26. Route:- KELLO TO ROURKELA VIA LACHHADA , GURUNDIA AND BACK, MAHAMMAD MAKSUD ALAM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH4016.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Iqbal Anwar, owner of vehicle No. OD14AF9982 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Husna Ara, owner of vehicle No. OD14AD5738 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

27. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO RAIGHAR VIA BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DC5127.

The applicant was present.

Harsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No. OD24N1819 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhawanipatna.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

28. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO RAIGHAR VIA BHAWANIPATANA, MOTER AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DB5127.

The applicant was present. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.27

The decision of Sl. No.27 is applicable.

29. Route:- SIMDIHA TO JHUMPURA VIA MIRIGIKHOJI, SWAMPATNA AND BACK, DHANURDDHAR MOHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AD7689.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Subrat Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05AB1782 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Swampatna.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Satyabrata Das, owner of vehicle No. OD09K6049 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Thakurmunda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

30. Route:- BARGARH TO BOUDH VIA ULUNDA AND BACK, MOHAMMED IRPHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23E3093.

The applicant was present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

31. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KAIJHAR VIA ODAGAON, JAGANNATHPRASAD AND BACK, DEBASISH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AF6059.

The applicant was present.

Nirnajan Sundaray, owner of vehicle No. OD02AK2199 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



32. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) 2 R.T. & BACK, BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ARGUL AND BACK 2RT, SASMITA PATTASINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04H1485.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

33. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CHANDABALI VIA BHADRAK BYPASS, GADI AND BACK, RADHA RANJAN SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11P3339.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

34. Route:- KOIRHA TO DASHAPALLA VIA CHAHATA, NARAJ AND BACK, TAMALA TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05V0851.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty on behalf of Prasant Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No. OD14B0574 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Barbil.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sandhyarani Choudhury, owner of vehicle No. OD04N0225 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Koirha.

Kanhu Ch. Sahoo, owner of vehicle No. OR02AK5511 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Dashapalla.

Bijay Kumar Samantray, owner of vehicle No. OD02B1611 has filed objection and stated that the halting time to be reduced at Cuttack.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

35. Route:- CHIKITI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA CHHATRAPUR, KESHPUR AND BACK, DAMAYANTI NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD026199.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalization time and availability of vacant slots.

36. Route:- RAIRANGPUR TO PARADIP VIA BALASORE, BHADRAK AND BACK, RAMESWAR MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11B1041.

Adv. P. Nanda was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

37. Route:- PURI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI), CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BHAWANIPATANA, RUSHI KUMAR PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02T5225.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as the proposed route chart is defective.

38. Route:- KULAGOJA TO ROURKELA WITH I.T. ROURKELA TO PANIASAL, ROURKELA TO SAGJORE AND BACK, GAJENDRA PRASAD SAH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH8356.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sabita Rout, owner of vehicle No. OD14AA9939 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Sarada.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Kartik Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OD04Q4502 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Nuagaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

39. Route:- BILLA TO AGARPADA VIA SARAT, BHANDAR AND BACK, MANARANJAN MOHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AD7190.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Dhanurdhar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No. OR11G3974 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Karanjia in up trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

40. Route:- KOIRHA TO DASHAPALLA VIA JATAMUNDIA, BANKI AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BK6255.

The applicant was present. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.34.

The decision of Sl. No.34 is applicable.

41. Route:- KIRIBURU TO ROURKELA VIA KOIRHA MARKET, KALTA AND BACK, ANAND KUMAR DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AD2577.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Chiranjit Mahanta, owner of vehicle No. OD09G2459 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Bholanath Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09P4888 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Rakesh Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD14AF0979 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela and violate 2(d), of OMV Rules 1993.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of vehicle No. OD14A6474 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Lahunipara and Kalta.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Ranjan Kumar Palai, owner of vehicle No. OD14AG7174 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Pravat Kishore Swain, owner of vehicle No. OD14D3474 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Koirha.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

42. Route:- SATKOSIA TO ANRA VIA CHINABALI, KAPAS PADA AND BACK, ARJUNA CHARAN BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09R3619.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

43. Route:- BALASORE TO KEONJHAR VIA BHADRAK, BONTH AND BACK, PANKAJ KUMAR SARANGI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AM4259.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena was present for the applicant.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Prafulla Chandra Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No. OD22Y7879 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Khirod Pati, owner of vehicle No. OD11C8188 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Kulamani Samal, owner of vehicle No. OD05AP0717 has filed objection and stated that the applicant has not applied in vacant slot and there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Pradeep Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No. OD22X3999 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Ratikanta Parida, owner of vehicle No. OD22M7727 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhadrak and the proposed slot is not vacant.

