

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF
STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 21.08.2024**

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman ,
STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Chairman**
2. Smt. Chinmayee Biswal. OAS(SAG),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Member**
3. Smt. Kariak Champa Meher, OAS(SAG)
Addl. Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Member**

141. Route:- GUTINGIA TO CHAKAPADA AND BACK, PABITRA MOHAN SAHU,
OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR17J5532.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

142. Route:- PANAPOSH TO JODA VIA BELDA , KEONJHAR AND BACK, JATAN
KUMAR MAJHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09D4366.

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Satyabrata Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR09Q-3663 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Keonjhar to Karanjia.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Bharat Ch. Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD094760 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Joda to Bamberi.

The distance covered in one way is 210kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

143. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GANDHINAGAR VIA GOLIA ,
HATIOTA AND BACK, JAYADEV SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.
OD09F6563.

Adv. M.B.K Rao is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

144. Route:- LUHAGUDI TO TIKARPADA VIA BHISMAGIRI, DENGAUSTA AND BACK, JITENDRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02S8396.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that 3 nos. e-Challan U/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

145. Route:- TIRING TO JAJPUR ROAD VIA SINGADA, TURUMUNGA AND BACK, SUBRAT SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BE4535.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash of timing.

146. Route:- CHUDAMANI TO KUPARI VIA BANKAKHAJURI, HEMKAPADA AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05M5947.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Suchismita Pallai, owner of the vehicle No.OD01R-4646 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore and Anantapur and the route covers more than 25% of the Category-C rationalized route from Bhubaneswar to Baripada.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Prasant Kumar Barik, owner of the vehicle No.OR22B-4323 and Subrat Kumar Barik, owner of vehicle No.OD01D-9915 filed objection that, there is clash at Balasore, Soro and Kupari. The applicant has applied against the timing of vehicle having P.P.99140/462/G.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Ankit kumar Kar, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AT-6465 objected that, there is clash from Jaganathpur to Balasore.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Ram Chandra Dhar, owner of the vehicle No.OD01A-4466 filed an objection stating there is clash from Soro to Kupari.



The application will be decided subject to verification of previous history.

147. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO AMRUTAMANOHI VIA KUAKHIA, BARUAN AND BACK, SANGRAM KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04J5085.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Slot No.22 (up trip) and slot No.116 (down trip) in vacant slot.

There is no objection. The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.

148. Route:- ARADI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BARUAN , MAHESWARPUR AND BACK, SARAT CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G0015.

The applicant is present.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Soumya Ranjan Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AK-4675 filed an objection stating that, the applicant has applied for TP against vehicle No.OR05P0915.


Smt. Sandhyarani Das, owner of vehicle No.OD04H-8989 and Sk Hasim, owner of vehicle No.OD05AW0686 have filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Jajpur town to Cuttack.

The application is considered subject to verification of previous history.

149. Route:- ARAKHAPAL TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA DEOGAN , TANGI AND BACK, RUPASHRI PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BJ5698.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Bijaya Kumar Rout, owner of the vehicle No.OD05E-8827 filed an objection stating therein that the applied route is covering more than 25% of rationalized route (Cuttack to Puri). The objector also stated that there will be clash from Arakhapal to Cuttack.



The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

150. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO ROURKELA AND BACK, PRADEEP KUMAR DEBATA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V9474.

The applicant is present.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Lal Bahadur Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD16J-8668 filed an objection stating that, the applied slots are not vacant this has to be notified.

Slots are not vacant, hence the application is rejected.

151. Route:- ANGUL TO SAMBALPUR VIA BAMUR, RAIRAKHOL AND BACK, KUNDAN KUMAR SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19W3673.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

152. Route:- ROURKELA TO BOLANGIR VIA BARKOTE, DEOGARH AND BACK, RAJENDRA GOUD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD234777.

The applicant is absent.


Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Bulu Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD15E-9747 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rairakhole.

Adv. A.Behera on behalf of Subash Ku. Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD28B-5494 submitted that, there is clash of time at Barkote.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Susanta Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OR14T6774 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Rourkela.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Rakesh Kumar Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD14D-5979 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



153. Route:- BALASORE TO BOLANGIR VIA PANIKOILI, DHENKANAL AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05T1646.

The applicant is present.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Rajesh kumar Periwai, owner of the vehicle No.OR01S-7187 filed an objection stating that, as the PP of his service not renewed after 05.04.2023 he filed WP(C) No.11802/2024 where Hon'ble Court in its' order dated,20.05.2024 directed to consider the application within 6 weeks.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Baaz Mohammed Khan, owner of the vehicle No.OD16-8325 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Bhadrak to Balasore.

This will be considered after verifying the status of W.P.(C) No.11802/2024.

154. Route:- UDALA TO ANGUL VIA BONTH, BHUBAN AND BACK, SANJEEB KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G7155.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Rudra Narayan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD04A-0689 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Bhuban to Angul.


The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

155. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO SORADA VIA KODALA , ASKA AND BACK, LAXMIPRIYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CU1764.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Raghunath Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD2BA-5810 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Bhunbaneswar to Aska. Besides, it is also said that the above timing (5.40 vis a vis 5.27 hrs) has been stayed by Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in WP(C) No.2157/2024.

This will be considered after verifying the status of W.P.(C) No.11802/2024.



156. Route:- IB THERMAL TO ROURKELA VIA BRAJARAJNAGAR ,
JHARSUGUDA AND BACK, ROSY DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.
OD23R4522.

Sri Sudhanshu Sekhar Mohapatra is present on behalf of the applicant.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Mina Yadav, owner of the vehicle No.OD23C-3403 filed objection stating that there is clash from Jharsuguda to Sundargarh.

The applied slots are not vacant. Hence rejected.

