

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF
STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 20.08.2024**

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman,
STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Chairman**
2. Smt. Chinmayee Biswal. OAS(SAG),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Member**
3. Smt. Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(SAG)
Addl. Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack **Member**

1. Route:- SARASKANA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BALASORE,
SORO AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE
NO.OD11V0015.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao on behalf of Hiramani Mishra, owner of the vehicle
No.OD11G-1361 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at
Bhubaneswar.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Bhramabar Nayak, owner of the
vehicle No.OD01AS7979 filed an objection stating there is exact clash
at Baripada.


The proposed slot is not vacant, hence the application is not
considered.

2. Route:- GUNUPUR TO JARADA VIA MAHENDRAGARH AND BACK, TRIPATI
CHOWDHARY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD13K7188.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. M.B.K.Rao on behalf of Sri Jitendra Kumar Sahu, owner of
vehicle No.OD07AS-8141 filed an objection stating that, there is clash
of timing at R.Udayagiri and the stoppages as well as halting time is not
as per express norm.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free
timing and as per express norms of Rule 2(d) of OMV Rules.





Route:- TELIBHUIN TO ANGUL, BAGEDIA AND BACK, SHANTILATA SAHU,
OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD195343.

Sri Santosh Sahu, son of the applicant present on behalf of his
Mother.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to
verification of clash free timing.

4. Route:- KARANJHADIA TO GHATGAON VIA BHADRAK AND BACK, HIMADRI
BIHARI PUHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD22X1006.

Adv. Subhrajee Jena is present for the applicant.

Adv. K.Mohammad on behalf of objector Prafulla Mohapatra, owner
of the vehicle No.OD22Y-7879 stated that there is clash of timing from
Bhadrak to Ghatagaon.

Sri Ganesh Ch. Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OR13G6611 stated
that there is clash of timing from Bhadrak.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of objector Debadutta Mohapatra, owner
of the vehicle No.OD22Z-1347 stated there is clash of timing from
Bhadrak to Basudevapur.

Sri Sriram Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD22D5075 stated there is
clash at Bhadrak and Basudevapur.

On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A)
against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.

5. Route:- ANGUL TO BHUBANESWAR VIA NARSINGHPUR, BADAMBA AND
BACK, ANJALI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR09Q5846.

Adv. Santanu Das and Adv R.Acharya present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sarat Ch. Pradhan, owner of the vehicle
No.OD02AH9870 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing
from Bhubaneswar to Narsinghpur. And violated the 302nd STA
proceedings, as the route covers more than 25% of the rationalized
route.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of objector Prasanta Ku. Mohapatra, owner
of the vehicle No.OD05G6296 stated that there is exact clash at
Narsinghpur.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

6. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO DASHAPALLA AND BACK, PRIYABRATA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR16E1055.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

7. Route:- NUAPADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GODIPADA, RANAPUR AND BACK, SUDHIR KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34G5883.

The applicant is absent. There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

8. Route:- CUTTACK TO BOLANGIR VIA NAYAGARH, AND BACK, PARTHA PATTANAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AE7209.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A) against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.

9. Route:- ROURKELA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PALA LAHARHA, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, ABINASH ROURTRAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Z5679.


The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

10. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAGHIAPADA VIA KANTILO AND BACK, SALMA SULTANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02H8853.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



11. Route:- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO KALIMELA VIA KORAPUT AND BACK, ROHIT KUMAR PADHIARY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BX9001.

The applicant is absent. This is an alter service of vehicle No.OR22E-5484.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

12. Route:- KONARK TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA NIMAPADA AND BACK, ANAM CHARAN SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CL7533.

Adv. MBK Rao is present for the applicant.

The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.

13. Route:- BALIAPAL TO BERHAMPUR VIA BHADRAK, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, MR BIJAY KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01T4445.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Manasi Nanda, owner of the vehicle No.OD22A2979 filed an objection stating therein that there is clash from Kalipada to Berhampur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Brundaban Gaan, owner of the vehicle No.OD05BH-2888 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Baliapal.


The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

14. Route:- UTUNIA TO BURLA VIA DEOGARH, JAMANKIRA AND BACK, JAGYASINI PUROHIT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15Y8774.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

15. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO KUNDEI VIA BALIGUDA, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, BASANT KUMAR BISOI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07D1112.



Adv. Sri A.Behera is present for the applicant. It is night service.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

16. Route:- CHIPILIMA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA ANGUL AND BACK, MRUTYUNJAYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA1155.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

17. Route:- BELPAHAR TO SIKAJORE VIA MCL, DUDUKA AND BACK, GHANASHYAM KARTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD23R0347

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

18. Route:- BUDHAPAL TO ANGUL VIA HANUMANAPUR, TAKUA AND BACK, NILU PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD19Y7772.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A) against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.


19. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO PHULABANI VIA KALAPATHARA , KANTILO AND BACK, AVINASH RANASINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02CP6935.

The applicant is present and informed that he wants to withdraw the application. The applicant is directed to file withdrawal application.

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

20. Route:- NAKTIDEOL TO SOUTH BALANDA VIA CHHENDIPADA , KOSALA AND BACK, NABAGHAN SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD19Y0492.

Adv.S. Mishra is present for the applicant.



Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Maheswar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD10C-3994 filed objection stating that , there is clash from Chhendipada to Angul.

Sri Sunil Kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD19F7777 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul towards Balanda.

On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A) against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.

21. Route:- SONEPUR TO ANGUL VIA AMBAPAL, BOINDA AND BACK, MOHAN KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19N5574.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sasmita Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD15A-7291 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing in the Down trip from Angul to Sonepur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Puspa Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD19Y-4437 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of time from Boinda to Angul.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

22. Route:- NUAPADA TO BERHAMPUR VIA RAIRAKHOL, BOUDH AND BACK, JAYKISHAN DORA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15K6464.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle. Hence the application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

23. Route:- DHARMAGARH TO KANTABANJI VIA KESINGA, BELGAON AND BACK, RITA SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO OD08X1514.

