

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF
STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 28.01.2025**

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman STA,
Odisha, Cuttack **Chairman**
 2. Smt. Chinmayee Biswal. OAS(SAG),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Member**
 3. Smt. Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(SAG)
Addl. Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Member**
1. Route:- NAKSARA TO KEONJHAR VIA CHAMPUA, REMULI AND BACK,
MANA RANJAN PALEI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD09AC-1860.

Adv. Sri H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Lokanath Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR09Q-2737 filed objection stating that, his service departs Remuli at 9.42 hrs, whereas the applicant has suggested the dep. timing from Remuli at 9.34 hrs, just 8 minutes ahead of his service, resulting clash at Remuli.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Kajal Kumar Brahma, owner of the vehicle No.OD09J-1157 filed objection stating therein that, his Bus departs Keonjhar at 11.30 hrs, but the timing suggested by the Opp. Party is 11.25 hrs.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

2. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KEONJHAR VIA PANIKOILI AND BACK, SWAPNAMAYEE SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04H1485.

The applicant is absent. The application is rejected as withdrawn.

3. Route:- ACHHUTPUR TO KHORDHA JN VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA), TAMANDO WITH IT KHORDHA JN TO CUTTACK AND BACK, SANDHYA RANI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AW7556.

The applicant is absent.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

4. Route:- ASKA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA KABISURYANAGAR, BUDHAMBHA AND BACK, PURNA CHANDRA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13L3375.

Adv. Sri M.B.K. Rao is present for the applicant.

Smt. Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD32A4535 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Kabisuryanagar.

Satyapriya Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR02BE3833 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The distance covered in one way is 190kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

5. Route:- GERADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA JAGANNATHPRASAD, ODAGAON AND BACK, ANNAPURNA RANASINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CU3635.

Adv. Ramashish Acharya is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Subala Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD28A4688 filed an objection stating that, the route covers more than 95% of Bhubaneswar – Nayagarh rationalized route and thus violates 303rd. STA proceedings. There is clash of time at Bhanjanagar and Bhubaneswar.

It will be considered after examining the rationalised route Bhubaneswar to Nayagarh.

6. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PURI AND BACK WITH INTER TRIP PURI TO BHUBANESWAR, VIA-KONARK AND BACK, SWAIN SAROJINI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AR1967.

The applicant is absent. There is no objection.

The application will be considered subject to availability of vacant slot and to be decided jointly alongwith other applicants applied in the same slot.

7. Route:- LANGIGARH TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOLANGIR SONEPUR,BOUDH AND BACK, RUDRA PRATAP SATAPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33L4477.

The applicant is present.



One objection filed by OSRTC, Bhubaneswar owner of the vehicle No.OR02BS0410 and OR02BS0408 stating that, the departure timing of both the applicant and Objector are the same i.e. 18.00hrs at Bhubaneswar, and there is clash of timing up to Sonepur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

8. Route:- CHHATABAR TO BARGAON VIA BHOJPUR, KUCHINDA AND BACK, SWARAJ KUMAR PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15K2624.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Saroj Kumar Roul, owner of vehicle No.OD16C0325 filed an objection saying that, there is clash at Jamankira.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

9. Route:- CHIKHILI TO NURSINGHNATH VIA BARGARH, BIJEPUR AND BACK, MUNA MEHER, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23R6818.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Pradeep Kumar Debata, owner of vehicle No.OD17AB-0570 filed objection stating that the route filed by the applicant is an intra region route.

The application is considered subject to verification of route by the concerned RTO.

10. Route:- BUDHAPAL TO KADALIGARH VIA KANTEIKULIA, BOINDA AND BACK, KAILASH CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19Z2195.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

11. Route:- BAGADARI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GADAPALASUNI, KANKADAHAD AND BACK, SASHIBHUSHAN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04P2757.

The applicant is absent. There is no objection.

The distance covered in one way is 275kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service. On verification it is found that one e-



Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

12. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO MUNIGUDA VIA SAMBALPUR, BINIKA, SONEPUR AND BACK, PRAMOD MUDULI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15J7589.

Adv. S.K. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

13. Route:- BOLANGIR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOUDH, DASHAPALLA, NAYAGARH AND BACK, JYOTI PRAKASH KUMBHAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33L1111.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

14. Route:- PARLA TO RAYAGADA VIA AMBADALA, MUNIGUDA, BISAM CUTTACK, DAHIKHALA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD264554.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

15. Route:- BOUDH TO SAMBALPUR VIA SONEPUR, ULUNDA AND BACK, SURENDRA PATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD31N1456.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

16. Route:- BISSAM CUTTACK TO TIKABALI VIA KURTAMGADA, BATAGUDA AND BACK, PATUM SURYANARAYAN PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G1919.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Manas Ranjan Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD02Z-0106 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Bisam Cuttack to Baliguda.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Manas Ranjan Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD12A8675 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at K.Nuagaon in down trip. He prays to reduce halting timing at Baliguda.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Manas Ranjan Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD08K0040 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Baliguda in down trip causing clash from Nuagaon to Muniguda.

Sri Manoj Kumar Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AV-9756 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Bissam Cuttack.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

17. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO ANGUL VIA BAMUR, NAKCHI AND BACK, SUKUMARYA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15P-9588

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

18. Route:- KHATKURBAHAL TO ROURKELA WITH IT ROURKELA TO LOARAM AND BACK, SATYABRATA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23E3095.

The applicant is absent.