Adv. K. Niketan on behalf of Ajay Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No. OD01C9777 has filed objection and stated that proposed route covers two rationalised route and vehicle No. OD01A8784 is already in slot and there is clash of time at Chhenapadi.

The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

44. Route:- SERIGUMA TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA LANGIGARH, CHAMPADEIPUR AND BACK, ABHISEK HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15F8962.

The applicant was present.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Prakash Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No. OD08T3154 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhawanipatna.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

45. Route:- KARASINGH TO BERHAMPUR WITH I.T BERHAMPUR TO ASKA AND BACK, V RAMA PRASAD DORA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AX9660.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Deepak Kumar Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No. OD07AQ0737 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Buguda.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Balaram Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No. OD02CN9639 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Buguda.

Shiba Narayan Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OD15D2687 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Buguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

46. Route:- KALIMELA TO JEYPORE VIA MALKANAGIRI AND BACK, DURGA PRASAD MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10W5666.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Raj Enterprises prop. Rajkishore Sahoo, owner of vehicle No. OD04V7677 & OD26G0124 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kalimela.

The representative of OSRTC in respect of vehicle No. OD30F-1965 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Jeypore.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

47. Route:- UMARKOTE TO JAIPATANA VIA MAJHIGUDA, MAIDALPUR AND BACK, RASHMIKANTA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25F0415.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

The representative of OSRTC in respect of vehicle No.OD08Q-9522 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Umerkote.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time and increasing the halting time at terminus point.

48. Route:- BHUBAN TO BHADRAK VIA JAJPUR TOWN, JHUMPURIA AND BACK, DIGAMBER KHATUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22AB2642.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

49. Route:- DUKURA TO JATANI VIA BALASORE, SORO AND BACK, JOGENDRA NATH RAJ, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01F8944.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

50. Route:- KANTAMAL TO PAKARI VIA KANJALAGU, POKHARIBANDHA AND BACK, SAHOO DOLAGOBINDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12C1679.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

51. Route:- GOBINDAPUR TO ANGUL WITH I.T. ANGUL TO BAGEDIA 2 R.T., ANGUL TO TELIBHUIN AND BACK, SANTILATA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD196677.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Kamal Priyadarsini Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OD19Z3261 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Chhendipada, it violates 2 (d) of OMV Rule, 1993 .

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Bijay Kumar Rout, owner of vehicle No. OD05AQ7588 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Pedipathar.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

52. Route:- GOLAMUNDA TO BOLANGIR VIA BANGOMUNDA, KANTABANJI AND BACK, MADHABEEKA CHATTERJEE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03AE4500.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

53. Route:- GODIPADA TO PHULABANI VIA PAHANDA, GALLARY AND BACK, KUNI DALEI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10E6555.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291St STA resolution.

54. Route:- MUKHIGUDA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SONEPUR AND BACK, JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08N1455.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

55. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KAMALADIHA VIA BAIDYESWARA, BADAMBA AND BACK, BINODINI DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33AS7177.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Bijay Kumar Samantray, owner of vehicle No.OD02B1611 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Badamba and it covers more than 30% of rationalisation route.

Girish Kumar Samantray, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG5955 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Badamba.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

56. Route:- BOLANGIR TO PHULABANI VIA SAHAJBAHAL, JANUPANGA AND BACK, CHARTERED SPEED LIMITED, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18M7275.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. The application will be considered after receipt of report from CMD, OSRTC.

57. Route:- CHAMPI TO NARAYANPATNA VIA RAYAGADA, GUMA AND BACK, CHARTERED SPEED LIMITED, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24K6312.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. The application will be considered after receipt of report from CMD, OSRTC.

58. Route:- SAGJORE TO ROURKELA, ROURKELA TO PITAGAON AND BACK, SAIRINDHRI PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14U3871.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

59. Route:- MOHANGIRI TO KERUBADI, KERUBADI TO RAIKIA AND BACK, CHANDRAMA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12H1397.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Pinki Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OD12E9973 has filed objection and stated that it violates 2 (d) of OMV Rule, 1993 and there is clash of time at Raikia.