Adv. A. Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 179kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.



4. Route:- JHARSUGUDA TO KEONJHAR VIA TILEIBANI , DEOGARH AND BACK, BIMAL KUMAR PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23P4585.

Adv J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Surat Ku. Patel, owner of the vehicle No.OD15E2577 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Jhasuguda to Jagmohanpur.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Priyabrata Barik, owner of the vehicle No.OD22A-2979 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Dharendra Kumar Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD23P-4585 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Jarsuguda to Kuchinda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

25. Route:- RAMBAHAL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KHAMAR , PITIRI AND BACK, OMM SHREE CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02CT5127.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

26. Route:- ROURKELA TO BARBIL VIA KALTA, KOIRHA AND BACK, NIMANTI BHENGRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR14W0874.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Smt. Nirmala Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11E-4455 filed an objection petition stating that there is exact clash of time at Barbil.

Adv. Prasanna Ku. Behera on behalf of Manoranjan Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD09E-5737 filed an objection stating that there is exact time at Barbil.

Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Bholanath Mahanta, owner of the vehicle No.OD09V-8118 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing in entire route from Rourkela to Barbil.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

27. Route:- ASTIA TO PARADIP VIA UGRATARA, CHANDABALI AND BACK, JYOTIRMAY BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11C8238.

The applicant is present.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Shantilata Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OR22-3772 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Balasore to Jhamjhadi.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Jitendranath Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD22B-3772 filed an objection stating that the applicant has violated the Rule-2(d) of OMV Rules, 1993 and there is also clash of time at Baripada to Bhadrak.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Deepak Kumar Dash, owner of the vehicle No.OD29-3333 filed objection stating that there is clash from Bhadrak to Chandabali.

The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.

28. Route:- BARGARH TO NARSINGHPUR VIA BOUDH, CHARICHHAK AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AM3033.

The applicant is present and informs it is the alter service of OR15P2121.

Sri Kanhu Charan Sahu owner of vehicle No-OD02AY-2511 filed objection stating that there is clash of timings Narsinghpur to Boudh in Down Trip & Dashpalla to Gania in Up trip.

On verification, It is the alter service of vehicle No.OR15P-2121 whose permit has already been expired since 17.06.2024. However the vehicle covers 236kms in one way and applied as ordinary norms of service. Hence rejected.

29. Route:- CHARAMPA TO BHADRAK & BHADRAK TO KUPARI VIA GADI, TIHIDI AND BACK, DURYODHAN BHOI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD04M6569.

Adv. K.Mohammad is present for the applicant.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty filed two objections:

- (a) On behalf of Khirod Kumar Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD22A-3073 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Bansada to Bhadrak.
- (b) On behalf of Satya Ranjan Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD22Y-6179 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Bhadrak to Kupari.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Gopabandhu Panigrahi owner of the vehicle No.OD23W-3233 and OR05AE-7036 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Gadi to Bhadrak.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Parsuram Parhi, owner of the vehicle No.OD22X-3904 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Bhadrak to Dhamara.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

30. Route:- CHARIBATIA TO UDALA VIA ANANTAPUR, ANJI AND BACK, RAHAS BIHARI MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22Y3332.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Minakshi Das, owner of the vehicle No.OR01T-3214 filed objection petition saying that there is clash at Udala.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

31. Route:- ROURKELA TO JEYPORE VIA SAMBALPUR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, CMD OSRTC, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD14AB6959.

Applicant is present.

Sri Tushar Kanta Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD15J-9300 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Rourkela.

The application will be considered if the proposed slots are vacant and it will be decided jointly alongwith other applicants.



2. Route:- SONAPUR TO KOTLING & BACK AND SONAPUR TO CITY HOSPITAL, BERHAMPUR TWO R.T. AND BACK, SITUN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BT4796.

The applicant is absent.

It is inter-state enclave route. There is no objection. A report regarding coverage of enclave route, will be obtained from the concerned RTO.

33. Route:- KORUA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA ASURESWAR, NISCHINTAKOILI AND BACK 2 R.T., TANUJA BHUYAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BA3843.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in the slots which was already been allotted to OR05J-2757. The route will be notified. The proposed slots are not vacant, hence this application is rejected.

34. Route:- BARPALI TO M RAMPUR VIA GHANTAPADA, AMBAGAON AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR AGARWALLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05S4003.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

35. Route:- PEGARPADA TO ROURKELA VIA KENDRAPARA, SALEPUR AND BACK, SUSANTA KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AT3663.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. Not applied in vacant slot, hence the application is rejected.

36. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO BHANJANAGAR & BERHAMPUR TO ASKA AND BACK, SRINIBAS PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07H8755.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Ranjeet Kumar Padhy, owner of the vehicle No. OD05P-2449 filed an objection stating that clash of timing from Behrampur to Aska.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Srikant Ku.Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD07T-1173 and OR07V-3873 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Sri Siba Narayan Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD32J-9891 and No.OR25A-2525 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balipadar.

Sri Abinash Kumar Panigrahi appeared on behalf of his brother Sri Asis Kumar Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD07M2424 and has filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur

Sri S.N.Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD12A-8299 stating that, there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

37. Route:- GUGUA TO ANGUL VIA KHAMAR, SAMAL AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD191127.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Pradip Kumar Nanda, owner of the vehicle No.OD19A-4828 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Angul to Talcher.

Sri Susil kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD19D-3777 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Talcher.