157. Route:- KUCHINDA TO ROURKELA VIA BARGAON, KUTRA AND BACK 2
R.T., MD KAZIM KAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AF8057.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Shantilata Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD14U-4594 in her objection stated that, there is clash of time at Ranibandha to Bamura.

Sri Sukhjinder Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD16G4334 has stated in his objection petition that, there is clash from Ranibandh to Rourkela. He also objected that, the applicant has violated the 302nd decision of the STA meeting by covering 35% of the rationalized route (Sundergarh to Rourkela).


Sri Indarjit Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD163697 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time from Rourkela to Kutra. He also objected that the applicant has also violated the policy decision of 302nd. STA meeting.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

158. Route:- KURUDA TO RAIRANGPUR VIA BARIPADA , BOMBAY CHHACK
AND BACK, TUSHAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.
OD11F7299.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

He has applied in slot No.08 category-D & E from Balasore and in Slot No.206 (Category-C) from Baripada.



Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Saraswati Devi Periwai, owner of the vehicle No.OD01E-5587 and OD01W-3787 stating that, there is clash from Rairangpur to Baripada.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Manjulata Parida, owner of the vehicle No.OD11W-4667 objected that there is clash at Baripada in up trip.

Sri Manas Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11H-6715 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Baripada.

Sri Tapas Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11U-4665 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Baripada.

Sri Jivan Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11D-6438 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Baripada.

Sri Tapan Kumar Parida, owner of the vehicle No.OR11L-4415 filed objection saying that there is clash at Rairangpur down trip.

Adv. Santanu Das, on behalf of Ajaya Kumar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD01W-6699 submitted that, the timing applied by the applicant is defective. As it violates Rule 2(d) of OMVR, 1993 by applying on the route from Kuruda towards Rairangpur. There is clash at Balasore.


Adv. Santanu Das on behalf of Rajveer Prasad Saha, owner of the vehicle No.OD11X-6786 filed objection stating that, the applied slot No.08 (uptrip) is at present sub-judice before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa, vide WP(C) No.1415 of 2024.

The application will be considered subject to outcome of WP(C) No.1415 of 2024.

159. Route:- BALAKATI TO BANKI AND BACK WITH INTERTRIP BANKI TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, PANCHANAN JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15L5921.

The applicant is present.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Amulya Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OR02BA-5380 filed an objection stating that, the stoppages such as, Balakati, Kalpana, Rasulgarh Urban Transport area and are reserved



for MO BUS service. there is clash between the services from Banki to Khurda.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Nirmala Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AU-4931 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Banki to Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered after verification of clash free timing and verification of stoppages as objected by Amulya Behera.

160. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO LANGIGARH VIA MADHAPUR , KHAJURIPADA AND BACK, MANAS RANJAN PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AW6199.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sanjulata Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OR02AD-4148 filed objection that there is clash of timing up to Kalapathar from Bhubaneswar.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Akshaya kumar Routray, owner of the vehicle No.OD05H3330 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Bhubaneswar towards Phulbani.

Sri Niladri Mohapatra , owner of the vehicle No.OR02AP-2459 filed objection that, there is clash from Kalapathar to Dasapalla.

Sri Subasha Chandra Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD02H-8953 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Phulbani and Dasapalla.


Sri Basanta Kumar Samal, owner of the vehicle No.OD02A-9432 has objected that, there is clash from Kantilo to Dasapalla.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

161. Route:- PADAMPUR TO TITILAGARH VIA BOLANGIR , DEOGAON AND BACK, PRANANATH PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03AC2261.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



162. Route:- GAILO TO ROURKELA VIA TUNIAPALI , BANEI AND BACK, SUSHIL NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35A1021.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sushanta Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OR14W-9474 filed objection stating that there is clash at Bonei.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Md. Noorul Haque, owner of the vehicle No.OR14Y-1443 filed objection stating that there is clash at Goilo.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Anjan Kumar Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OR14C-9817 filed objection stating that there is clash from Sarsara to Rourkela and from Rourkela to Tuniapali.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) has been issued against this vehicle.

163. Route:- BALIPUT TO BHUBANESWAR VIA-BANKI AND BACK, PRASANT KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G6296.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Kanu Charan Sahoo , owner of the vehicle No.OR02AK-5511 stated that, there is clash from Baliput to Narsinghpur.


Adv. Santanu Das on behalf of OR05AW-1161 filed objection stating that, he has applied in slot No.53 (up trip). The applicant has applied in ordinary timing.

Adv. A. K.Behera on behalf of Manoj Kumar Mishra ,owner of the vehicle No.OD19L-2600 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Basudev Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD33L-4859 filed an objection where it is stated that, there is clash at Kamaladiha.

Sri Bichitrananda Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD05N-4249 filed an objection that, it covers more than 25% of rationalised route.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.



164. Route:- PHULABANI TO ANGUL VIA ATTHAMALLIK , THAKURGARH AND BACK, JYOSHNBALA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12F5401.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv.P.Behera on behalf of Sri Kailash Chandra Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR19E1861 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

165. Route:- SONEPUR TO PHULABANI VIA BOUDH , RADHANAGAR AND BACK, SUDHIR KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Q4744.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

166. Route:- JARADA TO CHOUDWAR VIA ASKA AND BACK, SUNITA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AV6669.

The applicant is absent.


Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Anupama Pattnaik, owner of the vehicle No.OD33H-9329 filed an objection stating that, it violates Rule-2(d) of OMVR,1993. The objector further stated that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Satya Narayan Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD03CJ-1599 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sarojini Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR04J-5591 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Kodala up to Bhubaneswar.

Kumari Parida, owner of the vehicle No.OR02BH-2541 has submitted in her petition that there is clash of time at Aska.

Sri Lalatendu Parida, owner of the vehicle No.OD07E-4755 has objected that there is clash of time at Aska.