Sri Jai Prakash Gope, owner of the vehicle No.OR14W7770 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

19. Route:- KUNDUKELA TO IB THERMAL WITH IT IB THERMAL TO JHARSUGUDA 3 R.T. AND BACK, BABITA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23C9219.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

20. Route:- NUAGAON TO CHARICHHAK VIA HANDAPA, NAKCHI AND BACK, SARAT KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15S6161.



Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Manoj Kumar Acharya, owner of vehicle No.OD19X5232 filed an objection saying that, there is clash of time at Nuagaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

21. Route:- BALASORE TO UDALA VIA NILAGIRI, SAJANAGARH AND BACK (2RT), BHANWAR LAL PERIWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AY3666.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Sanjay Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD01Q2791 filed objection stated that there is clash of time from Udala to Balasore.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

22. Route:- BALIGUDA TO GUNUPUR VIA TUMUDIBANDHA, MUNIGUDA, GUMUDA AND BACK, KIRAN KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18M2259.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Gopal Krushna Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR07S3069 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Muniguda. He also stated that it violates Rule 2(d) of OMVR, 1993.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Sujit Kumar Bisoyi, owner of vehicle No.OD25A1545 has filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Baliguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

23. Route:- PALA LAHARHA TO DHENKANAL VIA REKUTI , BAHADPAL AND BACK, UMESH CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD06N1032.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Sunil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR19K5577 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Kamakhyanagar.

The route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.



24. Route:- JASHIPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DHENKIKOTE, GHATGAON AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR MOHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AF7200.

The applicant is present. He stated that he has applied as the alter service of OD11AD1781.

The application is considered as alter service of OD11AD1781.

25. Route:- KANTAMAL TO SONEPUR WITH IT SONEPUR TO DEOGARH AND BACK, BINAPANI RAJ, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AD4058.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

26. Route:- GAJALBARHI TO BERHAMPUR VIA JHAGADAI, ASKA AND BACK, PEETAMBAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AW5582.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

Sri Rabindranath Polai , owner of vehicle No.OD07AN6939 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Aska.

Sri H.P. Mohanty has filed objection on behalf of Srikant Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR07V-3873 stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Sri H.P. Mohanty has filed objection on behalf of Krushna Chandra Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR23C-6346 stating that there is clash of time at Sorada.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

27. Route:- PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO ROURKELA VIA KAMAKHYANAGAR A77ND BACK, LAXMI NARAYAN RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BV7947.

Adv. Rita Singh is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Smt. Mamita Behera , owner of vehicle No.OD14Q9459 and its alter service, vehicle No.OD14M8669 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash at Puri causing clash from Puri to Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



28. Route:- KATRAPALI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KANKADAHAD, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, SHIBAM KUMAR PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19Z4783.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Sri Satyanarayan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH1899 filed an objection stating that, there is clash in Dn. Trip from Bhubaneswar to Batagaon.

Sri Amit Kumar Beuray, owner of vehicle No.OD05BD0068 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Kantanalli.

Sri Sunil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR19K5577 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing in the Up trip between Kankadahad to Kamamkhyanagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

29. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO GOBARA VIA POLASARA, CHIRIKIPADA AND BACK, SUDARSAN NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CX5796.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Smt. Sarojini Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.O404J5591 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Polasara.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Hrusikesh Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD07AV6737 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Polasara.

The applicant has applied in the rationalised route Cuttack/Bhubaneswar-Berhampur/Aska/Polasara which is under progress. Some applicants have also applied in the said rationalised route in the last permit committee meeting held from 20.08.2024 to 22.08.2024. This application is considered subject to availability of vacant slots after grant of permit to the earlier eligible applicants applied in the permit committee meeting held from 20.08.2024 to 22.08.2024.

30. Route:- MEGHAJHOLI TO PURI VIA DIGAPAHANDI, HINJILICUT, GANDALA, PURUSHOTTAMPUR, RAMESWAR AND BACK, LALAJI MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AB3565.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 262kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

31. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO KANTAMAL VIA KAUDIAMUNDA, LACHHIPUR AND BACK, L SUBRAMANYAM SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15Q0844.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The vehicle is of 2010 model. Hence the application is rejected.

32. Route:- KESINGA TO JEYPORE VIA KOKSARA, AMPANI AND BACK, ASHUTOSH PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08H7078.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. Ajaya Kumar Mohanty on behalf of Sri Suryakanta Das, owner of vehicle No.OD08H7012 & OR08C8622 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Kesinga.

Sri Naka Sankar Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD10U9802 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Nabarangpur and Boriguma towards Jeypore.

Sri Naka Puspa, owner of vehicle No.OD24G3517 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Nabarangpur and Boriguma towards Jeypore.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

33. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JHARADIHI VIA BALASORE, BETNOTI AND BACK, RABINDRA NATH SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11F0993.

Adv. Sri H.P.Mohanty is present on behalf of the applicant and informed the applicant has applied as alter service of vehicle No.OD11E-9292.

Adv.S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Ranjan Kumar Mahala, owner of vehicle No.OD11E3792 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash in up trip at Bhubaneswar.

Adv.S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Ranjan Kumar Mahala, owner of vehicle No. OD11E-9292 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash in up trip at Cuttack.



Adv.S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Ranjan Kumar Mahala , owner of vehicle No. OD11B3792 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash in Down trip at Rairangpur.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD11E-9292.

34. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO MOTU VIA RAYAGADA, LAXMIPUR AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BV1916.

The representative of OSRTC is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

35. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BALIGUDA VIA JAGANNATHPRASAD, BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR RAYAGURU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01L6575.