Smt. Sandhyarani Satpathy, owner of the vehicle No.OD19B-5389 filed an objection stating therein that there is clash of timing at Pallahara.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

38. Route:- TARKERA TO ROURKELA AND ROURKELA TO RANIPIA AND BACK, FAZEEL KAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD16K5087.

Adv.A. Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty has filed four objections as per the followings :



(a). On behalf of Vijay Kumar Sharma , owner of the vehicle No.OD16E-3443 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

(b). On behalf of Amit Sharma , owner of the vehicle No.OD16K-7555 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time from Rajgangapur to Ranipia.

(c). On behalf of Sushil Kumar Sharma, owner of the vehicle No.OD16E-1555 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rajgangpur to Rourkela.

Soheb Alam, owner of the vehicle No.OD15G2684 has filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

A.K. Biswal, owner of vehicle No.OD14J0979 has filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

39. Route:- MUKHIGUDA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SONEPUR, BOUDH AND BACK, CHITTARANJAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14R8877.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

40. Route:- DHENKANAL TO SULEIPAT VIA KEONJHAR, CHAMPUA AND BACK, RASHMIREKHA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AC4566.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD09N-3663.

Adv. A.Behera on behalf of Md. Abrar Ansari , owner of the vehicle No.OD09X-7330 and on behalf of Laxmikanta Basa, owner of the vehicle No.OD11AA-2837 filed objections regarding clash of timings at Champua.

It was decided that, TP will be granted as per the timing of vehicle No.OD09N-3663.



41. Route:- BOUDH TO GUDIAKATENI VIA HANDAPA, BOINDA AND BACK, PUSPA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19H0213.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Bijay Kumar Rout, owner of the vehicle No.OD05A-7288 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timings at Boinda to Gudiakatani.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Bhagyadhar Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD17AA-5726 filed an objection stating that the applied stoppages of the applicant violates Rule-2(d) of OMV Rules,1993,which is also irrational and defective & also there is clash of timings at Boinda.

Adv. A.Behera on behalf of Jyotshnarani Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD19H-0213 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timings at Angul.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

42. Route:- MENDA TO SAMBALPUR VIA MAHULPALLI, GARVANA AND BACK, BIBEKANANDA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15V6893.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle. Hence the application is rejected.

43. Route:- RAMBAHAL TO BHUBANESWAR VIA BARKOTE AND BACK, JITEN KUMAR SING, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02BA5127.


The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

44. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BINIKA VIA BOUDH, BIRAMAHAJAPUR AND BACK, NIRANJAN SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02AR4199.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



45. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO GOPALPRASAD VIA BHAPUR, RASOL AND BACK, SURESH CH SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19J2799.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Asis Kumar Routray, owner of the vehicle No.OD05T-1679 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Bhubaneswar to Angul.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Alok Kumar Routray, owner of the vehicle No.OD05K-8589 filed an objection stating that, there is clash on the route from Angul to Bhubaneswar in Down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

46. Route:- ANGUL TO CHANDABALI VIA SUKINDA , DUBURI AND BACK, SUSHIL KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19X5777.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Suresh Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OR21D-0132 filed an objection stating that clash of time at Duburi and violates express norms.

Sri Nishikanta Panda , owner of the vehicle No.OD19J-4576 stated in his objection that there is clash of timing at Angul and there is exact time at Sathipur.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution as per express norms.

47. Route:- GADASAHIJANKIA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA RAMESWAR, KHURDHA AND BACK, GOUTAM SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BY9697.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sukanta Kumar Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AW-7343 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timings at Nirakarpur to Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



3. Route:- ANGUL TO CHANDABALI VIA DUBURI, JAJPUR TOWN AND BACK, SUSHIL KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19J4576.

It is the alter service of the vehicle at Sl.No.46.

The decision of Sl. No.46 is applicable.

49. Route:- BOUDH TO ANGUL VIA ATTHAMALLIK , THAKURGARH AND BACK, SUDHIR KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BS4453.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Laxmidhar Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AN-5475 filed an objection stating that although the applicant has proposed in express nature of service ,but has given ordinary stoppages at 8,4,9,4,8,7,11 KMs, violating rule-2(d) of OMVR,1993 and there is clash of timing from Madhapur to Angul.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.!

50. Route:- SANKHARI TO UDALA VIA GANDHI CHHAK , BALASORE AND BACK, PRASANTA PERIWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01C4087.


The applicant is present.

Adv. Subhrajeev Jena on behalf of Narayan Biswanath Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OR05Y-3677 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timings at Gandhi chhak.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Manas kumar Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AL-5031 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Balasore in Down trip.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf Arfan khan, owner of the vehicle No.OD01Y-8589 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Baliapal in Up trip.

Sri Rajveer Prasad Saha, owner of the vehicle No.OD11F-6786 filed an objection stating that the vehicle of the applicant covers more than 25% of rationalized route.



Sri Brajgopal Seeth, owner of the vehicle OD01AS-0053 filed objection stating that halting time at Balasore is more both Up & Down trip.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

51. Route:- SORADA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KODALA, KHALIKOTE CHHAKA AND BACK, PRIYANSU SEKHAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AS5055.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to subject to finalization of rationalization timing.

52. Route:- BARADI TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND, VIA RAMESWAR, NIRAKARPUR AND BACK, BENUDHAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25E7005.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Balaram Panigrahi , owner of the vehicle No.OD07AD-9639 & No.OD07N-3699 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Puri.

Sri Sibaram Choudhury, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AH-4944 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Soran.

Sri Satyabadi Tripathy, owner of the vehicle No.OD02BY-1556 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time from Nirakarpur to Puri.


Sri Raghunath Tripathy, owner of the vehicle No.OD02BY-0255 submitted an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Tangi.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

53. Route:- ANGUL TO BOLANI VIA KEONJHAR AND BACK, ARNIBASH SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09M0151.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OR09Q-8621.