The application is not considered as the proposed route chart is defective.

60. Route:- RAKAM TO THAKURMUNDA VIA BAUNSULI, KENDEIPOSI AND BACK, SANJAY KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AA1032.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Subrat Kumar Dash, owner of vehicle No. OD05AB1782 has filed objection and stated there is clash of time at Patna.

Dibyajyoti Nayak, owner of vehicle No. OR09Q6556 has filed objection and stated there is clash of time at Rakam.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

61. Route:- BOLANGIR TO ROURKELA VIA SAMBALPUR AND BACK, ARPAN KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02C1897.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OD17AB-1094.

Kaplana Badapanda, owner of vehicle No.OD17AB9244 has filed objection and stated there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD17AB-1094.

62. Route:- SERAGADA TO DIGAPAHANDI 4 R.T. AND SERAGADA TO ASKA 2RT AND BACK, SRIDHAR RAUTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AY4005.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

63. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO BANPUR VIA BUDHAMBHA, KODALA AND BACK, BALARAMA PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ9639.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

64. Route:- BOLANGIR TO DAMANJODI VIA AMPANI, PAPADAHANDI AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03V3581.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

Harsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No.OD24N-1719 has filed objection and stated there is clash of time at Bhawanipatna.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

65. Route:- BOLANGIR TO DAMANJODI VIA NABARANGPUR AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03V3528.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. This is alter service of vehicle at sl. No. 64.

The decision of Sl. No. 64 is applicable.

66. Route:- KIRITMAL TO SUNDARGARH VIA BILAIMUNDA, TUMLIA AND BACK, SURESH SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16P1355.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

67. Route:- TINKBIR TO BURLA VIA MEGHAPAL , SAPLAHARA AND BACK, UDDHAB CHANDRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02W0205.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as per 301st STA resolution as the permit holder has surrendered the permit within one year.

68. Route:- BARGARH TO MACHHAGAON VIA DHENKANAL, CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, SATYAPRIYA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02V4199.

Adv. A. K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

69. Route:- PAKARI TO MUNIGUDA VIA JALESPATTA, TUMUDIBANDHA AND BACK, JEBRIYA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12A8879.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Akshya Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OD12A1919 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Tokari.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Asish Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR18B8815 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Baliguda.

Bapina Kumar Dakua, owner of vehicle No. OD12B6321 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Katagada.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

70. Route:- BARGARH TO PALASGUDA VIA LACHHIPUR, MENDA AND BACK, PRADIP KUMAR PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AE4045.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

71. Route:- BOLANGIR TO BARGARH VIA DUNGURIPALI, BARPALI AND BACK 2 RT, MADHABEEKA CHATTERJEE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03AE3600.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv S. Mishra on behalf of Minati Purohit, owner of vehicle No. OD03Y0028 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bolangir and Bargarh in both up and down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

72. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO GUTINGIA VIA PHULABANI AND BACK, SALMA SULTANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AA7857.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OR05AK-5775.

There is no objection.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

73. Route:- SULEIPAT TO UDALA VIA BANGRIPOSI, KALABADIA AND BACK, SUKRA PURTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04J1125.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Deepak Kumar Rana, owner of vehicle No.OR11E2215 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Baripada and it covers intra-region route.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Subhadra Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD11R1829 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Baripada.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Das, owner of vehicle No.OD11AJ5103 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Badampahad and it covers intra-region route.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Biplab Kumar Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD11L9048 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rairangpur.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Jayant Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No. OD11B8522 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Udala.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Swapnajit Samal, owner of vehicle No.OR11D3999 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Udala.

Deepak Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD11AH-2995 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Baripada.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

74. Route:- RAYAGADA TO DENGAPAI VIA BANDHUGAON, NARAYANPATNA AND BACK, CHARTERED SPEED LIMITED, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD20C5813.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. The application will be considered after receipt of report from CMD, OSRTC.

75. Route:- NUADIHI TO DASGHARIA VIA BASANTPUR, CHHENDIPADA AND BACK, JAYAKRISHNA SATPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19AA3019.

The applicant was present.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Dillip Kumar Acharya, owner of vehicle No.OD19Q1933 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Karlaga.