Adv. P.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Birendra Kumar Pattanaik, owner of vehicle No.OR05AT0630 filed objection stating that, there will be clash from Bhanjanagar to Bhubaneswar.

Sri Rabindra Kumar Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD02AE6701 submitted an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Sarangagada.

Sri Santosh Kumar Polai, owner of vehicle No.OD322727 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash of time at Bhanjanagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

36. Route:- ASKA TO BUGUDA, ASKA TO POLASARA AND BACK 2RT, NIRANJAN BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07G5266.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

37. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BALIGUDA VIA JAGANNATHPRASAD, BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, JYOTIPRAKASH MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01L3838.



Adv. P.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of the vehicle at Sl.No. 35. The decision of Sl. No.35 is applicable.

38. Route:- CHATWA TO JANBAI VIA GOVINDAPALI, KHAIRAPUT AND BACK, AJIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05W9554.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Naka Sankar Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD10U9802 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of time at Jeypore.

Sri Naka Puspa, owner of the vehicle No.OD24G3517 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of time at Jeypore.

The proposed route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

39. Route:- MENDA TO SAMBALPUR VIA MAHULPALLI, GARVANA AND BACK, BIBEKANANDA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V6893.

Adv. S.Mishra in present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA meeting resolution.

40. Route:- BOLANGIR TO SONEPUR VIA KHARI, KHAMASWRIPALI AND BACK 2RT, SOURAV KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03AC6133.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

41. Route:- BANEI TO KUCHINDA VIA JHARMUNDA, JHARSUGUA AND BACK, TIKESWARI MAHAKUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Y6631.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



42. Route:- KUPARI TO BHIMKUND AND BACK KUPARI TO BHADRAK AND BACK, KAMALAKANTA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AY5018.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao on behalf of Mir Golam Rasul, owner of vehicle No.OD22E5387 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Bhadrak and the common corridor is from Khaira to Bhadrak.

Adv.P.K. Behera on behalf Sri Punyabit Janmejy Das Adhikari, owner of vehicle No.OD11H9879 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda.

Adv, A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Udayanath Samal, owner of vehicle No.OD22Q-8911 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Kupari.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Dhanurdhar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD11AA-0429 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Sarat.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Satya Ranjan Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD22Y6179 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Khaira, Ranital, Charampa and Bhadrak.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

43. Route:- SOLEGUDA TO BIRMITRAPUR VIA BAMURA, BARGAON AND BACK, JASINTA BARLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16L9688.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

44. Route:- KOIRHA TO GHATGAON VIA REMULI, JHUMPURA AND BACK, TANWIR ALAM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09H0941.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Nirod Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD09N8030 objection stating that, there is clash of time at Barbil.

Firoz Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09AC7176 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Keonjhar.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Jashoda Sethi, owner of vehicle No.OD09Y1469 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Keonjhar to Ghatagaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

45. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOINDA, ANGUL AND BACK, SUDHIRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15N8795.

Adv. P.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Mani Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD05Q9530 filed objection stating that, as the applied route covers 100% from Cuttack to Angul route and the route is under the process of rationalization.

Sri Pradeep Kumar Nath, owner of vehicle No.OD06M9977 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Cuttack to Angul.

It will be considered after examining the rationalised route Bhubaneswar to Angul, via-Dhenkanal.

46. Route:- KOSTHA TO BALASORE, WITH IT BALASORE TO MANITRI AND BACK, SHIBA SANKAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AX0877.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. Subhrajeeet Jena on behalf of Smt. Kamala Bindhani, owner of vehicle No.OR01U8431 filed objection stating that, the route Balasore to Baisinga is coming under rationalized route and covers more than 25% of rationalized route and there is clash of time at Balasore.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

47. Route:- JAGAMOHANPUR TO BHUBANESWAR VIA GADAPALASUNI, KANKADAHAD AND BACK, SURESH NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35C7151.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Sri Sunil Kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OR19K5577 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time up trip at Kankadahada and down trip at Kamakhayanagar.



Sri Satya Narayan Mishra, Owner of the vehicle No.OD02AV1799 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar towards Pandua.

Smt. Sasmita Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AT0036 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing from Telkoi to Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

48. Route:- KARADAKANA TO BERHAMPUR VIA POCHILIMA, KANCHUDU AND BACK 2 R.T., SARITA PANIGRAHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AW7478.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

49. Route:- LANGIGARH TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SONEPUR, BOUDH AND BACK, KAINRUDHAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33B1111.

It is the alter service of the vehicle at SI.No. 7. The decision of SI. No.7 is applicable.

50. Route:- UADAYPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BALASORE, SORO AND BACK, MUNCI ABDUL SARFARAJ ALLI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01A8786.

Adv. K.Mohammad is present for the applicant.

Adv.A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri A. Chiranjib, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AU3527 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Udaypur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sk. Kamruzama , owner of the vehicle No.OR01S0655 said that that the Slot No.63 is reserved for category-E . there is clash of time from Balasore to Udaipur.

On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A) against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.

51. Route:- SUNDARIA TO DHENKANAL VIA MARDIA, KANKADAHAD AND BACK, LACHHAMAN JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19Z7014.

The applicant was absent.

Sri Ganeswar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR06G3399 filed objection through online and stated that, there is clash of timing from Dhenkanal to Kamakhyanagar.

Sri Sunil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR19K5577 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Kanakadahad to Kamakhyanagar.