Sri Abhisek Sahoo, son of owner Bijayalaxmi Sahoo, vehicle No.OD09L-2577 present on behalf of his mother and objected that, there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Remuli.

Sri Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD19M-7777 objected that there is clash of timing at Talcher in up trip.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Santosh Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05BR-4097 stated that, there is clash of time from Talcher to Pallahara

Adv. H.P.Mohanty filed three objections :

(a) on behalf of Krushna Gopal Das, owner of the vehicle No.OR19M-5396 stating therein that there is clash of timing at Talcher

(b) on behalf of Tanvir Alam , owner of the vehicle No.OD09D-9577 stating that there is clash of time from Joda to Keonjhar.

(c) Sri Sushil Kumar Baghalbabu, owner of the vehicle No.OD09M-7872 stating that there is clash from Keonjhar to Pallahara.

Adv. S. Mishra for Jyoti Prakash Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD09F-2737 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Bolani to Harichandanpur.

Sagar Samal, owner of the vehicle No OD19X0328 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Talcher

The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OR09Q-8621 and verification of previous history.

54. Route:- JAJPUR TOWN TO JHARIGAM VIA BOLANGIR, KESINGA AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CQ1585.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Surendra Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02T-7857 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Chandhandi to Cuttack.

Sri Surendra Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AA-7997 filed objection intimating that there is clash of time from Dharmgarh to Bhubaneswar.



Sri Surendra Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02CQ-0057 filed objection intimating that there is clash of timing from Cuttack to Bhawanipatna.

The proposed slot is not vacant in up trip. Hence the application is not considered.

55. Route:- UADAYPUR TO UDALA VIA GANDHI CHHAK, BALASORE AND BACK, DURGA PERIWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01U0787.

Sri Surendra Periwal son of the vehicle present on behalf of his father.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Hemanta Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD01E-0929 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Kunja Bihari Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD05C-7326 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Balasore in up trip and the applicant violates the 302nd STA proceedings of the STA meeting.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

56. Route:- TOPADIHI TO ROURKELA & BACK AND TOPADIHI TO RUGUDI AND BACK, SAMEER KUMAR DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16D2655.


Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Prasanta Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD14D-3674 filed an objection and stated that there is exact clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

57. Route:- NUNIAPALI TO BOLANGIR, BOLANGIR TO BANDHAPADA AND BACK, BOLANGIR TO KHUNTIGORA AND BACK, GANGADHAR PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03A3232.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

58. Route:- TOPADIHI TO ROURKELA & BACK AND TOPADIHI TO BARBIL AND BACK, SHIBASANKAR MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16D3055.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Rajesh kumar Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD14M-6179 and OD14T-7179 and Rakesh Kumar Pradhan owner of the vehicle No.OD14P3279 filed objections stating that, there is clash of timing at Lahunipada.

Sri Samir Kumar Mohanta, owner of the vehicle No.OD14R0-0848 filed objections stating that, there is clash of timing at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

59. Route:- KANSAR TO ROURKELA VIA BARKOTE , PURUNAPANI AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.. OD28A2266.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Anjan Kumar Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD14C9817 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Barkote.


Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Srikanta Biswal, owner of the vehicle No.OD14AC-5825 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Lathikata.

Sri Nabin Kumar Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD28A-2266 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela and Lathikata.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

60. Route:- BOUDH TO SONEPUR AND SONEPUR TO TUSURA AND BACK, DIBYA RANJAN MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Z3149.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

61. Route:- BARPALI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOUDH , CHARICHHAK AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR MAHANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03N2588.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

62. Route:- BOUDH TO BURLA AND BACK AND BOUDH TO PHULBANI AND BACK, BISWANATH PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Z3430.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Sarat Ranjan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05E-8409 submitted an objection stating that there is clash of time from Boudh to Charichhak.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

63. Route:- PHULABANI TO BRAHMANPADA VIA ARABAKA , TIKABALI AND BACK, SASMITA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CU5607.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.


There is no objection. The proposed route chart is defective, hence the application is not considered.

64. Route:- PARADIP TO PURI VIA NUAHATA , CHARICHHAK AND BACK, DHRUBA CHARAN BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD21Q6237.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in vacant slot slot No.3 from Paradeep to Jagatsinghpur route (category-C) and No.77 from Jagatsinghpur to Paradeep in rationalised route.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Umakanta Padhi, owner of the vehicle No.OR05Z-7134 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Sikhar to Puri.



The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.

65. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO S. JAGADALPUR VIA BERHAMPUR, GOKRANPUR AND BACK, SOUMYA RANJAN PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CV0094.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant. This is an enclave route.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Anasuya Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AD-8199 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application will be considered after receiving report from concerned RTO and finalization of rationalization timing.

66. Route:- DHANADAMUNDA TO DAVA VIA MALDA , BHULIABANHA AND BACK, NIROJ KUMAR SINHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD171248.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

67. Route:- CUTTACK TO BODEN VIA SONEPUR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, TARUN CHANDRA HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15U7399.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Namita Pattnaik, owner of the vehicle No.OD26C-6377 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Khariar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

68. Route:- BIJEPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOUDH , DASHAPALLA AND BACK, SESHDEV PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD31M2299.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



69. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO SINAPALI VIA SONEPUR , BOLANGIR AND BACK, PRASANTA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33AJ0064.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

70. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARSUAN VIA GHATGAON, KEONJHAR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AC6030.

Adv. S.Mishra is absent for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

71. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KHAMAN VIA KARASINGH, JAGANNATHPRASAD AND BACK, SUPRIYA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CV6453.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

72. Route:- GHUGUDIPADA TO RASULGARH VIA BENAGADIA , KHALISAHI AND BACK, JAYANTI SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BY0322.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing with termini at Bhubaneswar (Baramunda).

73. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO JHARSUGUDA, VIA DARLIPALI, RAIBAGA AND BACK 2 R.T., MD SABDAR ALLI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14J3879.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of rationalisation route and clash free timing.



74. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO DAMANJODI VIA BHAWANIPATANA, JUNAGARH AND BACK, SOUMYA RANJAN PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BA8894.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

75. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARBIL VIA HARICHANDANPUR, KEONJHAR AND BACK, SWAPNAMAYEE SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04L7585.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in Up-trip slot No.4 and in Dn. Trip, slot No.174 in Category-A2.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf Ram Prasad Hembram, owner of the vehicle No.OD09B-9854 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Keonjhar to Barbil.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Nabin Kumar Sethy, owner of the vehicle No.OD09E-3123 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Barbil to Keonjhar.

Adv. K.Mohammad on behalf of Chittaranjan Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD04J-0085 filed objection stating that, there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Prakash Ch. Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OD02CH-3723 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing at Keonjhar to Bhubaneswar.

Iswar Chandra Mohanta, owner of vehicle No.OD092616 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of timing Harichandanpur up trip and Keonjhar down trip.

The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.



76. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO DAMANJODI VIA BHAWANIPATANA, JUNAGARH AND BACK, BHARAT PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BH8894.

It is the alter service of Sl. No.74. The decision of Sl. No.74 is applicable.

77. Route:- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO MUNIGUDA VIA GUNUPUR , BHAMINI AND BACK, MAMITA KUMARI NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18M1517.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

78. Route:- BHEDEN TO NUAPADA VIA PUNJIPATHAR, KUNDAKHAI AND BACK, KRUPASINDHU DEEP, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AC3822.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The distance covered in one way is 170kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

79. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO ASKA VIA CHILIKA , KESHPUR AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR POLAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AU3609.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of rationalised route and timing.

80. Route:- BANEI TO SUNDARGARH VIA MAHULPALLI, KABRIBAHAL AND BACK, RATAN KUMAR SARANGEE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15G2584.

The applicant is present.

Sabita Purohit, owner of the vehicle No.OD16K-5255 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Bamara to Bonei, Sundargarh & Keseibahal.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing with reducing stoppages and increasing halting time at termini.

81. Route:- PITALA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHEJIPUT, BALUGAON AND BACK, PRADEEPTA KUMAR BARAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CS5198.

Adv H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Manoranjan Panda on behalf of Pankijini Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD16D-2601 filed an objection stating that, there is exact clash of timing at Aska.

The application is not considered as on verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

82. Route:- FCI CHHAK TO DEOGARH VIA TALCHER, NTPC CHHAKA AND BACK, DEBASISH ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19X8833.

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Ajit Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD19F-9575 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time from NTPC to Kosla.


Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sarbeswar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD28-7088 filed objection stating that there is clash at Kosala to Karlaga in down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

83. Route:- PURI TO BIRMITRAPUR VIA KHAMAR, ROURKELA AND BACK, MAMITA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14M8669.

Adv. P.Behera is present for the applicant. It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD14Q-9459.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sarat Ku. Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD05Y-2227 stating therein that, there is clash from Rourkela to puri. He further stated that, it need to be verified whether the applicant has taken another TP within one year.



The application is considered subject to verification of previous history.

84. Route:- ROURKELA TO BARBIL VIA K.BALANG, RAKSHI AND BACK, SUSANTA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AA6774.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Nirmal Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11E-4455 filed objection and stated that, there is clash of time at Barbil.

Adv. P.Behera filed two objections on behalf of :

(a).Sri Bholanath Mahanta, owner of the vehicle No.OD09V-8118 stating that, there is clash of timing at Rourkela to Barbil.

(b).Sri Chiranjit Mahanta , owner of the vehicle No.OR09N-1787 stating that, there is clash from Rourkela up to Barbil.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

85. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PAILIPARHA VIA SHARANKULA, ODAGAON AND BACK, MANASI MANJARI MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK4253.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.


86. Route:- BELAGUNTHA TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK 2RT, SRIKANTA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19R5829.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

87. Route:- BURLA TO ATTHAMALLIK VIA MOCHIBAHAL, CHARMAL AND BACK, KRISHNA GOPAL MAHAKUD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15R7588.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

88. Route:- OSTAR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KORUA , NARENDRAPUR AND BACK, BHAGYABATI SETHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD29A0666.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Pravas Ku. Das, owner of the vehicle No.OR09M-4126 filed objection stating that, there is no vacant slot in the applied route.

The applicant is directed to submit the detail route map thereafter it will be considered as per rule.

89. Route:- TALCHER TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA RASOL , BHAPUR AND BACK, BINODINI SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19H8211.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.Behera filed three objections :

(a). On behalf of Debabrata Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD19T-8188 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Angul to Bhunaneswar.

(b). On behalf of Binod Bihari Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AM-9277 filed objection stating that, there is clash from Bhubaneswar to Angul.


(c). On behalf of Paradise Tour & Travels, owner of the vehicle No.OD02CT-2122 filed objection stating that there is clash from Bhubaneswar to Talcher.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

90. Route:- SARAT TO KALIPADA VIA NILAGIRI , SERGARH AND BACK, MUNCI ABDUL SARFARAJ ALLI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01A8786.

Adv. K.Mohammed is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Glosi Mishra owner of the vehicle No.OD05K-7514 filed objection stating that the applicant has violated



299th. STA proceeding, and there is clash of timing from Sarat to Balasore.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Ashok Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD04P-5785 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Udala and the applicant's service violates 299th. STA proceeding.

Adv. S.Jena on behalf of Krishna Jena, owner of the vehicle No.OD22Q-2282 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore, Gandhichhak.

