

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF
STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 30.08.2025**

MEMBERS PRESENT:

- | | | |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 1. | Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman STA,
Odisha, Cuttack | Chairman |
| 2. | Smt. Chinmayee Biswal. OAS(SAG),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Member |

151. Route- SHARANKULA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JASHODAPUR AND BACK, NABAKISHOR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25D6060.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

152. Route- JARADA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KATU AND BACK, SUNITA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AV6669.

Adv. K. Mohammad was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.148.

The decision of Sl. No.148 is applicable.

153. Route-BISSAMCUTTACK TO BERHAMPUR VIA GADAPUR, BAMUNIGAM AND BACK, ANITA KUMARI SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ7671.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

154. Route-ATHAGARH TO BERHAMPUR VIA RAJ SUNAKHALA, RANAPUR AND BACK, ADITYA PRAKASH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26H5568.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao was present for the applicant.



Amresh Palai, owner of vehicle No.OD07AP1882 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Ranpur.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Sri Pabitra Srichandan, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH6040 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balugaon.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Srinibash Choudhury, owner of vehicle No.OD02CN-5232 filed objection stating that, the proposed route of the applicant covers two rationalised route i.e Cuttack to Narsinghpur and Bhubaneswar to Berhampur and the route from Athagarh to Badamba covers more than 30% of the Cuttack –Narsinghpur rationalised route and Tangi to Berhampur covers more than 50% of Bhubaneswar-Berhampur rationalisation route. Further there is clash of time at Badamba in up trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

155. Route- CHUDAMANIPUR TO JALESWAR VIA SANKHABHANGA, RANGAMATIA AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11B2636.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

156. Route- KUNDALA TO KEONJHAR WITH I.T. KEONJHAR TO KARANJIA, AND BACK, PRADIP KUMAR PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AD9247.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

157. Route- UDALA TO ANGUL VIA ANANDAPUR AND BACK, MARKANDA CHARAN BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AD6599.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant and stated that it is alter service of vehicle No.OD05G-7155.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No. OD05G-7155.

158. Route- BALASORE TO BOLANGIR VIA ANGUL, RAIRAKHOL AND BACK, SARASWATI DEVI PERI WAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01W3787.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv MBK Rao on behalf of Smt. Puspanjali Gaana, owner of vehicle No.OD01Y1155 filed objection stating that, the applicant has not applied in slot time and there is clash of time from Balasore to Rairakhole.

Rajesh Periwal, owner of vehicle No.OR01S-7187 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash at Balasore.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

159. Route- NIMAPADA TO PARADIP VIA GALADARI, DEBIDOLA AND BACK, SUSANTA KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD21F1288.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Sri Gourahari Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05AF-0778 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Jagatsinghpur.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

160. Route- BURLA TO PHULBANI, VIA-SONEPUR, MANAMUNDA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR AGARWALLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05S4003.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

161. Route- PADAMPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA CHARICHHAK, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, GOBINDA DORA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33B5225.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.



Adv MBK Rao on behalf of S. Reena Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD07AF5888 and OD07AF6928 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

162. Route- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO GUDARI VIA GUNUPUR, UKKAMBA AND BACK, SIMANCHAL MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18P2979.

Applicant is absent.

Adv MBK Rao on behalf of E. Vaikuntha Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD18W4689 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Paralakhemundi.

Adv MBK Rao on behalf of D. Venkata Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD18B3744 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Gunupur.

On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

163. Route- BANKI TO SIJUA WITH I.T. SIJUA TO CUTTACK AND CUTTACK TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, SANDHYA RANI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CZ7556.

The applicant was absent.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to coverage of rationalized route as per 303rd STA resolution.

164. Route- BIHABANDHA TO ROURKELA VIA BIRMITRAPUR, GOBIRA WITH I.T. ROURKELA TO KONMENDRA(2RT) AND BACK, ZAFER AHSAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AJ1136.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Sri Sanni Kumar Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD14AG9444 submitted objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Rourkela. He also stated that the proposed route is intra-region route.

Adv. A.K.Mohanty on behalf of Pravat Kishore Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14A1774 submitted objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Biramitrapur.

Alok Kumar Gupta, owner of vehicle No.OR14X5058 and OD14H5659 plying as alter service submitted objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Rourkela. However the permit of both the vehicles has expired, hence the objection is invalid.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

165. Route- ROURKELA TO BARBIL VIA RAKSHI, KALTA AND BACK, SANJAY KUMAR SATPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AJ1679.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sobharani Jaiswal, owner of vehicle No.OD14E7457 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash of time from Koirha to Rourkela.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Samir Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD14X8569 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

166. Route- KUSANGA TO GOCHHABARI VIA KHAMAN, CHADEIPALI AND BACK, SURYAMANI DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25C5707.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

167. Route- GAURANGAPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SAGADABHANGA, PATANA AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR CHHUALSINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DD7335.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

168. Route- BALIGUDA TO RAYAGADA VIA BANDAPIPILI, KUTRAGADA AND BACK, SUJIT KUMAR BISOYI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02X1855.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

169. Route- KHANDADHAR TO KONARK VIA ANANDAPUR, JAJPUR ROAD AND BACK, PRALAYA KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BW7406.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sujit Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD33AR7857 filed objection that there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Bhubaneswar and the slot is not vacant Bhubaneswar Konark rationalization route.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Uttam Kumar Kar, owner of vehicle No.OD05BQ0155 filed objection that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar in down trip.

The proposed slot is not vacant, hence the application is rejected.

170. Route- KHANDADHAR TO KONARK VIA ANANDAPUR AND BACK, BHAGYALAXMI PATTANAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BX2895.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.169.

The decision of Sl. No.169 is applicable.

171. Route- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ANALA VIA BHAGATPUR, DEOGAN AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA MAHARANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BJ0370.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

172. Route- BADAMBA TO BELGUNTHA VIA. NAYAGARH, JAGANNATHPRASAD AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25G3814.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Umarani Mishra, owner of vehicle No. OR02AF0505 filed objection saying that, there is clash of time at Nayagarh.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Rajak Khan, owner of vehicle No. OR02AN8992 filed objection saying that, there is clash of time at Odagaon.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of SK Masud Hossen, owner of vehicle No. OD02AB7711 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Odagon.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Sajid Mallik, owner of vehicle No. OR281716 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Khandapada.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Dharanidhar Panda, owner of vehicle No. OD25N9634 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Nayagarh. He also objected that there is excessive halting time at mid stoppages like Nayagarh, Odagaon etc.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

173. Route- ASKA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KABISURYANAGAR , BUDHAMBA AND BACK, PURNA CHANDRA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13L3375.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao was present for the applicant.

Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No. OD16D2601 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Budhamba.

The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalization time and availability of vacant slots.



174. Route- KASHINAGAR TO RAYAGADA VIA GUNUPUR, GUMUDA AND BACK, NABIN KISHOR PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18Q2799.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of E. Vaikuntha Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD18M4689 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Gumuda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

175. Route- BARGARH TO KHUNTIGORA VIA BINIKA, MAHADEVPAI AND BACK, SUNIL PADHEE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09F6194.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Pradeep Kumar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD15G7946 submitted objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bargarh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

176. Route- KOIRHA TO SAMBALPUR VIA KANDHAL, DEOGARH AND BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15M2321.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Pradeep Sunil Toppo, owner of vehicle No.OD14AE6041 submitted objection stating that, there is clash of time at Sambalpur.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Prasant Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD05AS5355 submitted objection stating that, there is clash of time at Koirha.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

177. Route- SAMBALPUR TO BARBIL VIA BARKOTE, BAHADAPOSI AND BACK, ROMANCHA RANJAN BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28A0526.

Adv. K. Mohammad was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Prasant Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD14A0074 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Barbil.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD15AD0526.

178. Route- KARANJAMALA TO GHATGAON VIA BHADRAK, BARAPADA AND BACK, SATYABRATA SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22AB1555.

Adv. P. K Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Duryodhan Bhoi, owner of vehicle No. OD22U5391 filed objection stating that there is clash at Ghatgaon and the proposed slot is not vacant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Kulamani Samal, owner of vehicle No.OD09M0717 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Ghatagaon.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Debadutta Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD22Z1347 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhadrak in down trip.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Smt. Kabita Das, owner of vehicle No.OD048157 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bideipur.

Sri Pradyumna Lenka, owner of vehicle No.OR22A2487 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balimed.

The application is considered subject to coverage of rationalized route as per 303rd STA resolution.



179. Route- ROURKELA TO CHANDANPOKHARI VIA KHUNTUNI, CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BJ8942.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter of Sl. No.180

There is no objection. On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

180. Route- ROURKELA TO CHANDANPOKHARI VIA KHUNTUNI, CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BJ8943.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter of vehicle at Sl. No.179.

There was no objection. The application is considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slots.

181. Route- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO RAYAGADA VIA G.UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, ADHIRAJ JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02C8810.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OD02C-8710.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Pratyusha Kumar Parida, owner of vehicle No.OD02BL0699 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Upendra Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD02BB8199 and OD02AP8199 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Muniguda respectively.

DTM, OSRTC owner of vehicle No.OD05BA7205 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Cuttack.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.



182. Route- HARIHAR CHHACK TO KOMANDA VIA KALAPATHARA, BHAPUR AND BACK, NIHARIKA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10C2442.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

183. Route- BHEDA TO PURI VIA CHHANAGIRI, JANKIA AND BACK, SAGARIKA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10B9494.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to coverage of rationalized route as per 303rd STA resolution.

184. Route- BHAWANIPATANA TO JEYPORE VIA AMPANI, MAIDALPUR AND BACK, MR JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08X0578.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Sri Harsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No.CG17KY6219 and CG17KY6319 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Junagarh to Nabrangpur.

Sri Sujit Kumar Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD24G5303 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Nabrangpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

185. Route- KANTABANJI TO MOTU VIA NABARANGPUR AND BACK, JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08C3499.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Sri Harsh Gupta, owner of vehicle No.OD24N1719 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Koksara.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



186. Route- ASKA TO BERHAMPUR (2 RT) WITH IT ASKA TO BAHADAJHOL AND BACK, RADHAKANT SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19F4798.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Suryanarayan Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD07AE-1907 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Aska.

Sri S.N.Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OD02CZ-9891, OR07T0840, OD07AQ-9891 and OD32J-9891 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Belaguntha.

Rosalin Mishra, owner of vehicle No. OR07U1907 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

187. Route- RAJGANGPUR TO ROURKELA, VIA-KANSABAHAL WITH IT ROURKELA TO LAIMURA AND ROURKELA TO RAJGANGPUR AND BACK, MAHAMMAD ZAKIR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16N1981.

Adv. Ramasish Acharya was present for the applicant.

Sri Indrajit Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD16N7999 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Rourkela to Rajgangpur.

Sri Kamal Sharma, owner of vehicle No.OD16P7555 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Rajgangpur to Rourkela.

Sri Kamal Sharma, owner of vehicle No.OR16E1555 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Rourkela to Rajgangpur.

The route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

188. Route- LAIKERA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA ANGUL AND BACK, BIKASH MAHAKUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15R7291.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slots.

189. Route- UTUNIA TO ROURKELA VIA DEOGARH, BALLAM AND BACK, UMASHANKAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28A3838.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Smt. Babita Biswal, owner of vehicle No.OD14AJ5825 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time from Bahadaposi to Rourkela and it violates Rule 2(d) of OMVR, 1993.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Deepak Kumar Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD14D1443 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Rourkela and it violates Rule 2(d) of OMVR, 1993.

Sri Nabin Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD28A9624 and OD28A5445 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Deogarh. He also stated that the time applied by the applicant also clashes with time of his vehicle No.OD28B-2787 at Rourkela.

Md. Irfan, owner of vehicle No.OD14AH1368 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Smt. Gayatri Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD14AA1098 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification or clash free time.

190. Route- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO RAJGANGPUR VIA KHAMAR, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, M/S SHYAM COMMERCIALS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH4721.

Adv. P.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Surendra Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02AS1657 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

191. Route:- EKMA TO KUCHINDA, VIA-SUNDERGARH, BARGAON AND BACK
DILLIP CHAKRABARTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15G2984.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Nehal Ahmad, owner of vehicle No.OD16A7219 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Sundergarh and Bargaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

192. Route:- UADAYPUR TO BARIPADA VIA RAJGHAT, AMARDA STATION AND BACK, SARAT CHANDRA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05S5377.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Sribash Chandra Giri, owner of vehicle No.OD11B2171 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash of time at Baripada and Chandaneswar stoppage is not mentioned.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Sri Subhransu Kar, owner of vehicle No.OD01AJ5534 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Udaipur.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Sanjit Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD11AH6842 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Baripada.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Deepak Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD11D6156 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Baripada and some important stoppages like Chandaneswar, Thanachhak has not been mentioned.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

193. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO MANATRI VIA SORO, BALASORE AND BACK, MR BIJAY KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01G8195.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena was present for the applicant.



Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Narayan Chandra Pradhan vehicle No.OD22A-6996 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Bhadrak to Bhubaneswar.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Pratik Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD11AH4455 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore and slots are not vacant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Satyanarayan Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05AP-5484 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhadrak to Balasore in down trip.

The application is rejected as the proposed slot not vacant.

194. Route:- KALYANSINGHPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA PADMAPUR, MOHANA AND BACK, SABITA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BV5127.

The applicant is absent.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

195. Route:- CHANDAHANADI TO TITILAGARH VIA KARLIKARMAT, KARLA AND BACK, AJIT KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08Y9902.

Adv. J.N.. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification or clash free time.

196. Route:- JURAPALI TO SUBDEGA VIA BAMURA, BARGAON AND BACK, DILLIP CHAKRABARTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16K0512.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

197. Route:- BHANJANAGAR TO INDRAVATI VIA MOHANA, RAYAGADA AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD32G2998.

The representative of OSRTC was present. It is alter service of vehicle No.OD32C-4172.

There is no objection. The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD32C-4172.

198. Route:- BELTUKURI TO MUKHIGUDA VIA SINAPALI AND BACK, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BC6646.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

199. Route:- GANDROTOLI TO BAMURA AND BACK, DILLIP CHAKRABARTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16L1952.

Adv. H.P..Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The vehicle is not idle as one application for TP to PP is pending, hence the application is rejected.

200. Route:- MALIDIHI TO ROURKELA WITH I.T. ROURKELA TO GAILO, ROURKELA TO BADADALKI AND BACK, HUSNA ARA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH0902.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Japhar Hussain, owner of vehicle No.OD02V7699 and OD14U-6919 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash of time at Rourkela and Gailo respectively.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time

201. Route:- ROURKELA TO GUNDIADIHI VIA TANGARGAON , SUBDEGA AND BACK, PRABHA TOPPO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16N1857.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Jyoti Manjari Patel, owner of vehicle No.OD16K2214 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Sundergarh and not applied in vacant slot.

The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

202. Route:- BELTUKURI TO MUKHIGUDA VIA SINAPALI, HATIBANDHA AND BACK, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BC5201.

The representative of OSRTC was present. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.198.

The decision of Sl. No.198 is applicable.

203. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO RAJGANGPUR VIA KHAMAR AND BACK, RAMESH SECURITY SERVICES PVT LTD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AG4721.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

204. Route:- PHULABANI TO ROURKELA VIA NAKTIDEOL, REAMAL AND BACK, ANISH KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Y5979.

Adv. P.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Biswanath Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD155956filed objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Phulbani.

On verification it is found that e-Challans U/s. 192(A) have been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

205. Route:- PADAMPUR TO BODEN VIA MANDADSILI, DARLIMUNDA AND BACK, GANGADHAR PATTANAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD246229.

The applicant was absent.

Subodh Kumar Badapanda, owner of vehicle No.OD17H9244 filed objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Paikamal.

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

206. Route:- SAMASINGH TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BUDHAPAL , CHHENDIPADA AND BACK, BIKASH MAHAKUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V7291.

The applicant was absent.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

207. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHUBAN VIA HARIPUR, SORISAPADA AND BACK, KUNJABIHARI NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28A5388.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Prakash Chandra Maharana, owner of vehicle No.OR04M-4925 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Cuttack.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

208. Route:- ARILO TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA ORIKANTA, SHISHUA AND BACK, PRADIPTA KUMAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09F5399.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The applied slot is not vacant. Hence the application is rejected.

209. Route:- KALAMGADIA TO ALADIHA VIA PANCHALINGESWAR , NILAGIRI AND BACK, GOPANARAYAN DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09Q9266.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Pratima Das, owner of vehicle No.OR01S4515 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore in down trip and there is more halting time at Balasore.

Suryakanta Nanda, owner of vehicle No.OD019779 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Baliapal.

Harekrushna Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD09C-2918 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Panchalingeswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

210. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO ROURKELA VIA SAMAL AND BACK, FELIX MINZ, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16L0904.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OD14Y-0475.

There was no objection. The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD14Y-0475.

211. Route:- JEYPORE TO KATAGAM VIA KUSUMI, KOTPAD AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10F8155.

The applicant is absent.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

212. Route:- JAMANKIRA TO ROURKELA VIA SAMASINGH, BADBAHAL AND BACK, RAMESHT SECURITY SERVICES PVT LTD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14Z4721.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Moahnty on behalf of Jayanti Patel, owner of vehicle No.OD15W4219 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P. Moahnty on behalf of Sri Mukesh Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD16H7899 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The applicant is directed to submit the detail route map. After receipt of the same decision will be taken.

213. Route:- ASKA TO BALIMELA (BALIMELA JN) VIA RAYAGADA, LAXMIPUR AND BACK, PURNABASHI ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DE8822.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

214. Route:- MARDAKOTA TO PURI MUNICIPALITY VIA PRATAP , BANPUR AND BACK K, BIRENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK8397.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Anupama Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD02BA9329 and OD05M-7777 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Banapur.

On verification it is found that e-Challans U/s. 192(A) have been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

215. Route:- PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO DIGAPAHANDI VIA RAMESWAR , PALURU JN AND BACK, KAMARAJ BALIARSINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13X5557.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection.

On verification it is found that e-Challans U/s. 192(A) have been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

216. Route:- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO NABARANGPUR VIA RAYAGADA AND BACK, KONDA SRINIVAS RAO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18P8649.

Adv. J. N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of K. Ramadevi, owner of vehicle No.OD20B7586 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Gunupur and it violates 2(d) of M.V. Rules 1993.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of K. Jagadisha Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD20A1269 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Rayagada and it violates 2(d) of M.V. Rules 1993.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Chandrasekhar Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD10D6199 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Nabarangpur.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sunil Kumar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD10V1114, OD10X1114 and OD24J1114 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Jeypore.

Dhiraj Kumar Turuk, owner of vehicle No.OD10M-5985 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Koraput.