The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

167. Route:- TAPARIA TO SAMBALPUR AND BACK, ASUTOSH SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23M0751.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

168. Route:- PANDAKITAL TO BOUDH VIA MAHADA, BIRAMAHAJAJPUR AND BACK, BALESWAR THAPA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03B6465.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Madhusudan Patra, owner of the vehicle No.OD23C-2777 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Boudh.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

169. Route:- PURI TO DUNGURIPALI VIA DASHAPALLA, BOUDH AND BACK, MUKUL MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03N3188.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.


There is no objection. The applied slots are not vacant. Hence rejected.

170. Route:- BADANGI TO RASULGARH VIA ADAKATA , GANIA AND BACK, JAYANTI SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BY0122.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Pravakar Sahoo owner of the vehicle No.OD05AC-2078 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Rajkiari.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing. And it should be terminated at Bhubaneswar(Baramunda).



171. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, BALARAMA PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AP6399.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalization timing.

172. Route:- KEONJHAR TO ANGUL VIA KHAMAR AND BACK, SANTOSH KU SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19N4097.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Susanta Kumar Mallik, owner of the vehicle No.OD35E-5821 submitting the Map objected that the applied route is defective and there is clash of time at Talcher in up trip.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Kailash Chandra Dwibedy ,owner of the vehicle No.OD19V-9815 submitted that, there is clash of timing from Pabitanagar to Angul.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing and verification of route.

173. Route:- ROURKELA TO KEONJHAR VIA KHUNTAGAN , BAHADAPOSI AND BACK, PRIYABRATA BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09P0728.


Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohany on behalf of Lambodhar Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AA-1149 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Bahadaposi to Keonjhar.

Sri Satrughan Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD11S-3738 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Keonjhar to Kalla both in up and down trip.

Sri Rajendra Nath Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11G-3515 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash from Keonjhar to Kalla both in up and down trip and stoppages and halting time are not as per express norms.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing as per express norms and subject to replacement of vehicle within TP period.



174. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PAKARI CHHAKA VIA KENDUPADAR, GANGAPUR AND BACK, BICHITRA NANDA CHHUAL SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02J5127.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. Route chart is defective. Hence not considered.

175. Route:- KHILOLI TO JEYPORE VIA DABUGAM AND BACK, NARENDRA KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24J3523.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sunil Kumar Padhy, owner of the vehicle No.OD10A-1114 filed an objection stating that, there is clash at Umerkote and Jeypore.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of M.Naresh Kumar Rao, owner of the vehicle No.OD24G-4994 stated that, there is clash from Jeypore to Nawarangpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing and as per express norms.

176. Route:- CUTTACK TO DHARKOTE VIA BALUGAON, KHALLIKOTE AND BACK, ANUPAMA PATNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CH9329.

Adv.S,Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sarojini Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR04J-5591 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing Budhamba to Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

177. Route:- SORADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KODALA , KHALLIKOTE AND BACK, ANIMA PARID, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07W2288.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



178. Route:- ODAGAON TO ASKA & ASKA TO BUGUDA 3 R.T AND BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR POLAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19E8873.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Siba Narayan Pradhan , owner of the vehicle No.OR07T-0840 submitted that there is clash of time at Buguda.

Sri Siba Narayan Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD15D-2687 submitted that, there is clash of time at Buguda to Balipadar.

Adv. MBK.Rao filed 3 objections on behalf :

(a). Rolsalin Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OR07U-1907 has submitted that, there is clash of time at Odagaon to Aska.

(b). Surya Narayan Panigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AE-1907 has submitted that, Karsingh and Buguda to Aska.

(c). Purna Chandra Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD09G-0466 and OD07P-1051 submitted that there is clash from Buguda to Aska.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

179. Route:- JADUNATHPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KARANJIA , SWAMPATNA AND BACK, SHAKTI DHAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11J3464.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

180. Route:- KURAL TO BANPUR WITH INTER TRIP BANPUR TO NAYAGARH AND BACK, BIRENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK8397.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Abdul Rajak Khan, owner of the vehicle No.OR02Y-1518 filed objection & stated that, there clash of timing from Nayagarh to Odagaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



181. Route:- AGARPADA TO KOIRHA MARKET VIA DHENKIKOTE , KEONJHAR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34T8035.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Manoj Kumar Mishra owner of the vehicle No.OD04A-9184 filed objection submitting that the applicant has proposed 26 seater vehicle for TP.

Adv.MBK. Rao has filed three objections on behalf of:

(a), Kulamani Samal, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AP-0717 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Keonjhar to Ghasipura.

(b), Gitarani Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD02V-5297 filed an objection stating that, there is clash at Keonjhar.

Adv. A.K Behera on behalf of Prasanta Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD14S-0374 filed objection stating that there is clash from Koirha to Barbil.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Suratha Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD09C-7146 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Barbil towards Joda.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Nabin Kumar Sethy owner of the vehicle No.OD09E-3123 has submitted that, there is clash from Keonjhar to Barbil.

The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

182. Route:- JAREIKELA TO BARBIL VIA ROURKELA, CHANDIPOSH AND BACK, TANKADHAR MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23C8777.

The applicant is absent.

Smt. Nirjala Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11E-4455 stated in her objection that, there is clash of time at Rourkela, Barbil.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sarat Chandra Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD14D-1774 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Rourkela to Koirah.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

183. Route:- ANGUL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHAPUR AND BACK, JYOTIKANTA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AW7209.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Prasant Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD06K-6768 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Angul to Bhubaneswar.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sudhansu Sekhar Choudhury, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AK-1478 objected that there is clash from Angul to Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

184. Route:- GUNUPUR TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA BISSAMCUTTACK , MUNIGUDA AND BACK, NABINO KISHORE PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18K3699.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 160kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.


185. Route:- JAMBANI TO DAITARY VIA PATNA AND BACK, PRADEEP KUMAR BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09E5721.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application will be decided subject to verification of previous history and 302nd STA proceeding.