Adv. S.Jena on behalf of Saroj Kumar Sarangi, owner of the vehicle No.OR11K-0895 objected that, there is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. S.Jena on behalf of Pankaj Kumar Sarangi, owner of the vehicle No.OD01G-3737 objected that, there is clash of time at Kalipada and Baliapal.

The application is rejected as per 299th STA meeting resolution as the route length covered by the vehicle is less than 160kms in one way.

91. Route:- KATINGIA TO BERHAMPUR VIA TAPTAPANI, PUDAMARI AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07K9181.

Adv. MBK Rao is present for the applicant.


There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

92. Route:- BAHALDA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BOMBAY CHHACK, BARIPADA AND BACK, SAILENDRA KUMAR MANDAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AF1789.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Moanty on behalf of Rabindra Nath Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD11G-3793 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rairangpur to Bhubaneswar.

Adv. H.P.Moanty on behalf of Dillip Karmakar, owner of the vehicle No.OD11AD-0082 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rairangpur.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Ranjan Kumar Mahata, owner of the vehicle No.OD11T0143, OD11E-3792, OD11T-0144 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rairangpur up trip. It violates rule-2(d) of OMVR,1993.

Sri Jyotirmay Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD33N-6699 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Bhubaneswar to Bombay chhak.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

93. Route:- ANGUL TO KUCHINDA VIA GOHIRA CHHAKA , DEOGARH AND BACK, AMARJIT BARLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B6423.

Adv. P.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.Behera on behalf of Maheswar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD19C-3994 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Angul and the applicant has applied in ordinary service instead of express service.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Maheswar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD19C-3994 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing at Angul.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sarbeswar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD28-7088 filed objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Angul to Budhapal.


The distance covered in one way is 171kms whereas the applicant has applied as ordinary service, hence the application is rejected.

94. Route:- JAJPUR TOWN TO JHARIGAM VIA BOLANGIR, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, RANJITA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CS8685.

It is the alter service of SI.No.54. The decision of SI. No.54 is applicable.

95. Route:- PHULABANI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA GANIA , KANTILO AND BACK, RANJITA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CS1585.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



Sri Kanu Charan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD02Y-8199 filed objection stating that there is clash in Up-trip from Khajuripada to Bhubaneswar.

Sri Subas Ch. Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD02H-8953 filed objection stating that there is clash at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

96. Route:- SAKUA TO BARIPADA VIA BALAKA, PADAMPUR AND BACK, KARTIK CHANDRA SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11H3101.

The applicant is present. The vehicle is of 01/2010 model.

Adv. Santanu Dash on behalf of Rajveer Prasad Saha, owner of the vehicle No.OD11X-6786 objected that, the applicant has violated Rule-2(d) of the OMVR, 1993. Further, a WP(C) No.1415 of 2024 is currently pending in Hon'ble High Court of Orissa and now it is under sub judice It was also objected that, one VCR case U/s. 192(A) is pending and thus need to be verified.

The vehicle is 2010 model. Hence the application is rejected.

97. Route:- UADAYPUR TO ROURKELA VIA BHADRAK , PANIKOILI AND BACK, JAYANTA KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01U1522.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Braja Gopal Seet, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AS-0053 filed an objection stating that, there is exact time at Udayapur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

98. Route:- PHUPUGAON TO RAMPUR VIA KORAPUT AND BACK, SURJYA NARAYAN PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15Z4787.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD10X-6493.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Firoj Kumar Turuk, owner of the vehicle No.OD10-7198 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash of time from Laxmipur to Koraput.



The application is considered as alter service of vehicle No.OD10X-6493

99. Route:- BAHALDA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA BOMBAY CHHACK AND BACK, SAILENDRA KUMAR MANDAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AF7189.

It is the alter service of Sl. No.92. The decision of Sl. No.97 is applicable.

100. Route:- ERAM TO UADAYPUR VIA NALABAHAR, MATANI AND BACK, MUNCI ABDUL SARFARAJ ALLI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AH-6626.

Adv. K. Mohammad is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

101. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO HALADIAGADA AND CUTTACK TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, ABINASH MALLICK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AF6995.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in Dn.Trip Slot No.72 and in Up-trip in slot No.17 (Category-B) on the route from Cuttack to Paradeep.

There is no objection. The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.


102. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO MANITARA VIA BALUGAON, BUDHAMBHA AND BACK, NIRANJAN SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CW0599.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalised route and timing.

103. Route:- OUPADA TO BHADRAK AND BACK 2 R.T. AND BHADRAK TO KUPARI AND BACK, BANITA SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22Y4614.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty filed two objections on behalf of :

(a) Satya Ranjan Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD22Y-6179 stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

(b).Partha Sarathi Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD22C-6799 and OD22N-4849 submitted that, there is clash of time at Oupada and Bhadrak.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Pradeep Kumar Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD10K-8955 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Bhadrak to Kupari.

The application is considered subject to verification of 302nd STA resolution.

104. Route:- GOUTAMI TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA PITALA , KABISURYANAGAR AND BACK, JITENDRA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26G0838.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A) against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.

105. Route:- BAMEBARI TO RAIRANGPUR VIA KEONJHAR AND BACK, SOUMYA PRAKASH MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AA0737.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Baijayanti Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD09E4805 and OD09-5705 filed objection submitting that there is clash of timing at Keonjhar and Jashipur respectively.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

106. Route:- KULLADA TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA ODAGAON , BHALIADIHA AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13V5485.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of 302nd STA resolution.



07. Route:- GANDHINAGAR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GOLIA , POLASARA AND BACK, SATYAPRIYA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CW1009.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Purna Ch. Behera ,owner of the vehicle No.OD02U-5810 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Polasara.

The application is considered subject to finalization of rationalization time.