Smt. Sasmita Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05AT0036 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing from Telkoi to Kankadahada.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

52. Route:- SONEPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA DASHAPALLA, GANIA AND BACK, SUDARSAN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA9385.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Sri P.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Pravakar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD02BC5078 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Gania.

Sri Srikanta Sahoo, representative of OSRTC, owner of vehicle No.OD02BT9972 stated that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

53. Route:- BADAMBA TO BURLA VIA PURUNAKATAK , BOUDH AND BACK, SK SAMIULLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25E8695.

Adv. P.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Smt. Manjubala Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD05G9624 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Nayagarh to Madhapur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Judhisthir Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD05G9624 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Boudh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



54. Route:- BOLANGIR TO DHARMAGARH VIA KESINGA AND BACK, ARUN KUMAR RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08H0049.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. Ajaya Kumar Mohanty on behalf of Sri Surya Kanta Das, owner of vehicle No.OR08A6212 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Kesinga towards Bhawanipatna.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

55. Route:- BOUDH TO SAMBALPUR VIA RAIRAKHOL, HINDOL AND BACK, SAUKUMARYA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15K5288.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

56. Route:- KAMASARAGADA TO TIKABALI VIA NAYAGARH, KURAL, ODAGAON AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25G3814.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. There was no objection.

The distance covered in one way is 166kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

57. Route:- ARADI TO GHATGAON VIA KENDUPADA, BONTH AND BACK, AJAY KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD280031.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Pradeep Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD22H8515 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Aradi.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Ganesh Chandra Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OD01V2288 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Anandapur.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Prafulla Chandra Kar, owner of vehicle No.OD09E4861 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Anandapur to Ghatagaon both in up and down trip.

Adv. K.Mohammad on behalf of Sri Prafulla Chandra Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD22V7879 filed objection stating that, there is



clash of time from Dhamnagar to Ghatagaon (Up trip) and from Ghatagaon to Dhamnagar in Dn.Trip. The objector also stated that, MV Revision Case No.10/2023 is pending at STAT.

The application is considered subject to outcome of M.V. Revision Case No.10/23 and verification of clash free timing.

58. Route:- PURUSHOTTAMPUR TO KASHIPUR VIA ADAVA, PADAMPUR AND BACK, TOFAN PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11E1791.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

59. Route:- MANITRA TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, UMAKANTA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AK6540.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

60. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BINIKEYI VIA NAYAGARH, CHARICHHAK, HARABHANGA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AM3033.

The applicant was present.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Shibendra Prasad Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD051338 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswsar.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Simanchal Routray, owner of vehicle No.OD02BX8789 filed objection stating that, a challan U/s 192(A) has been drawn on 21.01.2025 and there is clash of time at Binikeyi.

The vehicle is of 2010 model. Hence the application is rejected.

61. Route:- PHULABANI TO PANIGANDA VIA BADABANGA, SIMANBADI AND BACK, PINKI SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G0745.

Adv. K.Mohammad was present for the applicant.



Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Ch.Ashok Kumar Patra, owner of vehicle No.OR12A7307 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time from Daringbadi to Tikabali.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Biswanath Pradhan , owner of vehicle No.OR09J1659 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time from Phulbani to Raikia.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Debadutta Behera , owner of vehicle No OD19F6755 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bamunigam.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

62. Route:- DHUMAT TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA CHARINANGAL, BALICHANDRAPUR WITH I.T. CUTTACK TO KUANPAL AND BACK, KARTIK CHANDRA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AU9484.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Sambit Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD05BN1857 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Cuttack.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Balaram Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD04G8551 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Cuttack.

Smt. Sonalika Kar, owner of vehicle No.OD04S8001 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Indupur and Chhoti.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sk.Abdul Ohid, owner of vehicle No.OR05V8897 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time from Cuttack to Mahanga.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

63. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JAJPUR ROAD WITH I.T. JAJPUR ROAD TO CUTTACK AND BACK, ASHOK PUHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34V3033.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.



64. Route:- G. UDAYAGIRI TO GAJALBARHI VIA BALIGUDA, SIRTIGUDA AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12B0156.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

65. Route:- MANIKAPUR TO KONKARADA VIA KARADAKANA, SERAGADA AND BACK, LALAJI MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15B3495.

The applicant was absent.

Sri Bhaskar Chhatoi, owner of vehicle No.OR07T4199 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Sheragada.

The distance covered in one way is 220 kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

66. Route:- BAULA TO UDALA VIA OUPADA, DARAKHULI AND BACK, MANAS NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17T4684.

The applicant was absent.

Sri S.Mishra, Adv. on behalf of Sri Sanjay Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD02E8574 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Kupari and the route chart is defective.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf Sri Partha Sarathi Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD22C6799 filed objection stating that, the route chart as applied is defective and there is clash at Bhadrak.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

67. Route:- CHAUMUKHI TO BARBIL VIA BONTH, ANANDAPUR AND BACK, MAMATA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AX9491.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Sri Chinmaya Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD15T9496 filed an objection stating therein that there is clash of time at Barbil.

Sri Pankaj Kumar Sarangi, owner of vehicle No.OD01P8837 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Chaturbhujaya Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD05X5730 & OD05AF8730 stated in his objection that, there is clash of time at Barbil.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Shibam Shree Atul Gaana, owner of vehicle No.OD01B3949 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Baliapal.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Puspanjali Gaana, owner of vehicle No.OD05Z1551 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Baliapal, Balasore and Barbil.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

68. Route:- CHAUMUKHI TO BARBIL VIA BONTH,ANANDPUR AND BACK, HEMANTA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AW9291.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant It is the alter service of the vehicle at Sl. No.67.