186. Route:- GAMBHADIDIHI TO BHUBANESWAR WITH INTER TRIP BHUBANESWAR TO RANAPUR AND BACK, SAHOO NABAKISHORE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD028462.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

187. Route:- ATTHAMALLIK TO ROURKELA VIA DEOGARH, BARKOTE AND BACK, PURNANANDA PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B3621.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 298kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

188. Route:- BHAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, SURESH SAMANTASINGHAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK2582.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The route chart is defective, hence the application is not considered.

189. Route:- BISWANATHAPUR TO PURI VIA JATAMUNDIA, TRISULIA AND BACK, DAMODAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BN1450.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sanjita Behura, owner of the vehicle No.OD13V-6500 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Jatni to Puri.

Sri Sruti Ranjan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AC-4715 in his objection petition stated that, the applicant has applied as express service and requested to grant the permit timing to the applicant after 4 P.M. via -T Bridge.

Sri Surendra Kumar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05Y-2655 in his objection petition stated that, the applicant has applied as express service and requested to grant the permit to the applicant via -T Bridge after his service.

Sri Sanjeep Dwivedi, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AX-0939 filed objection and stated that, there is clash at Gopinathpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



190. Route:- CHANDRAPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA MOHANA , LUHAGUDI AND BACK, SITA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23D5096.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Jitendra Kumar Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD32D-8080 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Berhampur to Luhagudi.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Anita Kumari Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD19S-7671 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Chandrapur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

191. Route:- TILEIBANI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA ANGUL, SATAMILE AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD289788.

Adv. P.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Debabrata Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD19T-8188 filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Chhendipada to Angul.

Sri Sushil Kumar Behera , owner of the vehicle OR19L-2111 filed an objection stating that, there is clash at Angul.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

192. Route:- CHANDAHANDI TO KESINGA VIA DHARMAGARH , JUNAGARH AND BACK, KRUSHNA CHANDRA BEHERA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08J6800.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

193. Route:- RAYAGADA TO KALYANSINGHPUR AND BACK, RAYAGADA TO NARAYANPATNA AND BACK, K SATYA SIRISHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18M6579.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

194. Route:- KHARIAR TO KANTABANJI AND KHARIAR TO DHARMAGARH AND BACK, BRAHMANANDA NAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V8770.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

195. Route:- ROURKELA TO KUCHINDA VIA BAHADAPOSI , BARKOTE AND BACK, PURNANANDA PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD282456.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sribatsa Hota, owner of the vehicle No.OD15W-9601 is filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Rourkela to Deogarh.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Prasanta Kumar Swain , owner of the vehicle No.OD14D-3674 has filed an objection stating that, there is clash from Banei to Rourkela.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty, on behalf of Prasanta Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD14AB-5074 and OD14D-3674 filed an objection stating that, there will be clash from Rourkela to Deogarh in up trip and Banei to Rourkela in down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

196. Route:- KANTAMAL TO SAMBALPUR VIA BINIKA , TURUM AND BACK, PRADYUMNA KUMAR HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD31E8399.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

197. Route:- CHANDINIPAL TO KUNDABAI VIA SORO AND BACK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. MINATI SATAPATHY. OD01AE4996.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

MBK.Rao Adv. on behalf of Suchismita Pallai, owner of the vehicle No.OD01R4646 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Chandimal to Antapur in both up and down trip.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Kamalakanta Dash owner of the vehicle No.OR05AV-3067 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Udala.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Himanshu Puhan, owner of the vehicle No.OR054M-8884 has filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Basudevpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

198. Route:- BINIKA TO PADAMPUR VIA HALDIPALI, PATHARALA AND BACK, MIRJA IMRAN BEG, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17T6777.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 175kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.


199. Route:- BEJANGIVADA TO JEYPORE VIA PANDRIPANI, MATHILI AND BACK, PRAMAD KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10T0243.

Adv.H.P Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The DTM OSRTC, Jeypore on behalf of vehicle No.OD30-4912 and No.OD30-4913 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Jeypore.

Sri Harsh Gupta, owner of the vehicle No.OD24J-6519 filed an objection stating that, the applicant has violated the 301st decision of the STA Meeting and there is a VCR against the vehicle of the applicant.

Smt. Lipsita Samanta, owner of the vehicle No.OD24J-3939 filed an objection stating that, the applicant has violated the 301st decision of the STA Meeting and there is clash of timings at Govindapali.



Sri Fatek Khan, owner of the vehicle No.OD10W-7486 filed an objection stating that, the applicant has violated the 301st decision of the STA Meeting and there is clash of timings at Govindapali.

Adv.S.Mihsra on behalf of Firoz Kumar Turuk, owner of the vehicle No.OD10T-7077 filed an objection stated that, there is clash of timing at Govindapali.

It will be considered subject to verification of clash free timing & verification of previous history of the vehicle.

200. Route:- RAIKAKHOL TO ANGUL VIA KATADA, BAISINA AND BACK, SUVENDU KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19C4343.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Ranjan Kumar Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OR19B-1448 objected that, there is clash of time at Angul and Boinda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.


201. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO MEGHAJHOLI VIA BUDHAMBHA, PURUSHOTTAMPUR AND BACK, RAGHUNATH SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23H5777.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sudhansu Sekhar Choudhury, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AV-9917 filed objection stating that there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Khalikote.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Satya Narayan Panda , owner of the vehicle No.OD02CJ-1599 filed an objection stating that, the applicant who took TP ,has surrendered the same and now again applied for TP within the period, in violation of STA meeting decisions. Further, there is clash of time at Bhubanesar and Digapahandi.

Sri Ashok Kumar Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD19J-0774 has objected that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Budhamba.