108. Route:- ASKA TO SORADA, AND BACK WITH INTERTRIP ASKA TO BUGUDA, ASKA TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, JAJATI KESHARI MAHANTI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07J2707.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

109. Route:- GANDHI CHOUK TO BARKOTE VIA KUCHINDA , BOXMA AND BACK, SRIKANTA MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23N7722.

The applicant is present.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sumanta Kumar Mallik , owner of the vehicle No.OD35E-6351 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Deogarh to Bhadrak.

The application is not considered as on verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

110. Route:- ROURKELA TO PHATAMUNDA VIA KUCHINDA, REAMAL AND BACK, SWARANIKA DANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B9129.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H,P.Mohanty on behalf of Raghunandan Acharya , owner of the vehicle No.OD16M-8944 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Rourkela.



Sukhjinder Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD16L4334 said that one permit has been granted to OD28A-9129 as alter service of OD28-9129.

The application will be considered verifying the coverage of rationalised route as per 302nd STA resolution.

111. Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO MOTU VIA NABARANGPUR, JEYPORE AND BACK, RAJ ENTERPRISE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26G0124.

Adv. Sri S. Mishra is present for applicant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Himansu Sekhar Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD08T-0513 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Jeypore up to Bhawanipatna.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Tiripati Panigrahi owner of the vehicle No.OD24D-4947 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Papadahandi.

Adv. H.P.Moanty on behalf of Maheswar Bisoi, owner of the vehicle No.OD24B-9585 and Soumyadeep Bisoi, owner of the vehicle No.OD10Z-0225 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Jeypore in up and down trip.

DTM, OSRTC, Jeypore, owner of the vehicle No.OD02H-2174 submitted that there is clash at Bhawanipatna.

Adv.A.Behera on behalf of Sanjay Kumar Padhy, owner of the vehicle No.OD10M-0043 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Malkanagiri.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

112. Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO MOTU VIA NABARANGPUR, JEYPORE AND 'BACK,' SATYA TRAVELS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26G0196.

It is the alter service of SI.No.111.

The vehicle is of 01/2010 model. Hence the application for T.P. is not considered.

13. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PALA LAHARHA , KHAMAR AND BACK, PARADISE TOURS N TRAVELS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CL2122.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Mahesh Chandra Mohapatra , owner of the vehicle No.OR05AQ-5475 filed an objection stating that there is clash from Bhubaneswar in up trip to Pallahara .

Adv. A.Behera on behalf of Kailash Ch.Dwivedi ,owner of the vehicle No.OD15J-3595 filed objection stating that there is clash at Pallahara.

As per 291st STA resolution the application is not considered as on verification there is one e-challan against the vehicle U/s 192(A) of MV Act.

114. Route:- KANALOI TO SAMBALPUR VIA JARPARHA , BOINDA AND BACK, HEMANTA KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15AA3155.

The applicant is present.

The applicant has applied in ordinary service whereas it covers 213kms in one way. Hence the application is not considered.


115. Route:- UADAYPUR TO ROURKELA VIA SORO, BHADRAK AND BACK, JAYANTA KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11K3695.

It is the alter service of Sl.No.97. The decision of Sl. No.97 is applicable.

116. Route:- UADAYPUR TO KHUNTA VIA BASTA , BALASORE AND BACK, SK SAMSH TABREZ, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AX5055.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Dinakrushna Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AT-4334 filed an objection stating that there are two diversions on the route Udayapur to Bhogarai i.e. Thana chhak and another is via-Bichitrapur. On the other side, there are two diversions on the route- Bhogarai to Ulunda , i.e. one via- Kamarda and second is via- Kusurda , Baharda..



Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Debal Kumar Mishra , owner of the vehicle No.OR01Q-2043 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Jaleswar.

Adv. P.Behera on behalf of Manas kumar Mishra owner of the vehicle No.OD01AL-5031 fled an objection stating that, there is clash of time at Jaleswar in down trip.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Hemanta Mohanty , owner of the vehicle No.OD01E-0929 filed an objection submitting that there is clash from Balasore to Bhogarai.

Sri Panchu Gopal Seet, on behalf of Braja Gopal Seet owner of the vehicle No.OD01AS0053 objected that, the route is defective and the distance between Udayapur to Jaleswar is improper.

Sri Durga Periwal, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AN-4821 submitted that, the loading time of his bus at Balasore is 14.52 to 14.55 and the loading time of the applicant is 14.48 to 15.05.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing alongwith proper distance.

117. Route:- CHANDANESWAR TO PURI VIA BHADRAK, PANIKOILI AND BACK, PRAKASHA CHANDRA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G7055.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

Adv. K.Mohammad on behalf of vehicle No.OR01R-7787 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Thanachhaka up to Balasore.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Brundaban Gaana, owner of the vehicle No.OD05H-2888 has objected that, entire down trip from Bhubaneswar to Chandaneswar is being clashed.

The application is considered subject to after verification of clash free timing.

118. Route:- KURAL TO ATTHAMALLIK VIA BANIGOCHHA, TALAGOAN AND BACK, SURYAKANTA RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD25Q7010.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

119. Route:- ROURKELA TO BERHAMPUR VIA BOUDH, PHULABANI AND BACK, ZAKIR BEGUM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AC0920.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The proposed slots are not vacant. Hence the application is rejected.

120. Route:- CHANDANESWAR TO ROURKELA VIA BHADRAK, KEONJHAR AND BACK, PUSPANJALI GAANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05Z1551.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant. It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD01B-3949

Sri Chaturbhuja Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD05Q-4530 filed objection stating that there is clash of time from Balasore to Koirha.

Sri Prasant Periwal, owner of the vehicle No.OD11-2461 objected that Balasore departure time is not free zone time.

The application is considered as alter service of OD01B-3949 and subject to verification of objection regarding non plying of alter vehicle.