The decision of Sl. No.67 is applicable.

69. Route:- CHIPILIMA TO PURI VIA ANGUL, DHENKANAL AND BACK, SRIDEV MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03F6676.

The applicant was present.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD15J1111. There was no objection.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No. OD15J1111.

70. Route:- PANAPOSH TO JODA VIA BELDA, KEONJHAR AND BACK, JATAN KUMAR MAJHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09D4366.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Sri Bharat Chandra Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD094760 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Joda to Bamberi.

Sri Ranjit Kumar Palei, owner of vehicle No.OD11J6395 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda.



Sri Bijay Kumar Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD09D0544 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Banspal.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

71. Route:- GILAJODI TO BANIABASA VIA SINGLA, GANDHI CHHAK AND BACK, KUNJABIHARI MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11K7022.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Smt. Dulari Tudu, owner of vehicle No.OD11G9898 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Khunta to Kanheibandh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

72. Route:- BARTANA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA MANJURI ROAD, SATHIPUR AND BACK, MARKANDA CHARAN BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10M8007.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Mir Mohammad Wasim, owner of vehicle No.OD221037 filed objection stating that Chhatabar and Akhuapada stoppages are not mentioned and there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Cuttack.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Mir Feeday Rasool, owner of vehicle No.OD22Q5387 filed objection stating that, Chhatabar and Akhuapada stoppages are not mentioned and there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Cuttack.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Saroj Kumr Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD04M4158 filed objection stating therein that, Chhatabar and Akhuapada stoppages are not mentioned and there is clash of timing from Akhupada to Bhubaneswar.

Sri Bhabatosh Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OR04K8844 filed objection stating that this is a reassigned vehicle.

The application is rejected as per 301st STA resolution as the applicant has applied T.P. in favour of a reassigned vehicle which has already completed 10years.



73. Route:- DHARMAGARH TO KANTABANJI VIA UTKELA, KESINGA AND BACK, RITA SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08X1514.

Adv. MBK. Rao was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

74. Route:- MANIKAPUR TO PURI VIA SERAGADA, HINJILICUT AND BACK, SUSANTA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07U8577.

Adv. MBK. Rao was present for the applicant.

There was no objection.

The applicant has applied in the rationalised route Cuttack/Bhubaneswar-Berhampur/Aska/Polsara which is under progress. The application will be considered subject to finalisation of ground rule.

75. Route:- BHADRAK TO DUHURIA VIA CHANDANPOKHARI, AUL AND BACK, ABHAYA KUMAR PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19S2960.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Smt. Sujata Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No. OR05AP9619 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash at Duhuria, Tinimuhani, Kendrapara and Pattamundai.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Smt. Nibedita Das, owner of vehicle No. OD042445 and OR09F9717 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Bhadrak.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Satyanarayan Das, owner of vehicle No. OD16C5763 filed objection stating that there is clash of timing from Chandabali to Bhadrak in both up and down trip.

The applicant has applied in the rationalised route Bhadrak-Tihidi-Gadi-Moto-Chandabali which is under progress. The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalised route and timing and it will be considered jointly along with other applicants applied in the same slot.

76. Route:- KANALOI TO SAMBALPUR VIA JARPARHA, BOINDA AND BACK, HEMANTA KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA3155.

The applicant was present.



There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

77. Route:- PURUNAPANI TO BOUDH VIA RENGALBEDA, REAMAL AND BACK, KRISHNA TATWADARSHI NANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA4310.

Adv.S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

78. Route:- BANSADA TO BHADRAK WITH IT BHADRAK TO PADHUAN AND BACK, NILADRIBIHARI PUHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09F5799.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Mir Golam Rasul, owner of vehicle No.OD22E5387 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Simulia and Bhadrak.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Pratham Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD04A9284 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Bhadrak to Basudevpur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Sriram Das, owner of vehicle No.OR22D5075 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhadrak in last trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

79. Route:- KARANJHADIA TO GHATAGAON VIA BHADRAK,BONTH AND BACK, HIMADRI BIHARI PUHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22X1006.

The applicant was absent.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Mir Arshadul Quadri, owner of vehicle No.OD05E7787 filed objection stating that, there is exact clash at Bhadrak.

Adv K. Mohammad on behalf of Sri Prafulla Chandra Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD22V7879 stated that, there is clash of time at Bhadrak and Bonth.



Sri Braja Bihari Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD09C3401 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Anandapur to Bhadrak.

Sri Ganesh Ch. Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR13G6611 stated in his objection that, there is clash of time at Ghatagaon.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

80. Route:- ANGUL TO CHAMPUA VIA NALCO COLONY, TALCHER AND BACK, MANORANJAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09Q1337.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Ghanashyam Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR09Q8621 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Satrugan Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11S3738 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Palalahara.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Soumya Ranjan Satpathy, owner of vehicle No.OD19AA2889 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Angul to Keonjhar.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Sumanta Kumar Mallik, owner of vehicle No.OD35E6351 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Talcher to Pallahara.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Bholanath Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09P8496 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Jhumpura to Keonjhar.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Manoranjan Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09N3663 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Champua, Remuli, Jhumpura and Keonjhar.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Rajendra Nath Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD11G3515 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Pallahara in Up trip and at Keonjhar in Dn. Trip.

Sri Singhadri Bihari Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09-5566 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Keonjhar.



Sri Susil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR19P5046 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Talcher.