The representative of DTM, OSRTC, Koraput owner of vehicle No.OD10A9387 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Gunupur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

217. Route:- KUNIGADDA TO PADWA VIA GOVINDAPALI, BOIPARIGUDA AND BACK, RAM DAS NIRIKI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AZ4251.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Bana Bihari Tripathy, owner of vehicle No.OD24G2691 and OD244919 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Chitrakonda and Boipariguda.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Santosh Kumar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD102005 and OD10Y7774 has filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Boipariguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

218. Route:- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO NABARANGPUR VIA J K PUR , RAYAGADA AND BACK, K SUSHRI SANGITA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18Q8649.

Adv. J. N. Mohanty was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.216.

The decision of Sl. No.216 is applicable.

219. Route:- SOLEGUDA TO IB THERMAL VIA SAHASPUR, LAIKERA AND BACK, SUDHANSU SEKHAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23S1821.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

220. Route:- ASKA TO BALIMELA VIA RAYAGADA AND BACK, PANCHANAN ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02DE9911.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.213.

The decision of Sl. No.213 is applicable.

221. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO TIRING VIA ANANDAPUR AND BACK, AHWAN PATTANAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AD8757.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter service of Sl. No.OD14F-1111.

There is no objection. The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No. OD14F-1111.

222. Route:- SURIAGODA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BARIPADA, BALASORE AND BACK, TAPAN KUMAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AC4415.

Adv. A. K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Deepak Karmakar, owner of vehicle No.OD11J0999 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time from Jharadihi to Rairangpur.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Sumit Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD11AG0299 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Bahalda.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Sailendra Kumar Mandal, owner of vehicle No.OD11AF1789 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Bahalda.

Tushar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD11H7299 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Rairangpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

223. Route:- DERANGA TO ROURKELA, VIA-KANIHA, PALLAHARA AND BACK, RAGHUNANDAN ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14J8944.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Sandhyarani Satpathy, owner of vehicle No.OR25B6348 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Samal.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

224. Route:- BALASORE TO BOLANGIR VIA CUTTACK (BADAMBADI), BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, SANJEEB KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02Z6757.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter service of Sl. No.OD14N-8500.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Baaz Mohammad Khan, owner of vehicle No.OD168325 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Bhadrak to Balasore.

Rajesh Periwal, owner of vehicle No.OR01S-7187 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Saraswati Devi Periwal, owner of vehicle No.OD01W3787 filed objection stating that, she is a co-applicant at Sl. No.158.

The application is not considered as the vehicle No. OD14N-8500 is not plying on the route as proposed by the applicant. Further the vehicle No. OD14N-8500 has been granted permit as alter service of OR01S7187.

225. Route:- SURIAGODA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA BARIPADA AND BACK, TAPAN KUMAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11J4415.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.222.

The decision of Sl. No.222 is applicable.



226. Route:- UADAYPUR TO NALAGAJA AND BACK, UDAYPUR TO PARKHI AND BACK, PURNENDU KAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01BB1813.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sk. Shayanuj Zama, owner of vehicle OD01AR2055 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Udaipur to Jaleswar and it violates 2(1) f(i) of Rule 1993.

Sri Sribash Chandra Giri owner of vehicle no. OD11B2171 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Nalagaja, Rasgobindapur & Mayurbhanj.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

227. Route:- KANTILO TO BHUSANDESWAR VIA SORO, BALASORE AND BACK, PRAKASHA CHANDRA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02C0972.

The applicant was absent.

Santa Ranjan Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD05E8409 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Kantilo.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sk Farmanuj Zama, owner of vehicle No.OD02DA6727 filed objection stating that, the up trip slot is not vacant.

The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

228. Route:- JHARADIHI TO KOENJHAR VIA CHADHEIBHOL, TURUMUNGA AND BACK, KISHORE CHANDRA MAJHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09N7137.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Nabin Kishore Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD05D0735 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Rairangpur and exact clash of time at Jashipur.



Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Judhisthir Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD09M7445 and OD09Z5759 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Jashipur and Raruan in down trip.

The vehicle is of 01/2011 model, hence the application is rejected for the safety of the travelling public.

229. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO GOCHHA VIA BALIPADAR , GAHANGU AND BACK, SIMANCHAL ROUTRAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33AA8759.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Niranjan Sundaray, owner of vehicle No.OD02AK5199 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

On verification it is found that e-Challans U/s. 192(A) have been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

230. Route:- BHUSAN TO ANGUL VIA RANIAKATA, SANJAMURA AND BACK, PRANABANDHU SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19G3154.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

231. Route:- MAHULPADA TO LAIDA VIA SARSARA, LAIMURA AND BACK, DEBANANDA KISAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15E8411.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

232. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO PALURU JN VIA BRAHMAGIRI , SATAPADA AND BACK, ANUP KUMAR PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13H9077.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Sri Hrudananda Dash, owner of vehicle No.OD02BH6611 has filed objection stating that, the proposed slot is not vacant.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot in up trip from Cuttack. In down trip it will be considered subject to verification of clash free time from Puri.

233. Route:- BOLANGIR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA DASHAPALLA, NAYAGARH AND BACK, PRITHWIRAJ MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AV1326.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

234. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO NAYAGARH VIA BUGUDA, KARACHULI AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07BA6354.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Balaram Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD07AM9639 and OD07Q7875 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Berhampur and Nayagarh respectively.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sk Maisud Hossen, owner of vehicle No.OD02AB7711 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Nayagarh.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sk Khairum Nisha, owner of vehicle No.OR02AS0566 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Nayagarh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

235. Route:- GARPOSH TO ROURKELA VIA DUBKU , RAJGANGPUR AND BACK, MOHAMMAD ZAFIR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23J1446.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sukhjinder Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD16M4334 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Rajgangpur.

Swagat Sarangi, owner of vehicle No.OD16M7783 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.



