

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF
STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 29.01.2024**

MEMBERS PRESENT :

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman,
STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Chairman |
| 2. Sri Brajabandhu Bhol, OAS(SS),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Member |
| 3. Smt. Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(I),
Joint Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Member |

1. Route:- BEHERAGUDA TO AINTHAPALI VIA-BANDHABAHAL, SANABAHAL & BACK, MAMATA HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23J9355.

Adv. Sri J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of rationalize portion of the route as per 302nd STA resolution.

2. Route:- RAYAGADA TO BERHAMPUR VIA-PADMAPUR, ADAVA AND BACK, S. REENA PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR07U4242.

Sri Chetak Prusty son of the owner S. Reena Prusty was present on behalf of the applicant.

There is no objection. The vehicle is 2010 model.

Application is conditionally granted subject to replacement during T.P. period.

3. Route:- DOLIA TO TELKOI VIA- KATANI, BALABHADRAPUR AND BACK, KAJAL BALA RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09X7555.

Sri S. Mishra, Advocate is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Tanwir Alam, owner of the vehicle No. OD09AA-0411 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Telkoi. He has prayed to grant after the objector.

Application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



4. Route:- BARBIL TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, GITARANI PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BD-4445.

Sri H.P.Mohanty, Advocate is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Aswini Mohanty owner of the vehicle No.OD05BN-6277 filed an objection stating that the timing has already allotted to him.

As the applied time is not vacant in the rationalized slot, so this application is rejected.

5. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO BARHAGADA VIA- DIGAPAHANDI, JAGANNATHPUR AND BACK, SRIKANT KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD07L-8873.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of class free timing.

6. Route:- RAIGHAR TO JEYPORE VIA-PAPADAHANDI, NABARANGAPUR & BACK, SHER KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD10G9180.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Bidya Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD10W0923 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Nabarangpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of class free timing.

7. Route:- BALISANKARA TO KANAKTORA VIA SARGIPALI, DARLIPALI AND BACK, DURGA CHARAN BARLA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR16L0118.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of class free timing and coverage of rationalize route as per 302nd STA resolution.

8. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO TIRING VIA-ANANDPUR, KARANJIA & BACK, TAPAN KUMAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD11AC4415.

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD14F1111.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Arun Kumar Agarwala, owner of the vehicle No.OD11J3163 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Karanjia, Jashipur and Rairangapur.

The application is considered subject to verification of class free timing.

9. Route:- FCI CHHAK TO DEOGARH VIA-KOSALA, CHHENDIPADA AND BACK, DEBASHIS ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19X8833

The applicant is present.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sarbeswar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD287088 filed an objection stating that the applicant has applied in ordinary nature of service.

It is observed that, prima-facie this route and timing is defective. Hence the application is not be considered.

10. Route:- KATURI TO BHUBANESWAR VIA-KHANDAPARA AND BACK, SAMIR KUMAR BEHERA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD19J5574.

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of class free timing.

11. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO PURI VIA-KALPANA AND BACK (2 RT), SWAIN SAROJINI SWAIN,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02AR1967.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The route from Bhubaneswar to Konark at 3.55 hr. is free zone. It will be considered after 3.55 free zone.

There is no objection. The application is considered in free zone and from Bhubaneswar after the existing services.



12. Route:- BERUANBADI TO BERHAMPUR VIA-KHALIKOTE ,ASKA AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR GOUDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15J2241.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Amrit Prasas Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD07V7779 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Kodala and Berhampur.

The application is considered after the objector subject to verification of class free timing.

13. Route:- AMRUTULU TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA-KABISURYANAFGAR, KODALA & BACK, PANKAJINI PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR16D2601.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Laxmipriya Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02J6733M & on behalf of Nitin Nandan Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02CA7186 filed an objection stating that the departure timing of applicant's service from Bhubaneswar is in between services of two objectors. He therefore requests to revise the halting time at the termination.

The application is considered with one hour halting time at Bhubaneswar subject to verification of clash free timing considering the objections.

14. Route:- BAGADARI TO BHUBANESWAR VIA-KANKADAHADA AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BE8648.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of OD05B-6015.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

15. Route:- LACHHIPUR TO BARGARH VIA-SALBETA DUNGURUPALI AND BACK, BINDUREKHA DEBATA,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR03G7889.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant. He was asked to give the detail route chart.



There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution.

16. Route:- KORAPUT TO LANJIGADA AND BACK, LILI KUMARI BISOI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD07A3553

Sri Ramesh Ch. Panigrani, the husband of the applicant is present on behalf of his wife.

The vehicle is 2009 model.

Seven online objections received.

The application is rejected, in view of safety of passengers as the vehicle is 15 years old and proposed in a ghat section.