The application is considered subject to verification of previous history of vehicle and finalization of rationalization timing.

202. Route:- CUTTACK TO BAMUNIGAM VIA BHANJANAGAR, G. UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, BISWARANJAN CHHUALSINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AV6230.

The applicant is absent.

Sri Sudhir Kumar Nayak, the owner of vehicle No.OD02X-5429 objected that there is clash of time at Bhanjanagar.

The application is not considered as the distance applied is not correct.

203. Route:- BALIBO TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BALISAHU, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, BANKANIDHI ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05R6366.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

204. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO BOUDH VIA NUAGAON, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, JUDHISTHIR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CV9741.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Simanchal Routray, owner of the vehicle No.OD33T-1212 filed objection that, there is clash of time at Daspalla.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

205. Route:- BALIDIHA TO JALESWAR VIA CHITRADA, MORODA AND BACK, MUKESH KUMAR BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23C7596.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



206. Route:- MALIPOSI TO BHADRAK VIA THAKURMUNDA, MAHULADIHA AND BACK, HARISH CHANDRA MOHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AC0742.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanry on behalf of Sekhar Kumar Mohapatra owner of the vehicle No.OD22M-3772 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhadrak to Anandapur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

207. Route:- JHARADIHI TO KEONJHAR VIA JASHIPUR, BHANJKIA AND BACK, KISHORE CHANDRA MAJHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09N7137.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Rajendra Nath Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11G-3515 submitted objection petition that he has applied as ordinary service and takes excess stoppages in sequence- 6, 12,13,15.

Sri Satrugan Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD11S-3738 filed an objection that he has applied as ordinary service and takes excess stoppages in sequence- 6, 12,13,15.

The distance covered in one way is 164kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected. .

208. Route:- AVANA TO UDALA VIA RASALPUR, BALASORE AND BACK, RAMA CHANDRA BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04H3567.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. Subhrajee Jena on behalf of Minakshi Dash, owner of the vehicle No.OR01T-3214 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Gopalpur and Balasore.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

209. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARIPADA AND BACK, SABITA DWIBEDI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11K1398.

Adv. P.Behera is present for the applicant.



The applicant has applied for TP in Dn. Trip slot No.238 and in Uptrip slot No.244.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Narayan Chandra Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD22U-6996 has objected that one e-challan u/s 192(A) issued against the vehicle.

The application will be jointly decided along with other applications applied in the same slots.

210. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO BARI AND BHUBANESWAR TO CUTTACK AND BACK, KISHORE MEHER, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD31K4310.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant. The applicant has applied in slot No.94 (Dn. Trip) and slot No.32 in Up trip.

There is no objection. The application will be jointly decided along with other applications applied in the same slots.

211. Route:- KUCHINDA TO JHARSUGUDA (2RT), KUCHINDA TO DEOGARH AND BACK, SURAT KUMAR PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15P5177.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

212. Route:- IB THERMAL TO BARBIL VIA BIJAY NAGAR , BARKOTE AND BACK, DHIRENDRA KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23R5028.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Prasanta Kumar Jena , owner of the vehicle No.OD28B-2147 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Deogarh.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Jyoti Prakash Mishra owner of the vehicle No.OD09F-2737 has objected that, the applicant has applied as express nature of service with ordinary distance stoppage violating rule 2(d) of OMV Rules, 1993. He also said that there is clash from Barbil to Joda.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

213. Route:- IB THERMAL TO BARBIL VIA DEOGARH, KEONJHAR, JODA AND BACK, GUDIA TOUR & TRAVELS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23P7888.

It is the alter service of SI.No.212. Hence decision of SI No.212 is applicable.

214. Route:- KOIRHA TO DASHAPALLA VIA CHAHATA, NARAJ AND BACK, TAMALA TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05V0851.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Sandhya Rani Choudhury ,owner of the vehicle No.OD04N-0225 objected that, there clash of timing from Koira up to Keonjhar.

Sri Kanhu Charan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR02AK-5511 filed an objection and stated that, there is clash of timing from Bagadharia to Daspalla.

Sri Bijaya Kumar Samantray, owner of the vehicle No.OD02B-1611 filed objection stating that, the applied route of the applicant is defective and it has applied as the alter service of vehicle no. OD05BK-6255.


The application is considered as alter service of vehicle no. OD05BK-6255.

215. Route:- PEGARPADA TO ROURKELA VIA KENDRAPARA, SALEPUR AND BACK, SMRUTIRANJAN PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11M2439.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant and the applicant is also present.

It is the alter service of the vehicle No.OD14B-2094.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that e-Challan for vehicle without permit is pending against this vehicle.



16. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO TALASANGA VIA KORUA , AKHUA AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD133599.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application will be considered jointly along with the other applicants.

217. Route:- MUNDIBEDA TO JEYPORE VIA DABUGAM AND BACK, SOUMYADEEP BISOI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10Z2205.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Ganesh Harijan, owner of the vehicle No.OD10A-6975 has objected that, the applicant has suggested that there is exact time at Umerkote, Dabugam, Papadahandi, Nabarangapur, Borigumma and Jeypore.

Sri Sujit Kumar Rout , owner of the vehicle No.OD10W-2215 filed an objection stating therein that, the applicant has applied on the route which was allotted in favour Sri Ajay Kumar Rour, owner of vehicle no. OD10A-6975 (PP no. SC/SIRP99/00325/2023).

This route is to be notified inviting application for grant of P.P. Hence this application is not considered.

218. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO RAYAGADA AND BHUBANESWAR TO CUTTACK AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BA8199.


Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

219. Route:- BRAHMANIPAL TO SINGHPUR VIA JAJPUR ROAD , PANIKOILI AND BACK, SHAIKH NURDDIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04E9986.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.