Sabita Kumari Sabat, owner of vehicle no. OD14B5800 filed objection that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

On verification it is found that e-Challans U/s. 192(A) have been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

236. Route:- JALESWAR TO KEONJHAR VIA UDALA, SARAT AND BACK, ANIRUDHA ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01BB9192.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Bhanwarlal Periwai, owner of vehicle No.OD01AY3666 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. K.Niketan on behalf of Ajay Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD01C9777 filed objection that, the proposed slot is not vacant and it covers 34% of rationalised route.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Nirmal Chandra Majhi, owner of vehicle No. OD19J0774 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Karanjia.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Indramani Choudhury, owner of vehicle No.OD11K1231 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Udala.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Subhranshu Sekhar Pallai, owner of vehicle No.OD01D9891 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Gandhi Chhak.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Jainaseni Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD01AT8687 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Punyabit Janmejaya Adhikari owner of vehicle no OD11H9879, filed objection that, there is clash of time at Udala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

237. Route:- BURLA TO BAGEDIA VIA TILEIBANI , DEOGARH AND BACK, SUBASH KUMAR PRUSTI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B2600.

Adv. A. K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

238. Route:- ROURKELA TO GADAPALASUNI VIA BARKOTE, BAHADAPOSI AND BACK, BABITA BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR14X8000.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Kailash Chandra Dwibedi, owner of vehicle no. OD35D6406 filed objection stating that there is exact clash at Pallahara in down trip.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Priyabrata Barik, owner of vehicle no. OD09A9599 filed objection stating that there is clash at Telkoi.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty, on behalf of Pravat Kishore Swain, owner of vehicle no. OR14S2674 filed objection stating that there is clash at Rourkela and it violates 2 (d) of OMV Rules.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

239. Route:- BANIGOCHHA TO TIKABALI WITH I.T. TIKABALI TO BRAHMANPADA (3RT) AND BACK, USHARANI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G6115.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty, on behalf of Bilasini Sahu, owner of vehicle no. OD12C4898 filed objection stating that there is clash at Brahmanpada.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty, on behalf of Sasmita Pradhan, owner of vehicle no. OD02CM5607 filed objection stating that there is clash at Tikabali.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty, on behalf of Aswini Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OR02AK2669 filed objection stating that there is clash at Tikabali.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



240. Route:- CHACKSULIAPADA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA BETNOTI AND BACK, PANCHANAN NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01G8177.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OR01S-6561.

Adv. Subhajeet Jena, on behalf of Bijay Kumnar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no OD01T4445 filed objection stating that there is clash at Balasore.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OR01S-6561.

241.Route:- GHANTIALI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PANIKOILI , KUAKHIA AND BACK, SK. NURUDDIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34Q8759.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. M.B.K.Rao, on behalf of Asish Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OD05BM5567 filed objection stating that there is violation of ground rule and proposed slot is not vacant.

Hrusikesh Panda, owner of vehicle no. OD04C6627 filed objection stating that there clash of time at Ghantiali and the proposed slot is not vacant.

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

242. Route:- KALIAPANI TO BHUBANESWAR & BHUBANESWAR TO KAMASKHYANAGAR & BACK, SURYAKANTA LENKA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD06B6015.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Santosh Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle no. OR05AC6122 filed objection stating that there is clash at Cuttack.

Ganeswar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OR06G3399 filed objection stating that there is clash at Kamakhyanagar.

Babula Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OR19B5521 filed objection stating that there is clash at Kamakhyanagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

243. Route:- LIMA TO UMARKOTE VIA BORIGUMMA , KALIAGUDA AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24M8643.

Adv. K. Mohammad was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

244. Route:- MALIDIHI TO ROORKELA, ROORKELA TO BADADALKI 2 RT AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23F1188.

The applicant was absent.

Md Maksud Alam, owner of vehicle no. OR280641 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Badalaki and Birida and excess halting time at Birida.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

245. Route:- DHENKANAL TO BERHAMPUR VIA RAJ SUNAKHALA , RANAPUR AND BACK, ADITYA PRAKASH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BZ1171.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for applicant.

Adv. Md. Kadar on behalf of Bimal Shankar Singhdeo, owner of vehicle no. OD02W7003 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Berhampur to Athagarh and it covers more than 30% of rationalisation route.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Srinibash Choudhury, owner of vehicle no. OD02BH0932 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rajsunakhala and it covers more than 50% of rationalisation route.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

246. Route:- PURI MUNICIPALITY BUS STAND TO SORADA VIA BALUGAON , KHALIKOTE CHHAKA AND BACK, BHABANI PRASAD SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13Y7199.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of B. Ashok Kumar Reddy, owner of vehicle no. OR07T9995 & OD07E2995 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Soroda to Aska in both up and down trip.

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

247.Route:- SORADA TO DARINGBADI WITH I.E. DARINGIBADI TO BALIGUDA 3 R.T VIA. GAJALBADI, BALIGUDA AND BACK, RAKESH KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12C4497.

The applicant was absent.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

248. Route:- RAIGHAR TO ANGUL VIA BHAWANIPATANA , KESINGA AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08F5388.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

249. Route:- RAIGHAR TO ANGUL VIA BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, BIJAYA KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08F5288

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.248.

The decision of Sl. No.248 is applicable.

250. Route:- BIHABANDHA TO KONMENDRA VIA SALANGABAHAL AND BACK, SUDHIR LAKRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AH9406.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty, on behalf of Pravat Kishore Swain owner of vehicle no. OR14U6774 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash at Biramitrapur.

Md. Kamaluddin, owner of vehicle no. OR14X2065 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash at Rourkela.

Kishore Chandra Mohanty, owner of vehicle no. OD14H5576 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Salangabahal .

Sanjaya Kumar Singh, owner of vehicle no. OR14U0155 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Rourkela.

Komal Sharma, owner of vehicle no. OD16K9355 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Salangabahal.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

251. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CHAUDAKULATA VIA KUAKHIA, BARUAN AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AX0584.

Applicant was present.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Sri Bikash Chandra Mallik owner of vehicle no. OD05BR2965 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slots.

252. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO AKHANDALAMANI VIA KUAKHIA , BARUAN AND BACK, ARATI PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02Z7484

Applicant was present.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Sri Bikash Chandra Mallik owner of vehicle no. OD05BR2965 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar, Akhandalamani in down trip and there is 57 minutes halting at Jajpur Town.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slots.

253. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO PHULABANI VIA RAJ SUNAKHALA, NAYAGARH AND BACK, MUKESH CHANDRA SAMANTARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BA1497.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Prasanna Kumar Choudhury, owner of vehicle no. OD05AF9647 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Upendra Pradhan, owner of vehicle no. OD02DF8199 filed objection stating that the application may kindly be rejected as it covers Nayagarh route.

The application is not considered with reference to the advertisement dated 02.08.2025.