Nirmala Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD11E4455 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Keonjhar.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Manas Ranjan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR19N-1600 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Pallahara.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Sri Hemanta Kumar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09E7895 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Remuli to Keonjhar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

81. Route:- ROURKELA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BARKOTE, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, BABITA MALLICK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AB4679.

The applicant was present.

Representative of OSRTC, owner of vehicle No.OD13Q1828 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

82. Route:- ANGUL TO CHAMPUA VIA KANJIPANI, SUAKATI AND BACK, SHARIM HAYAT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09A1155.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant, It is the alter service Sl. No.80.

The decision of Sl. No.80 is applicable.

83. Route:- BURLA TO RAIRANGPUR VIA TILEIBANI, DEOGARH AND BACK, PRADEEP KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23N1630.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

Sri Rajendra Nath Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD11G3515 filed objection stating that there is clash of timing from Jashipur to Sambalpur.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Satrugan Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11S3738 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Jashipur to Sambalpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

84. Route:- BEGUNIPADA TO PURI VIA TANGI, RAMESWAR AND BACK, PARBATI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13W0459.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

85. Route:- BERUANBADI TO BUGUDA AND BUGUDA TO KODALA AND BACK KAILASH CHANDRA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25C3354.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection.

The route chart is defective, hence the application is rejected.

86. Route:- KHAMBARIGAM TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA CHHATRAPUR, BALUGAON AND BACK, SUBASH CHANDRA PATTNAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07B8191.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There was no objection.

The applicant has applied in the rationalised route Cuttack/Bhubaneswar-Berhampur/Aska/Polsara which is under progress. Some applicants have also applied in the said rationalised route in the last permit committee meeting held from 20.08.2024 to 22.08.2024. This application is considered subject to availability of vacant slots after grant of permit to the earlier eligible applicants applied in the permit committee meeting held from 20.08.2024 to 22.08.2024..

87. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BOUDH VIA GANIA, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, SIMANCHAL ROUTRAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33AA8759.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Pravakar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD02BC5078 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Gania.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

88. Route:- KALIAPANI TO ARADI VIA PANIKOILI, SATHIPUR AND BACK, RANAK ALLI KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22Q6951.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Shaikh Nurddin, owner of vehicle No.OD04E9986 filed objection and objected that, there is clash of time from Duburi to Jajpur town via- Jajpur road.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sk. Hasim , owner of vehicle No.OD04D7286 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Jajpur town to Duburi.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

89. Route:- ANGUL TO JAMANKIRA VIA CHHATABAR, REAMAL AND BACK, KAMAL PRIYADARSHI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19Z3261.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Santosh Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD19F4796 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Chhendipada to Angul.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

90. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO GADAPUR VIA GANIANAL AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07G7271.

Adv. MBK Rao was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

91. Route:- MANITARA TO BHUBANESWAR, VIA-ODAGAON AND BACK, KSHIROD KUMAR ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CF7255.

Adv.P.K.Behera was present for the applicant.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Sridhar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD25Q2552 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Odagaon to Bhubaneswar.

Sri Naba Kishore Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD028462 and OD25D-6060 submitted objection and stated that, there is clash at Bhubaneswar.

The application is rejected as the route chart is defective.

92. Route:- BURLA TO RAIRANGPUR VIA PALA LAHARHA, JAGAMOHANPUR AND BACK, SUBHALAXMI SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28C0696.

Adv A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv M.B.K. Rao on behalf of Sri Kishore Ch. Majhi, owner of vehicle No.OR09H1887 and OD09Z1887 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Karanjia to Keonjhar.

It is the alter service of the vehicle at SI.No.83. The decision of SI. No.83 is applicable.

93. Route:- BOINDA TO PABURIA VIA CHARICHHAK, ADENIGARH AND BACK, NIHAL KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G5741.

The applicant was present.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Manas Ranjan Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD12F8675 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Athamalik to Charichhak and there is excess halting time at Athamallik.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

94. Route:- HATIBARI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GONDIA, DEOGAN AND BACK, BHAGIRATHI JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09D7337.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Pabitra Mohan Khatua, owner of vehicle No.OD05A3308 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhuban and Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



95. Route:- SONEPUR TO PHULABANI VIA BOUDH, RADHANAGAR AND BACK, SUDHIR KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BW7548.
- The applicant was absent.
- There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.
96. Route:- PATAMUNDA TO KEONJHAR VIA BAMEBARI, NAYAGARH AND BACK, ASHOK BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09Q6737.
- Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.
- Smt. Bijayalaxmi Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09L2577 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Keonjhar.
- The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.
97. Route:- BADAMBA TO BURLA VIA PURUNAKATAK,BOUDH AND BACK, BISWANATH PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Q4744.
- Adv. P.K. Behera was present for the applicant.
- It is the alter service of the vehicle at SI.No.53. The decision of SI. No.53 is applicable.
98. Route:- BALIGUDA TO DARINGBADI AND BACK BALIGUDA TO MUNIGUDA AND BACK, BAPINA KUMAR DAKUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12G4321.
- The applicant was absent.
- There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.
99. Route:- MUKHIGUDA TO CHANDIKHOLE VIA SONEPUR, BOUDH AND BACK, CHITTARANJAN SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AB7433.
- Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.
- There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.