121. Route:- GADISHAGADA TO BHUBANESWAR AND BHUBANESWAR TO ADAL AND BACK, SUKANT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CB9145.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv.S.Mishra on behalf of Gunanidhi Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR02AK-9697 has objected that there is clash from Gadasahijankia to Bhubaneswar in up trip and down trip.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

122. Route:- KUMARI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BANIGOCHHA , DASHAPALLA AND BACK, ASIM KUMAR PATTNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CW6453.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

123. Route:- KHARIAR ROAD TO DAMANJODI VIA NABARANGPUR , BORIGUMMA AND BACK, PUSPANJALI NANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14K1111.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

124. Route:- KUCHINDA TO KISINDA VIA SAMBALPUR, DHANUPALI AND BACK , RAJ KUMAR SATPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15X2159.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

125. Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO MALKANGIRI VIA NABARANGPUR, BORIGUMMA AND BACK, MR SOMNATH BEHER, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10W9425.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Lipsita Samanta , owner of the vehicle No.OD24J-3939 objected that, the vehicle of the applicant is having 4 Nos. of VCR cases U/s. 192(A) of MV Act. (on 29.11.2023, 01.12.2023, 15.03.2024 and 25.06.2024). Besides there is clash from Jeypore towards Borigumma. The applicant also violates decision of 291st. STA Meeting.

The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution. On verification it is found that e-Challan u/s 192(A) is pending against this vehicle.

126. Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO MALKANGIRI VIA NABARANGPUR, BORIGUMMA AND BACK, MR SOMNATH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10W9411.

It is the alter service of SI.No.125.



On verification it is found that one e-Challan u/s. 192(A) is pending against this vehicle Hence the application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

127. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BOLANGIR VIA RENGALIPALI , BARGARH AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17J0726.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant. The applicant has applied in slot No.6 in up trip and slot No.129 in down trip.

There is no objection. The application will be considered jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.

128. Route:- GUNUPUR TO BISWANATHPUR AND BACK, NABINO KISHORE PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD20A0999.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

129. Route:- GUNUPUR TO PHULABANI VIA MUNIGUDA, KOTAGADA AND BACK, LOKANATH BADAKUMAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12A4581.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Mamita Kumari Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD18B-0459 objected that, there is clash of time at Muniguda.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

130. Route:- BALANGA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BALAKATI, UTTARA AND BHUBANESWAR TO CUTTACK AND BACK, RASHMIRANJAN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05P2448.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of 302ND STA resolution.

131. Route:- BAHALDA TO ROURKELA VIA RARUAN , CHAMPUA AND BACK, SUMANTA KUMAR GIRI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AC9713.



Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. It is night service.

Sri Tapan Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11S-7115 submitted that, there is clash of time at Rairangapur.

Sri Satrugan Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD11V-3738 objected that, there is clash at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

132. Route:- KAMAKHYANAGAR TO ATTHAMALLIK VIA TALCHER , BANRAPAL AND BACK, RASHMI RANJAN SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19A3833.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is not considered as per 291st STA resolution.

On verification it is found that e-challan has been issued u/s 192(A) against the vehicle, hence the application is rejected.

133. Route:- MEGHAJHOLI TO CUTTACK VIA KESHPUR , BALUGAON AND BACK, CHINTAMANI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10U3867.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Jitendra Kumar Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AQ-8141 filed objection stating that there is clash from Berhampur to Dengausta.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Balaram Panigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AK-9639 and No.OD07AJ-0639 filed objection stating that, there is clash at Cuttack & Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of final rationalization timing.

134. Route:- DUNGURIPALI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA CHARICHHAK , DASHAPALLA AND BACK, PRADYUMNA HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15F7291.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.



There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

135. Route:- IB THERMAL TO NARSINGH PUR VIA ANGUL, RASOL AND BACK, DOLA GOBINDA SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11A0002.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant. It is night service.

There is no objection. It is the alter service of the vehicle No.OD15P-5895.

The application is considered as alter service of OD15P-5895.

136. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO M.RAMPUR VIA BHANJANAGAR, G.UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, PRASANT KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BB0064.

The applicant is absent. It is night service.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

137. Route:- GUNUPUR TO PHULABANI VIA MUNIGUDA , KOTAGADA AND BACK, B RAMA BABU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18L2259.

It is the alter service of SI.No.129. The decision of SI. No.129 is applicable.


138. Route:- KALIPADA TO BARGARH VIA PANIKOILI , CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, AMIT KUMAR SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09H0737.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Puspanjali Gaana , owner of the vehicle No.OD01Y-1155 filed an objection stating therein that, there is clash from Kalipada towards Bargarh. (Uptrip) and vice versa.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Bibhupada Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AM-2643 filed objection saying that, there is clash at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Subhransu Sekhar Pallai, owner of the vehicle No.OD01D-9891 filed an objection stating therein that the applicant has applied covering 25% portion of the Bhubaneswar-



baripada and Bhubneswar-Angul rationalized route. there is clash from Kalipada to Bargarh.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sushama Rani Biswal, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AE-0888 filed an objection stating that, there is clash of timing from Kalipada to Bargarh.

The applicant has not applied in vacant slot. Hence the application is rejected.

139. Route:- CHANDDIHA TO BALASORE VIA BETNOTI , BADASAH AND BACK, KUNJABIHARI MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11K7022.

Adv. A.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Binay Prasad Gupta, owner of the vehicle No.OR11F-2871 stated there is clash of timing from Balasore to Betanoti.

The proposed route chart is defective, hence this application is not considered.

140. Route:- BARELGODA TO PALA LAHARHA VIA JIRANGA KARANJIA AND BACK, RANGALATA MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34A0211.

Adv. A. Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.


4/10/2024

Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman
STA,Odisha, Cuttack