17. Route:- KOSALA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA-BHAPUR & BACK, BHAGYADHAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD196677.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Monaj Kumar Mishra, owner of the vehicle No. OD19L2600 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Gobindapur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Debabrata Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No. OD19T-8188 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing between from Kosala to Angul.

One online application has been received from Amit Kumar Beuray, owner of the vehicle No.OD05BD-0068 requesting timing as 15.09 at Bhapur.

The application is considered to leave Bhubaneswar after 13.20 subject to verification of clash free timing and other objections.

18. Route:- BINIKA TO BARAGARH VIA GARVANA, THUAPALI AND BACK, MD. SAIF ALI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AA8163.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.



The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution.

19. Route:- KUPARI TO THAKURMUNDA VIA-CHHENAPADI, TARATARA AND BACK, PRAFULLA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD17AA6986.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. P.K.Behera for vehicle No.OD11L6402 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Chhenapadi and Thakurmunda.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution and clash free time.

20. Route:- MUNIGUDA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA-MOHANA, DIGAPAHANDI AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02R6298

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OR02BP2526 (SI.No.30).

It is night service. There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

21. Route ASURALI TO ROURKELA VIA-CHKANDIKHOLE, MANGULI & BACK, ABHISEK DASH, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD35A2169

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution and clash free time.

22. Route: LODHACHUA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA)VIA-GADAMANTRI, BANGIRA AND BACK, BIPIN BIHARI MATAGAJASINGH, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02BK7207

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification clash free time.



23. Route: BARIPADA TO HARICHANDANPUR VIA-KARANJIA, PATNA AND BACK, DEBIPRASANNA MOHANTA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD11H1767:

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution and clash free time.

24. Route : BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO SHANKARKHOLE VIA-DASAPALLA, MADHAPUR AND BACK, SK. KALIM BUX, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR05AP0699:

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of OR05AK-5775.

This is a very old vehicle and proposed to ply on ghat section which will endanger life of traveling public. Hence this application is not considered.

25. Route: BAGDIGHAT TO PARADEEP VIA- ERASAMA, KUJANGA AND PARADEEP TO KHKARANASI VIA-MAHAKALAPADA & BACK, KAILASH CHANDRA NAYAK, OWENER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD05U4414:

The applicant is absent.

On verification it is found the application is proposed in two rationalized route Cutack-Paradeep and Paradeep-Kendrapara without any notified vacant slot. Hence application is rejected.

26. Route : TIRING TO BURLA VIA-CHADHEIBHOL, KEONJHAR AND BACK , PRADEEP KUMAR SAHU, OWENER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD15Y5511:

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

One online objection from Satrugan Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD11V-3738 filed objection and stated that there is clash of timing at Jashipur up to Barkote.

The application is considered with the halting time should be revised as per express norms and subject to verification of clash free time with the objector.

27. Route: CHILIKIDHARA TO PALALAHARA VIA JIRANGA, PITHAGOLA AND BACK, RANGALATA MAHANTA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD34A-0211:

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Prima facie the route and timing is defective. Sri Behera was requested to give route chart with naksa.

The applicant has proposed to revise the route and time chart changing the termination. He is advised to file fresh application. This application is rejected.

28. Route: ROURKELA TO BIRBIRA VIA-KUTRA, BARGAON AND BACK, ROSY DASH, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD23K0088.

The husband of the vehicle owner is present on behalf of the applicant.

On verification it is found that the application is made for express service putting stoppages in 3,6,8 kms which violates to 2(d) of OMV Rules. To this extent the application is defective, hence this application is rejected.

29. Route: GUTINGIA TO CHAKAPADA VIA PHULBANI MADHAPUR AND BACK, PABITRA MOHAN SAHU, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR17J5532.

The applicant is present.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sahu Bilasini, owner of the vehicle No.OD12C4898 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Sankarkhole.

It is conditionally granted, reducing halting time at interim stoppages and subject to replacement during T.P. period and subject to verification of clash free timing.

30. Route: MUNIGUDA TO CUTTACK VIA- BERHAMPUR BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR02BP-2526.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of the vehicle at SI.No.20.

The application is conditionally granted subject to replacement within T.P. period.

31. Route: PURI MUNICIPALITY BUS STAND TO BERHAMPUR VIA-MANGALAGHAT AT CUTTACK, JALANDHAR BALIARSINGH, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR13H0057.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered conditionally subject to replacement during T.P. period subject to verification of clash free timing.

32. Route: BALUGAON TO JANHIKUDA, AND BALUGAON TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, PRASANNA KUMAR SETHI, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR02BQ0105

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered conditionally subject to replacement during T.P. period. subject to verification of clash free time.