254. Route:- HANDIBHANGA TO RANGRA VIA JODA, BARBIL AND BACK, MITHILA MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09A9277.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Lokanath Sahoo owner of vehicle no. OR09N3317 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Joda.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of vehicle no. OD14A6474 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash of time at Koirha.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

255. Route:- UTTARA CHHHAK TO ANGUL VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA), KHURDHA AND BACK, LINMAYEE MOHARANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33V0367.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Dhaneswar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OD02AV9646 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Shibendra Prasad Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OD051338 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Narsinghpur and the applied stoppages i.e. Uttara Chhak, Master Canteen, Bhubaneswar come under BMC.

Niladri Mohapatra, owner of vehicle no. OR02AP2459 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Kantilo.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding and if found eligible the route to be granted is Bhubaneswar (Baramunda) to Angul.



256. Route:- KORAPUT RAILWAY STATION TO KALIMELA VIA BOIPARIGUDA, GOVINDAPALI AND BACK, SUDIP KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10AB4814.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for applicant.

C. R Patra, owner of vehicle no. OD30F6119 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Kalimela.

DTM, OSRTC, Malkangiri, owner of vehicle no. OD18M7266 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of time from Govindapali to Koraput.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

257. Route:- BHABARADA TO BERHAMPUR WITH I.T. BERHAMPUR TO BUGUDA AND BACK, S SIMANCHAL PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AY3494.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Dipak Kumar Padhy, owner of vehicle no. OD16B2731 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Aska.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Sasmita Dalai, owner of vehicle no. OD07AJ4860 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Berhampur.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Keshab Sahu, owner of vehicle no. OD07AQ5959 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Aska.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Basant Kumar Bisoi, owner of vehicle no. OD32J1211 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Berhampur.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Suryanarayan Panigrahi, owner of vehicle no. OD07AE1907 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Buguda.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of B. Ashok Kumar Reddy, owner of vehicle no. OR07T9995 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Berhampur.



On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

258. Route:- KARANJHADIA TO HARICHANDANPUR VIA BONTH , CHHENAPADI CHHAK AND BACK, SHYAMSUNDAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22AA5741.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty, on behalf of Kulamani Samal, owner of vehicle no. OD05AP0717 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash at Anandapur and there is no vacant slot.

Prafulla Kar owner of vehicle no. OD09E4861 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash at Bonth.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Ganesh Chandra Jena owner of vehicle no. OR13G6611 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Ghatagaon in down trip.

The application is considered as subject to verification of clash free time.

259. Route:- BAMBUA TO ROURKELA WITH I.T. ROURKELA TO SAGJORE, ROURKELA TO PITAL TWO R.T & BACK, LAXMAN TANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AG1922.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty on behalf of Tara Mahanto, owner of vehicle no. OR14P4879 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Bambua.

Sikha Gope owner of vehicle no. OD14C6594 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Rourkela.

The application is considered as subject to verification of clash free time.

260. Route:- TERAGAON TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KORUA, NARENDRAPUR AND BACK, PRITAM KHATUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05A3308.

The applicant was present.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Sri Manoranjan Panda owner of vehicle no. OR05AD3406 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Tikanpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

261. Route:- PURI TO TAPARIA VIA RAIKAKHOL AND BACK, CHANDRA GUPTA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16D2525.

The applicant was absent.

There was no objection. The application is rejected as the proposed slot is not vacant.

262. Route:- BHAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR WITH I.T BHUBANESWAR TO BALUGAON AND BACK, SURESH SAMANTASINGHAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK2582.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sabitri Pattnaik, owner of vehicle no. OD02BK3857 has filed objection stating that the stoppage Tangi is not given and it is an ordinary service but stoppages are express.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Anil Kumar Jena owner of vehicle no. OD02BY2131 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar at terminus halting time is only 2 minutes.

The application will be considered subject to verification of clash free time and more halting time than proposed at terminus. The applicant is directed to submit the detail route map.

263. Route:- BHUSANDESWAR TO UADAYPUR WITH I.T. UDAYAPUR TO UDALA AND BACK, DINAKRUSHNA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01BC4334.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty, on behalf of Anil Kumar Kar owner of vehicle no. OD01C4180 has filed objection stating there is clash at Udala & Kaptipada .

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Nilopher Nasreen , owner of vehicle no. OD01Q5755 and SK. Samsh Tabrez owner of vehicle no. OD01AX5055

has filed objection stating that there is clash at Balasore and it violates 2 (d) of OMV Rules 1988.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena, on behalf of Ganesh Chandra Jena, owner of vehicle no. OD01K2082 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Udaipur and Chandaneswar.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena, on behalf of Naresh Chandra Jena, owner of vehicle no. OR11G7595 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Balasore and halting time is at Balasore is 24 minutes.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena, on behalf of Sai Satyajeet Jena, owner of vehicle no. OD23C3322 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Balasore

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena, on behalf of Meenakshi Dash, owner of vehicle no. OR01T3214 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Udala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

264. Route:- PALA LAHARA TO ANGUL WITH I.T. ANGUL TO CHAMPUA AND BACK, KALLOLA KANTA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19Q0096.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty, on behalf of Maheswar Saha, owner of vehicle no. OR11J6845 has filed objection stating there is clash at Keonjhar and halting time to be reduced.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Sumanta Kumar Mallick owner of vehicle no. OD35E6351 has filed objection stating that there is excessive halting time at Keonjhar.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Tapas Kumar Prusty, owner of vehicle no. OD11U4665 has filed objection stating that there is excessive halting time at Keonjhar.

Susil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle no. OR19P5046 has filed objection stating there is clash at Keonjhar and there is excessive halting time at Keonjhar.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time and reduced halting time at Keonjhar.

265. Route:- ANLAKUDA TO UADAYPUR VIA VALORA, GILAJODI AND BACK, SHANTILATA GIRI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AQ4969.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

There was no objection. The application will be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

266. Route:- CHUDAMANIPUR TO MANATRI VIA AMARDA , GANDHI CHHAK AND BACK, PRATIMA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01T0337.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty, on behalf of Saoudamini Mohapatra, owner of vehicle no. OR04L0025 has filed objection stating there is clash at Gandhi Chhak.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena, on behalf of Kalpana Giri, owner of vehicle no. OD11F6571 has filed objection stating there is clash at Chudamanipur and it is 2011 model.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena, on behalf of Sanjeeb Kumar Pattnaik, owner of vehicle no. OD01T3391 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Chudamanipur.