Sarbeswar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD28-7088 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Chhendipada.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

76. Route:- RAIRANGPUR TO PARADIP VIA BALASORE AND BACK, MAHESWAR MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11H9861.

Adv. P.Nanda was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.36.

The decision of Sl. No.36 is applicable.

77. Route:- JASHIPUR TO BANEI VIA KHIREITANGIRI, KEONJHAR AND BACK, SHANTANU KUMAR MAJHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AG1887.

Adv. P.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Ghanashyam Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR09Q8621 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Rakesh Ku. Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD14AA4979 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sushil Kumar Baghelbabu, owner of vehicle No.OD097872 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Karanjia, Keonjhar and Banei.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sumanta Kumar Mallik, owner of vehicle No.OD35J6351 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Baijayanti Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD095705 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Bisweswari Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD09F7585 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Turumunga.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

78. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KAMALADIHA VIA TIGIRIA, BADAMBA AND BACK, AMIT RANJAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD21B2756.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

The representative of OSRTC in of vehicle No.OD02BT-2593 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Kamaladihi.

Adv. A. K. Behera on behalf of Soubhagini Dash, owner of vehicle No.OD19H5058 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

79. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BUDHAPAL VIA SIMILIPAL, NAKTIDEOL AND BACK, SAUKUMARYA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD030842.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

80. Route:- CHARBHATA TO SAMBALPUR VIA ULUNDA, SINDOL AND BACK, MAMTA SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15G0899.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. Debasis Sahoo on behalf of Jayant Kumar Deo, owner of vehicle No.OD03D8355 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Sonapur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

81. Route:- RAIRAKHOL TO ANGUL VIA BAGEDIA, CHHENDIPADA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR RAUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD289844.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

82. Route:- CHANDRAPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA DAMADUA, ADAVA AND BACK, DEEPAK PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04M8784.

Adv. P.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Jitendra Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD32D8080 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur and it violates 2(d) of OMV Rule 1993.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Harekrushna Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD04H0684 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

S. Simanchal Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD07N6928 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Adava.

Prasanta Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD07Y9394 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

83. Route:- BAHARANA TO SATAPADA VIA CUTTACK (BADAMBADI), RASULGARH AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13Q1883.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. The application is considered.

84. Route:- BARBIL TO BAHALDA VIA CHAMPUA, GHAGRABEDA AND BACK, USHARANI MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AD0456.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sanjay Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD09F2637 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Champua.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Md. Inzemam Israr, owner of vehicle No.OD04J4545 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rairangpur.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Subal Chandra Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD11AA0973 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Jashipur in up trip and Rairangpur in down trip.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Jyoti Prakash Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD09F2737 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Barbil.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Prafulla Chandra Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR22E2199 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Jashipur.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Salimul Rahman, owner of vehicle No.OR11J6727 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Barbil.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

85. Route:- KHARIAR TO KHARIAR ROAD VIA LATHOR, LAKHNA AND BACK, SIDAM SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03J2017.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

86. Route:- NALDA TO KUCHINDA VIA LAHUNIPARA, KALEIPOSH AND BACK, ANAND KUMAR DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14E3692.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14A6474 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Lahunipara.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Prasant Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14A0074 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Barbil.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Iqbal Ahmed, owner of vehicle No.OD14AF7892 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Koirha.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Chiranjit Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09G2459 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Koirha and it violates 2(d) of OMV Rule 1993.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

87. Route:- ROURKELA TO KALIMATI VIA KALTA , KOIRHA MARKET AND BACK, SAMEER KUMAR DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16D2655.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Rakesh Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD14AG0979 and OD14T7079 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14D1774 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Chandiposh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

88. Route:- PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO GANIA VIA KALAPATHARA, FATEGARH AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MD. O.S.R.T.C, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AK4255.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Arup Kumar Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD13V5004 and OD13S5400 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Puri and Bhubaneswar and not applied in vacant slot.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Shibendra Prasad Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR05AV3063 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Gania.

The application is considered from Bhubaneswar to Gania and back instead of Puri Municipalty Bus Stand to Gania subject to verification of clash free time.

89. Route:- RARUAN TO KAMAKHYANAGAR VIA KEONJHAR, SUAKATI AND BACK, SUNANDA BHOL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AD1534.

The applicant was absent.



Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Biswasari Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD09F7585 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Sukruli in up trip and at Keonjhar in down trip.

The vehicle covers 173 kms one way but applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

90. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BANKI VIA KHURDHA, PUBUSAHI AND BACK 2 RT, LAXMIPRIYA SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BY5947.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as the proposed route chart is defective.

91. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARKOTE VIA DHENKANAL BYPASS, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, RASMITA PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05CA4015.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Laxmidhar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD05M5475 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Swagatika Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD05A5599 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Rinku Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD05BR5549 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Khamar.

Babula Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR19H4348 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kamakhyanagar.

Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD19D3777 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kamakhyanagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

92. Route:- KARANJIA TO JAJPUR ROAD RAILWAY STATION VIA TURUMUNGA, KEONJHAR AND BACK, JAGABANDHU BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AE4635.

The applicant was absent.

Manoranjan Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09Q3663 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Duburi.

Singhadri Bihari Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD14M5179 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Nirod Kumar Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD09N8030 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Duburi.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

93. Route:- JATANI TO KIRIBURU VIA KEONJHAR AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BV1981.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Rajendra Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD05BC4682 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Ghatagaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

94. Route:- BHOJPUR TO ROURKELA VIA BALANGA, KENABHETA AND BACK, SARAT CHANDRA SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AJ0374.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Susil Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD35A1021 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Banei.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Md. Noorul Haque, owner of vehicle No.OD14AG1443 and OD14Y1443 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balanga.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

95. Route:- ROURKELA TO PHIRINGIA VIA DEOGARH, RENGALBEDA AND BACK, SUSANTA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AJ0474.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Biswanath Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD15Z3430 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Raikakhole.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sumanta Kumar Mallik, owner of vehicle No.OD35E6351 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Deogarh.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sagarika Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OR14U0330 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Kalabati Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR16C5255 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time from Dalei to Deogarh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

96. Route:- NUADIHI TO GHATAGAON VIA DEOGARH, BARKOTE AND BACK, SUBRAT KUMAR BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AE2719.

Adv. P.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sumant Kumar Mallik, owner of vehicle No.OD35J6351 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Lambodar Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD28B8054 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Tinkibir.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Prasant Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD28B3952 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Deogarh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

97. Route:- POLASARA TO KOSAGUMUDA VIA RAMANAGUDA , RAYAGADA AND BACK, SOMONATH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AU2597.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

98. Route:- MANDARADA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA GOKRANPUR, BERHAMPUR AND BACK, BALARAM PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AJ9639.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Rama Chandra Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD07AZ0599 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalization time and availability of vacant slots.

99. Route:- BUGUDA TO BALIGUDA VIA KALINGA, G. UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, SIMANCHALA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CX5607.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Sibanarayan Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD32J9891 and OD02CZ9891 has filed objection and stated that the same application was rejected earlier and there is clash of time at Buguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

100. Route:- BANPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA KODALA, BUDHAMBHA AND BACK, JITENDRA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26G0838.

The applicant was present.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Santosh Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD07AN2929 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Angargaon.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Santosh Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR02AN6129 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Angargaon.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Pravat Kumar Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD07Z9255 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Berhampur.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Prasant Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD04M-8984 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Kodala

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Bachan Polai, owner of vehicle No.OD07AA0199 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur and Aska.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Amrit Prasad Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD07Q6899 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Srikant Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD07AP1173 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Rabinarayan Pattnayak, owner of vehicle No.OD07AX5863 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kodala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

101. Route:- SUMANDI TO KOTLINGI WITH INTERTRIP OF KOTLINGI TO CITY HOSPITAL AND BACK, SITUN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BT4796.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application will be considered after receipt of report from concerned RTO.

102. Route:- JASHIPUR TO ANGUL VIA OSIL, PADMAPUR AND BACK, MANASI MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09Z1469.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Lokanath Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR09N3317 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sandhyarani Choudhury, owner of vehicle No.OD04N0025 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Champua up trip and stoppages to be reduced.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Uttam Kumar Kar, owner of vehicle No.OD05BE9210 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Champua in up trip and violates 2 (d) of OMV Rule 1993.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Priyabrata Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD09B0110 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sarim Hayat, owner of vehicle No.OD09A1155 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Ghagarbeda in up trip and Angul in down trip.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Soumya Prakash Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD09AD0737 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Ghagarbeda in up trip and Angul in down trip.