100. Route:- CHIKILI TO BURLA VIA BELPAHAR, GANDHI CHOUK AND BACK, RANJIT SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23P0355.
- Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant. There is no objection.
- The distance covered in one way is 162kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service. And the applied slot is not vacant, hence the application is rejected.
101. Route:- BELPAHAR TO SIKAJORE VIA MCL, DUDUKA AND BACK, BIJAYASHREE SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16B7272.
- Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.
- There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.
102. Route:- JADUNATHPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KARANJIA, SWAMPATNA AND BACK, SHAKTIDHAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AD5464.
- Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.
- There was no objection. It is the alter of vehicle No.OD11J3464. The application is considered as alter service of OD11J-3464.
103. Route:- ANGUL TO JHARSUGUDA VIA GOHIRA CHHAKA, DEOGARH AND BACK, AMARJIT BARLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B6423.
- Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.
- Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Maheswar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD19C3994 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Angul to Chhendipada.
- Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Sarbeswar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD287088 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Angul.
- The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.
104. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BARGARH AND BACK, MOHAMMED IRPHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23E3093.
- Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.
- There was no objection. The application is rejected as the proposed slots are not vacant.



105. Route:- KUMARI TO BURLA VIA RAIKAKHOL, CHARMAL AND BACK, PRASANA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD27D8879.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

106. Route:- HINDOL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHAPUR, HARIDAPASI AND BACK, NIROD PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BV7379.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. There was no objection.

The distance covered in one way is 167kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

107. Route:- TENSA TO RAIKANGPUR, VIA-JODA, GHATAGAON AND BACK, MD INJEMAM ISRAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04J4545.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Suratha Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD09C7146 filed an objection stating that, there is clash at Barbil.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Deepak Kumar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09E7110 filed objection stating that there is clash at Joda.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Bholanath Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09P8496, OD09P9633 and Smt. Jagyaseni Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09K4401 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Tensa.

Smt. Bijay Laxmi Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09L2577 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Koirha.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

108. Route:- KHALLINGI TO BERHAMPUR WITH INTERTRIP BERHAMPUR TO DARINGIBADI AND BACK, PRASANT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AX3784.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.



Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Panchanan Sahu, Owner of vehicle No.OD07A8141 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

109. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KANAS AND BACK BHUBANESWAR TO GADASAHIJANKIA AND BACK, SURESH KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CZ9697.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

110. Route:- AMRUTULU TO BERHAMPUR WITH AN INTERTRIP BEHERAMPUR TO ASKA AND BACK, SRIKANTA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07Q4399.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

111. Route:- KUPATI TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, A SANAT KUMAR PATRO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05T7209.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

112. Route:- SADHUMUNDA TO ROURKELA VIA KUTRA, RAJGANGPUR AND BACK, GANJU BAGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16A0538.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA meeting resolution.

113. Route:- GUNUPUR TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA- BISSAMCUTTACK, MUNIGUDA AND BACK, NABINO KISHORE PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18K3699.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty was present for the applicant.



Adv. A.K. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Prakash Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD08T3154 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Bisam Cuttack and Muniguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

114. Route:- PATAMUNDA TO BARBIL WITH AN INTERTRIP BARBIL TO JASHIPUR AND BACK, GOURA CHANDRA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09A9677.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

115. Route:- CHAHALI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KANTILO, BENAGADIA AND BACK, SUPRIYA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CV6453.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Pravakar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD05AC2078 filed an objection stating that there is exact clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Bhubaneswar to Kalapathar is being clashed.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

116. Route:- BELAGUNTHA TO BERHAMPUR VIA ASKA, PITALA AND BACK, ZOHARA BEGUM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD121840.

The applicant was absent. There is no objection.

The ownership of the vehicle was changed on 03.01.2025 from the name of the applicant to Sushant Kumar Adhikari, hence the application is rejected.

117. Route:- GANDHI CHOUK TO BARKOTE VIA BOXMA, BHOJPUR AND BACK, SRIKANT MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23F5122.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

118. Route:- TADAKASAHU TO BERHAMPUR WITH AN INTERTRIP BERHAMPUR TO NUAPENTHA AND BACK, MAHANTY POOJA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AX5899.



Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant. It is the inter-state enclave route.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Swaraj Panigrahi, Owner of vehicle No.OD07M5151 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Surangi and Berhampur.

The application will be considered after obtaining report from the concerned RTO.

119. Route:- PATRAPUR TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, MAHANTY POOJA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AX5836.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant. It is an interstate enclave route.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Smt. Sasmita Kumari Panigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OD07X0570 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Behampur and Ichhapur.

The application will be considered after obtaining report from the concerned RTO.

120. Route:- KANSAR TO GHATAGAON VIA BALLAM, BARKOTE AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B3952.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

121. Route:- BARGARH TO OLAVAR VIA CUTTACK(BADAMBADI), CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, BIJAY PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V8997.

The applicant was present.

There was no objection. The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

122. Route:- MANITARA TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA BUDHAMBHA, KODALA AND BACK, NILANCHALA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD32L1088.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Keshab Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD02AS-5215 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Polasara.



The applicant has applied in the rationalised route Cuttack/Bhubaneswar-Berhampur/Aska/Polsara which is under progress. The application will be considered subject to finalisation of ground rule.

123. Route:- BELAGUNTHA TO ATTHAMALLIK VIA BISIPADA, PHULABANI AND BACK, MRUTYUNJAYA PATTANAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AC8777.