—

220. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO CHANDRAPUR VIA PANIGANDA , BAMUNIGAM AND BACK, S PURNA CHANDRA PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AS1447.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sunil Kumar Padhy, owner of the vehicle No.OD10X-1114 and No.OD10W-1114 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Adava to Berhampur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Prasant Kumar Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OR07T-7155 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Berhampur to Digapahandi.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of B.Leelanath, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AV-5558 has objected that, there is clash of timing from Berhampur to Adava.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Lohit Kumar Tripathy, owner of the vehicle No.OD07H-1645 has objected that, there in clash of timing from Gadapur to Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

221. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARIPADA AND BACK MANOJ KUMAR DWIBEDI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11L1398.

Adv. P.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of SI.No.209. To be jointly decided along with other applicants applied in the same slot.

222. Route:- SOLE TO AINTHAPALI VIA JHARIBAHAL, LAIKERA AND BACK, PRAMOD RANJAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15H1419.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

223. Route:- DHENKANAL TO AKHANDALAMANI VIA JAJPUR ROAD, PANIKOILI AND BACK, SK HASIM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04D7286.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

224. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BOLANGIR AND BACK, MRUTYUNJAYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15R1353.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to availability of the vacant slot.

225. Route:- JAJPUR TOWN TO KANTILO VIA KHORDHA JN AND BACK, AMAR KANT PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CQ2498.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. To be jointly decided along with other applicants applied in the same slot.

226. Route:- JAGAMOHANPUR TO TALCHER, TALCHER TO ANGUL AND TALCHER TO PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, KALLOLA KANTA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19J0096.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sandhyarani Satpathy, owner of the vehicle No.OR19G-5389 and No.OR19M-6389 filed objection stated that, there is clash of time at Samal and Pallahara.


Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Pradeep Kumar Nanda, owner of the vehicle No.OD19A-4828 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Talcher.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that one e-Challan U/s. 192(A) has been against this vehicle.

227. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO FCI VIA DHENKANAL AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD06E8188.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application will be considered after finalization of rationalization time.



228. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO TIRING VIA PALA LAHARHA, KEONJHAR AND BACK, DUKHISHYAM PUJARI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA3663.

Adv. P.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. Santanu Das on behalf of Aswini Mohanti, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AV-6277 and No.OD05AX-6277 filed objection stating that, the applied slot is not vacant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sushanta Kumar Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD09F-9999 filed objection stating therein that, the applied slot is not vacant.

The application is not considered, as the applied slot is not vacant.

229. Route:- KANTAMAL TO PAKARI VIA KANJALAGU, POKHARIBANDHA AND BACK, DOLAGOBINDA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12A8879.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

230. Route:- GANIA TO BERHAMPUR VIA KOKALAB AND BACK, SRITAM DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23G6499.

The applicant is present.


Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Basanta Kumar Bisoi , owner of the vehicle No.OD32J-1211, OR12B-1112 and OD07K-1112 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash of time at Aska.

The distance covered in one way is 171kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

231. Route:- KHARIAR ROAD TO BIRMITRAPUR VIA BARGARH, SAMBALPUR AND BACK, SARAT KUMAR BADAPANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Y9244.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



232. Route:- PATAMUNDA TO KEONJHAR VIA GURUDA, BAMEBARI AND BACK, SHUBHANATH BIRUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09N7318.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Tanvir Alam, owner of the vehicle No.OD09D-9577 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Jhumpura to Keonjhar.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Kajal Kumar Brahma, owner of the vehicle No.OD09L-1157 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Keonjhar to Bamberi.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

233. Route:- KANTAMAL TO PHULABANI VIA BALANDAPADA AND BACK, SUKANTI SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AL8477.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

234. Route:- HATIMUNDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA LUHAGUDI, TAPTAPANI AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AA8141.

Adv. MBK Rao is present for the applicant.


There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

235. Route:- NURSINGHNATH TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA SAMBALPUR AND BACK, ANUPAMA MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD154021.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

236. Route:- ATTHAMALLIK TO BINIKEYI, BINIKEYI TO BOUDH AND BINIKEYI TO PURUNAKATAK AND BACK, NARAYAN BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19K2348.



Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

237. Route:- BANAMALIPUR TO BHUBANESWAR, BHUBANESWAR TO CUTTACK AND BACK, BISWANATH MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AZ9133.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

238. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CHAKAPADA VIA ODAGAON AND BACK, NIRANJAN SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BG4199.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

239. Route:- REGADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BAIDYESWARA, BANKI AND BACK, PRAKASH KUMAR RANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BX6273.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Manoj Kumar Mishra owner of the vehicle No.OD19L-2600 filed an objection stating that, it violates 302nd STA resolution.

Sridhar Rath, owner of vehicle No.OR05AQ-2939 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Narsinghpur.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Jitendra Kumar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AM-0102 filed objection saying therein that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. K. Mohammed on behalf of Sarat Ch. Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AH-9870 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Bhubaneswar up to Narsinghpur.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.



240. Route:- LANGIGARH TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA PADMAPUR AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BP2526.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

241. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KAMALADIHA VIA TIGIRIA AND BACK, PRAVAT KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AL6355.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied Up- trip slot No.23 and in Dn. Trip slot No.136.

Smt. Pravasini Dwivedi, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AC-7889 filed objection stating that, the applicant has applied on the route from Cuttack to kamaladiha, it has to be notified.

The vacant slot shall be notified for grant of P.P. Hence the T.P. application is rejected.

242. Route:- 'MV'27' TO BORIGUMMA VIA MATHILI , GOVINDAPALI AND BACK, B KALABATI PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10E3838.


Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

The DTM (A) OSRTC., Malkangiri , owner of the vehicle No.OD304912 & OD30-4913 objected that there is clash of timing at Kalimela.