Anjali Samal, owner of vehicle No.OR19J1816 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Pallahara in both up and down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

103. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO TITILAGARH VIA PADMAPUR AND BACK, S PURNA CHANDRA PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07D2029.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OD16C7025.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

104. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO CHANDILI VIA RAYAGADA AND BACK, DEEPIKA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05CA1621.

The applicant was absent.

Harsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No.OD24J7019 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Chandili.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



105. Route:- DEOGARH TO PHULABANI VIA KADALIGARH, KIAKATA AND BACK, ANIL KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BZ4453.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Choudhury Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD28A1641 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rairakhole.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

106. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO CHANDILI VIA RAYAGADA, SIMILIGUDA AND BACK, DEEPIKA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05CA1521.

The applicant was absent. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.104.

The decision of Sl. No.104 is applicable.

107. Route:- PHIRINGIA TO ROURKELA VIA DEOGARH, BALLAM AND BACK, DAMAYANTI HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AC1169.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.95.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Rakesh Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD14H1979 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The decision of Sl. No.95 is applicable.

108. Route:- SINGHASINI TO BERHAMPUR, BERHAMPUR TO KABISURYANAGAR AND BACK, JITENDRA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ3263.

The applicant was present.

Suratha Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD15A9480 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kabisuryanagar.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Keshab Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD07AQ5959 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Manas Bhuyan, owner of vehicle No.OR07N5801 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kodala.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Dhoba Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD07F8633 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kodala.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Basanta Kumar Bisoi, owner of vehicle No.OD32J1211 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur and Aska.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Ch. Laxminarayan Patro, owner of vehicle No.OD07Y-9191 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

109. Route:- RANIPADA TO BERHAMPUR VIA KODALA, BUDHAMBA AND BACK, LALAJI MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AB3565.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Santosh Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD07AN2929 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Angargaon.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Basanta Kumar Bisoi, owner of vehicle No.OD07AR1112 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Santosh Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR02AN6129 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Angargaon.

Rabinarayan Pattnayak, owner of vehicle No.OD07AX5863 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kodala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

110. Route:- TELKOI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA JORANDA, DEOGAN AND BACK, NITYANANDA SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BZ7079.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Satyanarayan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR06H2188 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Cuttack in down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

111. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BOUDH VIA SULIA , GANIA AND BACK, ADHIRAJ JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33R2757.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Simanchal Routray, owner of vehicle No.OD33T1212 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar in up trip.

Salma Sultana, owner of vehicle No.OD02H8853 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

112. Route:- CHANDANESWAR TO KHUNTA VIA BALASORE, REMUNA AND BACK, SK FARMANUJ ZAMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04D1284.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

113. Route:- ADENIGARH TO BALIGUDA, BALIGUDA TO RAIKIA AND BACK, RATIKANTA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12B2223.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Akhay Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD12G1585 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Baliguda.

The route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

114. Route:- AINTHAPALI TO SOLEGUDA VIA LAIKERA, JHARIBAHAL AND BACK, K DURGA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23P0296.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Subrat Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD17Q-5966 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Ainthapali.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

115. Route:- MOTABADI TO BERHAMPUR, BERHAMPUR TO BALIPADAR AND BACK, S. SUDARSAN PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AS9615.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Ranjit Kumar Padhy, owner of vehicle No.OD32B5152 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

S.N. Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD15D2687 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balipadar.

Suryanarayan Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD07AA1907 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

116. Route:- INDRAGADA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SHARANKULA, MACHHIPADA AND BACK, SRIDHAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25R5252.

Adv. Ramasish Acharya was present for the applicant.

Nalini Prava Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD02AU9387 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Belaguntha.

Dibya Prasanna Acharya, owner of vehicle No.OD05AH4696 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Ranjit Kumar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD34K6398 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Indragada.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

117. Route:- GUNUPUR TO THUMULRAMPUR VIA MUKUNDAPUR, RAYAGADA AND BACK, SAUMYA RANJAN PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18L3335.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

118. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO NAYAGARH VIA BUGUDA, TIKARAPADA AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34B9065.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Nalini Dalai, owner of vehicle No.OR07Y3209 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

119. Route:- POLOSARA TO KOSAGUMUNDA VIA RAYAGADA AND BACK, SOMONATH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AU2397.