The applicant was present

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Bharat Prasad, owner of vehicle No.OD19B5983 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time Athamallik and one VCR U/s 192(A) is pending against the applicant's vehicle.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

124. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO BADAMBA VIA GOPALPUR, RANAPUR AND BACK, ADITYA PRAKASH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11U1917.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Pramod Kumar Singhasamanta , owner of vehicle No.OD02AH9926 filed objection stating that there is clash at Banki and one VCR u/s. 192(A) is pending.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

125. Route:- DALIMA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BETNOTI, BALASORE AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR MAHALA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11H8995.

Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant. It is alter service of OD11J0143.

Sri Tushar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD11H7299 stated that there is clash at Bhubaneswar.

The vehicle is of 2010 model, hence the application is rejected..

126. Route:- BONTH TO GOPALPUR WITH I.T. GOPALPUR TO AGARPADA AND BACK, PRAFULLA JENA OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AA6986.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Padma Lochan Dhar, owner of vehicle No.OD01AM4466 filed objection stating that, the applicant has been granted P.P. But instead of lifting the PP, he has again applied for TP. It is further objected that, there is clash of timing from Kupari to Bahanaga.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time and verification of previous history.

127. Route:- RENGALI DAM TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PABITRANAGAR, SAMAL AND BACK, SUBHRANSU SEKHAR SENAPAT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35H9966.

Sri S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. K. Mohammad on behalf of Sri Pradeep Kumar Nanda and Sri Pratap Kumar Nanda owner of vehicle No.OD19A4828 and No.OD19X6449 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Rengali dam.

Sri Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD19D3777 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Talcher.

Sri Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR19P5046 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Parjang.

Smt. Sandhyarani Satpathy, owner of vehicle No. OD19G5389 and OD35D2889 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Rengali and Talcher respectively.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

128. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO NURSINGHNATH VIA BOUDH, SONEPUR AND BACK, SUDHAKAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BG7857.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant

Sri Pyari Mohan Swain, owner of vehicle No. OD05A7995 filed objection stating that, the rationalisation timing will be disturbed if the permit is granted.

The vehicle is of 04/2010 model. Hence the application is rejected.



129. Route:- SINDURAPUR TO PADMAPUR AND BACK, MIRJA IMRAN BEG, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17T6777.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty was present for the applicant

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

130. Route:- BARGARH TO OLAVER, VIA PANIKOILI, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, AMIT KUMAR SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09H0737.

The applicant was present. It is alter service of the vehicle at Sl. No.121.

The decision of Sl.No.121 is applicable.

131. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO RAIGHAR VIA BOLANGIR, KESINGA AND BACK, PRADIP KUMAR RAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02S1151.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. There was no objection.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

132. Route:- BALIGUDA TO MUNIGUDA VIA BATAGUDA AND BACK, LOKANATH PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BK7347.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

133. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO RAIGHAR VIA SONEPUR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, PRADIP KUMAR RAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AJ7270.

Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant. There was no objection. It is alter service of Sl. No.131.

On verification it is found that e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been drawn against this vehicle. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

134. Route:- DUNDU TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA SWAMPATNA, DHENKIKOTE AND BACK, PARBATI SOREN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15K0255.



Adv. S. Mishra was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

135. Route:- PADADIHA TO BHADRAK WITH IT BHADRAK TO SARAT AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR DALEI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34L2214.

Adv. S Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Udayanath Samal, owner of vehicle No.OD22G2007 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri Pradeep Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD10K8955 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Bhadrak to Kupari.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Partha Sarathi Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD22N4849 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of rationalised route as per 303rd STA proceeding.

136. Route:- ROURKELA TO BERHAMPUR VIA BOUDH, PHULABANI AND BACK, ZAKIR BEGUM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AC0920.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied as alter service of the vehicle No.OD02AC0902. There is no objection.

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD02AC-0902.

137. Route:- KONARK TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA NIMAPADA, KALPANA AND BACK, NETRANANDA ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CY0977.

Adv. A.K. Behera was present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The proposed slots are not vacant, hence the application is rejected.

138. Route:- BARIMULA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BALICHANDRAPUR, BHAKUDA AND BACK, BALARAM SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04K4791.



Adv. S.Mishra was present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Mamata Rani Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD05BK3805 and OD05BL8399 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Lalitgiri and Cuttack both in up and down trip.

The applicant is requested to submit the detail route map.

139. Route:- MAISANPUR TO RAMANGUDA, VIA-R.UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, TRIPATI CHOWDHARY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AQ7499.

Adv. A.K.Behera was present for the applicant.

There was no objection. The distance covered in one way is 162kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

140. Route:- SIMILIVENCHA TO THURUDI VIA JEYPORE, BORIGUMMA AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10J4727.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty was present for the applicant. It is alter service of vehicle No.OD24A-4587.

Sri Ashok Kumar Mohanty, Owner of vehicle No.OD24A1818 filed objection and stated that, the permit against which the applicant has applied T.P. as alter service has already been surrendered in RTA, Koraput and R.C. of that vehicle OD24A-4587 has also been cancelled.

Sri Sangram Keshari Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD10V3784 filed objection stating therein that, the vehicle against which the applicant has applied T.P. as alter service has availed the OTS scheme by Transport Department of Odisha and his registration certificate of OD24A-4587 has been cancelled.

Sri Sunil Kumar Padhy, owner of vehicle No.OD10A1114 filed objection wherein it is stated that, there is already two valid permanent permits as alternative vehicle in the same route. Further, the applicant has applied as an ordinary nature of service for distance of 352 KMs in a day, in violation of the rules.

A detailed report from RTO, Koraput will be called for regarding the cancellation of RC of vehicle No.OD24A-4587.



Transport Commissioner cum Chairman
Odisha