SK. Burhanuj Zama owner of vehicle no. OD01AQ1491 has filed objection stating that there is clash at Balasore.

The vehicle is of 01/2011 model. Hence the application is rejected for the safety of commuting public.

267. Route:- PURI TO TAPARIA VIA RAIRAKHOL , SAMBALPUR AND BACK, CHANDRA GUPTA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16D0725.

The applicant was absent. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.261.

The decision of Sl. No. 261 is applicable.

268. Route:- SALANGABAHAL TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA SAMBALPUR , ANGUL AND BACK, RATAN KUMAR SARANGI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16F7584.

The applicant was present.

There was no objection. The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

269. Route:- PALA LAHARHA TO CHAMPUA VIA KHAMAR , PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, SABITARANI MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AD9189.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.264.

The decision of Sl. No.264 is applicable.

270. Route:- NILAGIRI TO GOPALAPUR VIA AGARPADA, CHHENAPADI AND BACK, TRILOCHAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09M7425.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Prafulla Chandra Mohapatra, owner of vehicle no. OD22Y7879 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Ghatagaon.

Adv. J. N. Mohanty on behalf of Kulamani Samal owner of vehicle no. OD05AP0717 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Kupari and proposed slot is not vacant.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Sunanda Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OR05AS3399 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Gopalpur.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Minati Satpathy, owner of vehicle no. OD01AE4996 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Nilagiri.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Suvranshu Sekhar Mallik, owner of vehicle no. OD19S8042 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time Ghatagaon.

Adv. K. Niketan on behalf of Ajay Kumar Sahoo owner of vehicle no. OD04H7284 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Soro to Khaira and not applied in vacant slot.

Ganesh Chandra Jena, owner of vehicle no. OD01V2288 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Soro.

Damodar Nayak, owner of vehicle no. OD168355 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Agarpada.



Haimabati Nayak, owner of vehicle no. OD01Q2627 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Kupari.

Arjun Charan Sethy, owner of vehicle no. OD14J0979 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

271. Route:- PHULABANI TO ROURKELA VIA NAKTIDEOL, REAMAL AND BACK, SUSANTA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA9097.

Adv. P.K. Behera was present for applicant. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl. No.204.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Biswanath Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD155956 filed objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Phulbani.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

272. Route:- BHADRAK TO DEBALA VIA GANDIBED, OUPADA AND BACK, BHADRAK TO KUPARI AND BACK, RAMESH CHANDRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23C1452.

Adv. K. Mohammad was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Satya Ranjan Mohapatra owner of vehicle no. OD22Y6179 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Khaira.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Kamalakanta Nayak, owner of vehicle no. OD01AY5018 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash of time at Oupada.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Mir Golam Rasul, owner of vehicle no. OD22E5387 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Goutam Prasad Sahoo owner of vehicle no. OD22AA4467 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Udala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



273. Route:- BANKI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PUBUSAHI , KHURDHA AND BACK, RAKESH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19R4656.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Runita Jena owner of vehicle no. OD05BJ7486 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Banki.

On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

274. Route:- PANGA TO CHARICHHAK VIA PHIRINGIA, PHULABANI AND BACK, JITEN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G1210.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time and more halting time at terminus than proposed.

275. Route:- PADAMPUR TO KHARIAR ROAD WITH I.T. KHARIAR ROAD TO PAIKAMAL AND BACK, KRUPASINDHU DEEP, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17D5557.

Adv. S. Mishra was present of applicant.

Kunjabihari Badapanda, owner of vehicle no. OD17H9244 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Paikamal.

Gangadhar Pattnaik, owner of vehicle no. OD246229 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Paikamal.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

276. Route:- KORAPUT RAILWAY STATION TO JHARIGAM VIA BORIGUMMA, NABARANGPUR AND BACK, B SUBRAMANYUM PATRO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24B5437.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant

Soumya Deep Bisoi owner of vehicle no. OD10U5549 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Jeypore.



There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

277. Route:- ROURKELA TO CHAMPUA VIA KOIRHA AND BACK, ABHISHEK PUROHIT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AJ9700.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Rakesh Pradhan owner of vehicle no. OD14AG0979 & OD14T7079 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Md Israr Alli, owner of vehicle no. OR09Q6727 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Joda.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Nagma Khatun, owner of vehicle no. OD09E7114 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Joda & Barbil.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty on behalf of Somnath Nanda, owner of vehicle no. OD09AC3330 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Champua.

Somnath Nanda, owner of vehicle no. OD09F6114, OD09AC3330, OD09AE1042 Nibedita Pati owner of vehicle no. OD09AE1072, Hurdananda Nanda owner of vehicle no. OD09F6116, Prafulla Mahanta owner of vehicle no. OR22E2199, Md. Inzemam Israr, owner of vehicle no. OD04J4545 and Sanjaya Kumar Behera owner of vehicle no. OD09F2637 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Champua.

The application is rejected as the applicant has not proposed distance between the stoppages and as per OPMS the distance between Rourkela and Lathikata is 18 kms where as the applicant has proposed 50 mins to cover it.

278. Route:- DHAMARA TO GHATGAON VIA CHARAMPA, BHADRAK AND BACK, SANJEEB KUMAR RAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22AB2277.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Duryodhan Bhoi, owner of vehicle no. OD22U5391 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Prafulla Chandra Mohapatra, owner of vehicle no. OD22Y7879 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Ranjan Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OD04M6286 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak in down trip.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Debasmita Patra, owner of vehicle no. OD093663 has filed objection stating that there is exact clash of time at Dhamara up trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

279 Route:- ANGUL TO KEONJHAR VIA BIMALA, TELKOI AND BACK, RABINDRA BAGHUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09X2630.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Tanwir Alam owner of vehicle no. OD09AA0941 has filed objection stating there is clash of time at Talcher.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Priyabrata Barik owner of vehicle no. OD09A9599 has filed objection stating there is clash of time at Telkoi .