The applicant was absent. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.97.

Harsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No.OD24J7019 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Jeypore.

The decision of Sl. No.97 is applicable.

120. Route:- CHIPILIMA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA RAIKAKHOL ANGUL AND BACK, DUKHISHYAM PUJARI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA3663.

The applicant was present.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

121. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO GUNUPUR VIA MOHANA AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AH5533.

The applicant was absent.

The representative of OSRTC in respect of vehicle No.OD02CT5312 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

The vehicle covers 330 kms one way but applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.



122. Route:- JASHIPUR TO ANGUL VIA OSIL, PADMAPUR AND BACK, KHIROD KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09E6030.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vhicle at Sl. No.102.

The decision of Sl. No.102 is applicable.

123. Route:- KALIPADA TO BERHAMPUR VIA CHANDIKHOLE, CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, PANCHANAN NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01P8989.

The applicant was present.

Gopa Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD01Z7567 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Bijay Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD01T4445 has filed objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Baliapal.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Rajesh Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD01K4204 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kalipada.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Dinesh Kumar Periwal, owner of vehicle No.OD013787 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kalipada.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Manasi Nanda, owner of vehicle No.OD22A2979 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Kalipada.

The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalization time and availability of vacant slots.

124. Route:- BIRASALA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA KANHEIPAL , NUAGAON AND BACK, CHAMELI PRAVA MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34H4883.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Satyanarayan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD06K8186 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

125. Route:- PATAMUNDA TO ROURKELA AND BACK, PATAMUNDA TO GUALI AND BACK, SHAHZADI BEGUM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR14Y1092.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Babita Biswal, owner of vehicle No.OD14AJ5825 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Samir Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD14R0848 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. A. K. Mohanty on behalf of Prabhat Kishore Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14AG0474 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Lahunipara.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

126. Route:- BADAGAON TO SODA VIA DEOGARH, HADAMUNDA AND BACK, SUMANTA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15H0711.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

127. Route:- MERIKOTE TO BERHAMPUR VIA MANIKAPUR, BANDHAGADA AND BACK, SIBA SANKAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BY5022.

The applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The proposed route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

128. Route:- CHAMPUA TO ROURKELA VIA RUGUDI, KOIRHA AND BACK, IQBAL AHMAD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14L5792.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. A. K. Mohanty on behalf of Prabhat Kishore Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14D3474 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Koirha.

Adv. A. K. Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14D2774 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

129. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO PARALAKHEMUNDI VIA SERAGADA, DIGAPAHANDI AND BACK, ALOK KUMAR SAMANTARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BW7757.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

130. Route:- KANTAMAL TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BHANJANAGAR, JAGANNATHPRASAD AND BACK, RAMAKANTA MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DD4386.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Basant Kumar Bisoi, owner of vehicle No.OD32B1112 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Phulbani.

The application is not considered with reference to the advertisement dated 02.08.2025.

131. Route:- BANEI TO SUNDARGARH VIA MAHULPALI ROAD, KABRIBAHAL AND BACK, ABHAYA KUMAR PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR16D9060.

The applicant was present.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

132. Route:- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO BERHAMPUR VIA CHANDRAGIRI, CHANDIPUT AND BACK, PRAMOD KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ7173.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of D. Dharma Rao, owner of vehicle No.OR05AD2788 & OD20E2788 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Mohana and it violates 2(d) of OMV Rules of 1993.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Datla Triveni, owner of vehicle No.OD216696 and OD22D5055 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Paralakhemundi.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

133. Route:- TURUMUNGA TO BHADRAK VIA MACHHAGARH, KENDUJIANI AND BACK, HARISH CHANDRA MOHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AD9078.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Dhanurdhar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD11AA6913 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda.

The vehicle covers 177 kms one way but applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

134. Route:- BANSPAL TO BADAPOKHARI VIA CHHENAPADI AND BACK, BALARAM MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AE1376.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant. It is alter service of OR09Q-9077.

The application is considered as alter service of OR09Q-9077.

135. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO DAMANJODI VIA MOHANA, J K PUR AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AW5127.

The applicant was present.