Smt. Lipsita Samanta, owner of the vehicle No.OD24J-3939 filed objection that, the applicant has suggested more halting time and violates Rule-2(d) of OMV Rules, 1993.

Sri Ashok Kumar Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD24A-1818 has objected that, the applicant has suggested more halting time and violates Rule-2(d) of OMV Rules, 1993.

The TP application will be considered subject to verification of objection filed by the objectors.



243. Route:- KATIRIA TO LAXMANNATH VIA BAISINGA, PANASIA AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AU8465.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

244. Route:- AIIMS TO UDAYPUR VIA BALASORE AND BACK, HIMANSU SEKHAR SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01V9815.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Debabrata Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD05P3231 filed an objection that there is clash from Balasore to Bhubaneswar.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that there is VCR for plying of vehicle without permit.

245. Route:- BALIAPAL TO ROURKELA VIA JAJPUR ROAD, DUBURI AND BACK, CHOUDHURY MANASI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AK3555.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. Subhrajeeet Jena on behalf of Sanjay Kumar Mishra , owner of the vehicle No.OD01Q-2751 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. Subhrajeeet Jena on behalf of Puspallata Sarangi , owner of the vehicle No.OD01S-0737 filed an objection stating that , there is clash of time at Balasore. He also said that, the applicant's vehicle is more than 14 years old.

The vehicle is of 01/2010 model. Hence it is rejected.

246. Route:- PATTAMUNDAI TO BHADRAK VIA MATO AND BACK WITH INTERTRIP BHADRAK TO CHANDABALI AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16C5763.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Gopabandhu Panigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OD22W-3233 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Chandabali.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

247. Route:- BALIGUDA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BADALA, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, TIKINA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02K0031.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Prasanna Kumar Choudhury, owner of the vehicle No.OD02Y-9232 has filed objection and stated that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Tikabali.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

248. Route:- PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO NARSINGHPUR VIA KHURDHA, BAGHAMARI AND BACK, SANJITA BEHURA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04J4035.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.


The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

249. Route:- ROURKELA TO BALASORE VIA BARKOTE AND BACK, SAROJ DALAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11K3388.

The applicant is present. The applicant has applied in up trip slot No.5 and down trip free zone.

Sri Prasant Periwal, owner of the vehicle No.OD11-2461 submitted objection wherein he stated that, the applied dep. timing of the applicant from Balasore (8.05 PM) is not free zone.

Sri Chaturbhuja Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AF-8730 and OD05AM-8330 filed objection and stated that there is clash at Balasore.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Shibam Shree Atul Gaana, owner of the vehicle No.OD01B-3949 has filed objection and stated that there is clash of timing from Balasore to Rourkela.

Sri Purusottam Pallei, owner of vehicle No.OD11K1385 filed an objection and stated that the proposed route covers ghat area.

The vehicle is of 01/2010 model. Hence rejected.

250. Route:- BELPAHAR TO AINTHAPALI AND BACK 2RT, SABITA NAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23J9411.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

251. Route:- CHARMACHA TO KAMAKHYANAGAR VIA JAGAMOHANPUR , TELKOI AND BACK, ANITA KUMARI BISOYI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Y4601.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Prasanta Kumar Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OD28B-1204 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Jagmohanpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

252. Route:- UADAYPUR TO DOSINGHA VIA HALADIPADA , BALASORE AND BACK, SABITARANI MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22Z3988.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. Subhrajee Jena on behalf of Ganesh Chandra Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OD01K-8182 filed objection, stated that, there is clash of time at Udaypur. He also stated that, there is 2 Nos. of VCRs pending against applicant's vehicle drawn on 25.07.2024 and 13.08.2024.

Adv. H.P.Mohnty on behalf of Girija Kumari Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD22-8426 in his objection stated that, there is clash of time at and, heavy tax penalty is pending against two vehicle of the applicant i.e. OR22D-0909 and OD22D-0012.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Shantilata Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OR22C-3772 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore.

Durga Periwal, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AN-4821 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of timing between her service and his service at Jaleswar.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that two nos. of e-Challan U/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

253. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO KEONJHAR VIA BHOJPUR , TILEIBANI AND BACK, SADANANDA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23G5496.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Smt. Sangeeta Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD23E-9596 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of timing at Jharsuguda, Kuchinda, Deogarh, Pallahara and Keonjhar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

254. Route:- PURI TO BERHAMPUR VIA SATAPADA AND BACK, CHITRASEN BALIARSINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13R2065.


Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Jalandhar Baliarsingh, owner of the vehicle No.OD13E-5557 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Puri(up trip) and Berhampur(down trip).

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

255. Route:- BHADARK TO SARAT, BHADRAK TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, KAILASH CHANDRA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33AL6955.

The applicant is present. The applicant has applied in slot No.129 (free zone).


C. B. Mohanty

There is no objection. The application will be considered jointly along with other applications applied in the same slot.

256. Route:- FARANG TO TITILAGARH VIA JARINGA AND BACK, BIRA KISHOR PRAHARAJ, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08J5844.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

257. Route:- BAULA TO BAHDARK AND BACK AND BHADRAK TO AGARPADA AND BACK KARUNAKAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR22F0858.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

258. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO MALKANGIRI VIA BOLANGIR, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BJ8157.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of the vehicle No.OD02BJ-8156.

There is no objection. The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD02BJ-8156.

259. Route:- BANSPAL TO BADAPOKHARI VIA AGARPADA, KUPARI AND BACK, AYUSH KUMAR BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD025755.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant

It is the alter service of the vehicle No.OR04L-0885.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Suvransu Sekhar Mallick, owner of the vehicle No.OD19S-8042 filed objection stating that the slots applied are not vacant as the slots have been allotted to vehicle No.OR05AH-8562 as revealed in the Bhubaneswar to Balasore rationalised route.

This route will be notified inviting applications for grant of PP. Hence this application is rejected.