Susil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle no OR19P5046 has filed objection stating there is clash at Keonjhar in down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

280. Route:- KALAMACHHUIN TO ROURKELA VIA KHILEI, BALLAM AND BACK, PRASANT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B1204.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty, on behalf of Pravat Kishore Swain owner of vehicle no. OD141774 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty, on behalf of Anjan Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle no. OD14C9817 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Barkote.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

281 Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO TIRING VIA HARICHANDANPUR AND BACK, MAHESWAR SAHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05CB3705.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

282. Route:- ANGUL TO BARIPADA VIA KEONJHAR, TURUMUNGA AND BACK, MANARANJAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AE6363.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Ghanashyam Mahanta, owner of vehicle no. OR09Q8621 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sandhya Rani Satpathy, owner of vehicle no. OR25B6348 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of vehicle no. OD14A1774 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul .

Susil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle no. OR19M4777 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Talcher.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Maheswar Saha, owner of vehicle no. OD11A0365 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar in up trip.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Priyabrat Barik, owner of vehicle no. OD09B0110 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar in down trip.

Satrughan Singh, owner of vehicle no. OD11B3738 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar and Jashipur.

The application is not considered as the route chart is defective.

283 Route:- KULIABANDHA TO PADAMPUR VIA DARLIMUNDA, MANDADSILI AND BACK, BHAKTA HARI MEHER, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17G1333.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty was present for applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

284. Route:- BALIGUDA TO GUNUPUR VIA MUNIGUDA, HATAMUNIGUDA AND BACK, KIRAN KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR08H0421.

Applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

285 Route:- BURLA TO M.RAMPUR VIA MENDA, KHARI AND BACK, L SUBRAMANYAM SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AD2425.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

286. Route:- KUPARI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHADRAK , CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09M0151.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Banita Samal owner of vehicle no. OD22Y1446 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Sri Sandip Kumar Pallai, owner of vehicle no. OD22W4249 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Agarpada.

Sri Bhabatosh Nayak, owner of vehicle no. OR04A8844 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Kupari.

The application is not considered as the proposed slot is not vacant.

287. Route:- BANIANPANK TO BHADRAK VIA AGARPADA, KADABARANGA AND BACK 2 R.T., PRADIPTA KUMAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22AC2637.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

288. Route:- ROURKELA TO PURI VIA PALA LAHARA AND BACK, SARAT KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05N2227.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant. This is alter service of OD14AG-8877.

There is no objection. The application is considered as alter service of vehicle no. OD14AG8877.

289. Route:- ROURKELA TO KHURDA NEW BUSTAND VIA KHAMAR , KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, CHANDRA KANTI BARICK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02F9377.

Applicant was present.

There is no objection.

On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

290. Route:- BANIA TO KARANJIA VIA DUBURI, HARICHANDANPUR AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02T2398.

Applicant was absent.

Adv. MBK Rao, on behalf of Nirod Kumar Nayak, owner of vehicle no. OD05T3330 has filed objection stating there is clash from Dusuri, Gondia and exact clash at Bhuban.

Bibekananda Das, owner of vehicle no. OD11W1878 has filed objection stating there is clash at Karanjia .

Bidyut Priya Das, owner of vehicle no. OD11AD1233 has filed objection stating there is clash at Karanjia .

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

291. Route:- DIGPOSI TO ANGUL VIA JHUMPURA, KEONJHAR AND BACK, MD ISRAR ALI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09C9977.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Santosh Moharana owner of vehicle no. OR19G0571 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

Adv. MBK Rao, on behalf of Manoranjan Palei owner of vehicle no. OD09AC1860 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Budamaha.

Adv. A.K. Mohanty, on behalf of Priya Das, owner of vehicle no. OD11J7681 & OD11N9719 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

292. Route:- KHADIBAHALI TO BARBIL WITH I.T BARBIL TO KOIRHA AND BACK, UTTAM KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AD8487.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant

Adv. A.K. Behera, on behalf of Lokanath Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OR09N3317 has filed objection stating there is clash at Jhumpura.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Deepak Kumar Mahanta, owner of vehicle no. OD09E7110 has filed objection stating there is clash at Barbil.

Shubhasis Mohanty, owner of vehicle no. OR09H3937 has filed objection stating there is clash at Keonjhar.

Monalisha Barik, owner of vehicle no. OD09Y7631 has filed objection stating there is clash at Keonjhar.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

293. Route:- BALASORE TO BHAWANIPATNA VIA BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, SURENDRA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33AR0057.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for applicant. It is alter service of vehicle NO. OD05M-2355.

Adv. K. Mohammad, on behalf of Baaz Mohammad, owner of vehicle no. OD168325 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak as the proposed slot is not vacant.

Rajesh Periwal, owner of vehicle no. OR01S7187 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore and the proposed slot is not vacant.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD05M-2355.

294 Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO NABARANGPUR VIA RAMANAGUDA , J K PUR AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BC4106.

The representative of OSRTC was present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

295. Route:- SIRIGODA TO ANGUL WITH I.T. ANGUL TO PALLAHARA AND BACK, SANDHYARANI SATPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35D2889.

Applicant was absent.

Adv. A K Behera on behalf of Jayashree Moharana owner of vehicle no. OR02AN2022 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul and Talcher.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

296. Route:- KANAKTORA TO DAMANJODI VIA BARGARH, BOLANGIR AND BACK, PARSURAM PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05CB6629.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

297. Route:- SARANGAGADA TO BADALA VIA PASARA, CHAKAPADA AND BACK, USHARANI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G6187.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for applicant.

Adv. J. N. Mohanty, on behalf of Bilasini Sahoo, owner of vehicle no. OR12B1888 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Tikabali.



The application is not considered as the proposed route chart is defective.

298 Route:- KANAKTORA TO DAMANJODI VIA BARGARH, BOLANGIR AND BACK, GANESH CHANDRA SATAPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05CB5729.

Applicant is absent. It is alter service of vehicle at Sl.No.296

The decision of Sl. No.296 is applicable.

299. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ROURKELA VIA KAMAKHYANAGAR PWD , KHAMAR AND BACK, MONALISHA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16F9399.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra, on behalf of Sumanta Kumar Mallick, owner of vehicle no. OD35E6351 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Pallahara.

On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

300 Route:- BHANJANAGAR TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA BALUGAON , NACHUNI AND BACK, SURATH PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD239643.

Applicant was absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

However final decision will be taken at the time of grant of permit for all the cases mentioned above from Sl. No.151 to 300.


29/10/2025
Transport Commissioner cum Chairman
Odisha