The representative of OSRTC in respect of vehicle No.OD17X0056 and OD17X0083 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

136. Route:- BIHABANDHA TO ROORKELA VIA PATAB, HATIBARI AND BACK 2 R.T., SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH1030.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty on behalf of Susanta Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OR14T6774 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Sahina Tabasun, owner of vehicle No.OD16J6031 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Saroj Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD148661 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

On verification it is found that one e-challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

137. Route:- ROURKELA TO SOLE VIA LACHHADA, JORDA AND BACK, JAPHAR HUSSAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH4528.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

138. Route:- BICHITRAPUR TO UDALA VIA AMARDA, GANDHI CHHAK AND BACK, MUNCI ABDUL SARFARAJ ALLI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07H3099.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sk.Shayanuj Zama, owner of vehicle No.OD01AR-2055 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Balasore and it violates 2(d) of OMV Rule 1993.

Adv. Subhajeet Jena on behalf of Bijay Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD0Q-7878 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Balasore.

Sk Burhamj Zama, owner of vehicle No.OD01AQ1491 has filed objection and stated that that there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. Subhajeet Jena on behalf of Kamalakanta Das, owner of vehicle No.OR05AV307 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Udala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

139. Route:- BADAMULA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BADAMBA, ANSUPA AND BACK, SMRUTIRANJAN TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BX7699.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Girish Kumar Samantray, owner of vehicle No.ODhas filed objection and stated that that there is clash of time at _.

Debasish Sarangi, owner of vehicle No.OD05AP9866 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Udala.

The application is considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

140. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DASHAPALLA, NAYAGARH AND BACK, JOGENDRA HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD31L9909.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

141. Route:- KANTABANJI TO MOTU VIA NABARANGPUR, BORIGUMMA AND BACK, JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08A1955.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Hasrsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No.OD24N1719 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Bhawanipatna.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

142. Route:- BARIPADA TO BARBIL VIA SARAT, THAKURMUNDA AND BACK, AHALYA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17K0474.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Dhanurdhar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR04L6191 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sambhunath Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD16C-7025 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Champua.

Deepak Kumar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09E7110 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Barbil.

Lokanath Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR09N3317 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Joda.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

143. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA DASHAPALLA AND BACK, JOGESWAR HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD31L9990.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.140.

The decision of Sl. No.140 is applicable.

144. Route:- MACHHAGARH TO GADAPALASUNI VIA SUAKATI, KANJIPANI AND BACK, MANJU DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09F3311.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Bailochan Barik, owner of vehicle No.ODD09AD2340 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Keonjhar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

145. Route:- MENDA TO BURLA VIA BISALPAL, MAHULPALLI AND BACK, BIBEKANANDA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V6893

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Saurya Sarangi, owner of vehicle No.OD15J7869 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Lachhipur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

146. Route:- ASKA TO DIGAPAHANDI AND BACK 3RT, G.PANDA PATRO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ6851.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

147. Route:- BASUDEBPUR TO ROURKELA VIA KOIRHA, KALTA AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AS5355.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

The representative of OSRTC in respect of vehicle No.OD01AX-7514 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Samir Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD14R0848 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Rourkela.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Kshirod Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD09F6203 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Barbil in down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

148. Route:- JARADA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KONKARADA, KATU AND BACK, SUNITA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AA7997.

Adv. K. Mohammad was present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Satyanarayan Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02BD2599 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Digapahandi.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Satyanarayan Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02BX4599 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Jarada.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Satyanarayan Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02AD5299 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Jarada.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Satyanarayan Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02R9699 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Patrapur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Satyanarayan Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02CJ1599 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Digapahandi.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

149. Route:- PODAGARH TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KAMAKHYANAGAR, KANTANALI AND BACK, ASWINI KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR06J7975.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. Jayanti Nayak on behalf of Laxmidhar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD05BL8228 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Pallahara.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Satyanarayan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH1899 & OD02AV1799 has filed objection and stated that there is more halting time at Kamakhyanagar and Bhubaneswar respectively.

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

150. Route:- KAMAKHYANAGAR TO ROURKELA VIA PALA LAHARHA, GOLABANDHA AND BACK, PRABHAT KISHORE SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AJ0774.


Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Deepak Kumar Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD14D1443 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela and there is more halting time at Rourkela.

Md Irfan, owner of vehicle No.OD14AH1368 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela and there is more halting time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

However final decision will be taken at the time of grant of permit for all the cases mentioned above from Sl. No.1 to 150.



Transport Commissioner cum Chairman
Odisha