260. Route:- SONEPUR TO SUNDARGARH VIA SAMBALPUR, RENGALI AND BACK, SADANANDA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23E1096.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Rampukar Rai, owner of the vehicle No.OR23E-4896 objected that, there is clash of time at Sundergarh in down trip.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Debadatta Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OR31-3080 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Sonapur(up trip).

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sushama Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD15H-5455 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Sonapur(up trip).

The proposed slots are not vacant. Hence this application is rejected.

261. Route:- KEONJHAR TO ROURKELA VIA BHADRASAHI , RUGUDI AND BACK, KHIZIR HAYAT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD09C-9977

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

262. Route:- DOSINGHA TO BALASORE VIA BASUDEBPUR , BETARHA AND BACK, SABITA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR22D5484.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

263. Route:- BALIJORI TO CHAMPUA VIA KARANJIA, RARUAN AND BACK, ANURAG DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11E0808.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Laxmikanta Basa, owner of the vehicle No.OD11T-0145 filed an objection and stated that, there is exact clash of time at Raruan to Champua.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

264. Route:- KHILEI TO BURLA VIA BADARAMA, GOUDPALI AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR ROUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16C0325.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Udhaba Ch. Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD16A-7855 filed objection stated that, there is clash of timing from Kansar to Burla.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

265. Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA BOLANGIR, SONEPUR AND BACK, TRINATH PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03X8755.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

266. Route:- JANHIKUDA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA LANGALESWAR , BALUGAON AND BACK, SURESH SAMANTASINGHAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK7978.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Shantilata Pattanaik, owner of the vehicle No.OD02BK-0723 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Balugaon.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing and the distance from Bhubaneswar to Khurda to be corrected.

267. Route:- NARSINGHPUR TO JHARSUGUDA VIA REAMAL AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR ROUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15J1041.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Manoj Kumar Acharya, owner of the vehicle No.OD19X-5232 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing at Chhendipada.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

268. Route:- NURSINGNATH TO KANTABANJI VIA NUAPADA , KURUMPURI AND BACK, KRISHNA TIWARI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26D9244.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

269. Route:- BHADRAK TO KOIRHA MARKET VIA SINGADA , RARUAN AND BACK, SANATAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AN7955.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Saraswati Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD09X-2525 filed objection stating that, the applied timing is not proposed in any vacant slots and the slot allotted to the vehicle No.OD02NL-5670.

Sri Ranjit Kumar Palei, owner of the vehicle No.OR11J-4225 & OR11J-6395 stated in his objection that, there is clash of time at Thakurmunda.

The application is not considered as the proposed slots are not vacant.

270. Route:- ALKUN TO BHADRAK AND BACK WITH INTERTRIP BHADRAK TO DOBAL, BHADRAK TO AGARPADA AND BACK ARABINDA PATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22V7273.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

271. Route:- GOVINDAPALI TO POTTANGI AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15G2495.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Rama Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD10E-7361 filed objection stating that, there is excess halting time at Similiguda, Lamtaputa.



G.V. Satyanarayan Murthy, owner of vehicle No.OR10F0459 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Similiguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

272. Route:- RAIRANGPUR TO BARBIL VIA RARUAN, CHAMPUA AND BACK, NABIN KISHORE MOHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05D0735.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv.P.K.Behera filed four objections on behalf of :

(a). Md. Anwar Ansari, owner of the vehicle No.OR09M-1657 filed objection stating therein that, there is clash at Champua.

(b) Md. Israr Ali, owner of the vehicle No.OR09Q-6727 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Barbil.

(c) Laxmikanta Basa, owner of the vehicle No.OD11T-0145 filed objection stating that there is clash at Raruan.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

273. Route:- ROURKELA TO DAMANJODI VIA BOLANGIR, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02C3099.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service. There is no objection.

The application is not considered as the proposed slots are not vacant.

274. Route:- RUCHIDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA BOUDH, PHULABANI AND BACK, KULBHUSAN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G0629.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of S.Reena Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AF-5888 stated in his objection that, there is clash of timing from Behampur to Bargarh.

The proposed slots are not vacant, hence the application is not considered.



275. Route:- JAJPUR TOWN TO PURI VIA KUAKHIA AND BACK, NIHAR RANJAN SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BN5886.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 198 kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

276. Route:- PANGA TO ATTHAMALLIK VIA PHULABANI, KHAJURIPADA AND BACK, GITANJALI BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12E9910.

Adv. P.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Nrusingha Charan Sahoo owner of the vehicle No.OD25A-7995 filed objection stating that, the applicant's vehicle is having one VCR case U/s.192(A) of the MV Act and there is clash from Phulbani to Charichaka.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

277. Route:- JERANGO TO CUTTACK VIA RAMBHA, BALUGAON AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AH5533.

Adv.H.P.Moanty is present for the applicant.


There is no objection. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

278. Route:- CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) TO KAIJHAR VIA ODAGAON, JAGANNATHPATANA AND BACK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. DEBASISH BEHERA. OD02AF6059.

The applicant is present.

Sri Kanhu Charan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD02BC-9711 filed objection stating that there is clash from Gadaguda to Kaijhar (Tikabali).

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that two. e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.



279. Route:- ROURKELA TO ASURALI VIA JAJPUR ROAD, PANIKOILI AND BACK, RATNAKAR BAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AU9225.


Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant. It is Night service.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Ranjan Kumar Padhi, owner the vehicle No.OD05B-4445 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of timing from Kuakhia to Anandapur.

The applicant has not applied in vacant slot, hence the application is not considered.

280. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO TIRING VIA DEOGARH, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, DUKHISHYAM PUJARI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA2155.

It is the alter service of Sl. No.228. The decision of Sl. No.228 is applicable.


4/10/2024

Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman
STA, Odisha, Cuttack