33. Route: AGALPUR TO BOLANGIR VIA PADAMPUR, TALPALI AND BACK, RUDRAMANI MEHER, OWENER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD175711.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the inter-region route. There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution and clash free time.

34. Route: CUTTACK TO BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, ADHIRAJ JENA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02AX2757.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

One online objection received from Nalini Prava Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AB-3987 stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Sri Santosh Kumar Palei, owner of the vehicle No.OD32C-2727 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Bhanjanagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

35. Route: BOLANGIR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA- DASHAPALLA, NAYAGARH AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR MAHANA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD03F2588 :

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time. Running time from Cuttack to Bhubaneswar should be revised to one hour.

36. Route: PAKTIA TO PURI VIA- SARASKANA AND BACK, RUPSIITA DWIBEDI, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR11L1361:

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

This is a very old vehicle and proposed to ply on ghat section which will endanger life of traveling public. Hence this application is conditionally granted subject to replace during T.P. period.

37. Route: RUDHAPADAR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA), VIA ODAGAON, GODIPADA AND BACK, SARADA PRASAD MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02BK8075.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Naba Kishore Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD25D6060 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

38. Route: KUNDURA TO JAGANNATHPUR VIA- NABARANGPUR, DANGARBHEJA AND BACK, M. ABHILASH KUMAR RAO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD24J-7458

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.



39. Route: BAULA TO UDALA VIA-OUPADA, PADMPOKHARI AND BACK, SAPANJIT SAMA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR11K6188

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The route chart is defective to the extent that it does not cover the round trip. The application is not considered.

40. Route : RASOL TO GHATGAON VIA-BIRASALA, TATA MINES AND BACK, UMESH CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD06M6364:

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution and clash free time.

41. Route: KANTILO TO GOPALPRASAD VIA BHAPUR AND BACK, RASHMI RANJAN SAMAL, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD19A3833:

The applicant is present.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage of the rationalized route in compliance to the 302nd STA resolution and clash free time.

42. Route: ROURKELA TO PURI VIA PALALAHARA, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, PRADIP KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD05BP8007.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv.S. Mishra on behalf of Sarat Kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD05Y2227 & alter service of OD05X2227 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela to Puri.

The proposed time covers rationalized route Bhubaneswar to Puri and not applied in vacant slot, hence the journey shall be terminated at Bhubaneswar i.e. Rourkela to Bhubaneswar.

43. Route: ROURKELA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PALALAHARA, KAMAKHYANAGAR & BACK, SANJEEB KUMAR BISWAL, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD05BP8004 :

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing..

44. Route: BALASORE TO KARANJIA VIA KANHEIBANDH, UDALA AND BACK, KABITA BEHERA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR22E2223

The applicant is absent.

The vehicle is 2010 model. This is a very old vehicle and proposed to ply on ghat section which will endanger life of traveling public. Hence this application is not considered..

45. Route: CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KORAPUT & BACK, NILAMADHAB NAYAK, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD17X0481:

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. It will be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

46. Route: CHAPARIA TO MALKANGIRI VIA BHAWANIPATNA JEYPORE AND BACK, SOMANATH BEHERA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD10W9425.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OR08F-0678.

Adv.A.K. Behera on behalf of Bidya Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD10W0923 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Nabarangapur .

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Lipsita Samanta, owner of the vehicle No.OD24J3939 filed an objection stating that two Nos. of challan u/s.192(A) of MV Act are pending against the applicant's vehicle being issued by RTO, Malkakngiri and RTO, Bhawanipatna.

Adv.S. Mishra on behalf of Firoz Kumar Turuk, owner of the vehicle No.OD10T7077 also raised objection regarding pending of challans against the applicant's vehicle and also stated that, there is clash of timing from Boipariguda to Jaypore between his service and applicant's service. He also stated that the permit applied for , is liable to be rejected as the route is defective.

It is rejected in view of pending of challans against the vehicle.

47. Route: CHHENAPADI CHHAK TO KARANJIA VIA- SALABANI, ANANDAPUR KLAND BACK, SUDIP KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD09AA0086.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied at Karanjia at dep.time – 13.56 via - 157 and also at Anandapur in slot – 23 via- 9.34.

The applied slot is not vacant. Hence the application is rejected.

48. Route : CUTACK(BADAMBADI) TO CHANDAHANADI AND BACK, SAGAR KUMAR, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02T5255

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is night service. It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD02AU5225 at Sl.No.51.

One Surendra Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02D7857 and OD02E-7857 filed objections stating that there is clash of time from Cuttack to Chandahandi.

The application is considered after 30 mins of the objector.

49. Route: SALACHUA TO GANESWARPUR VIA GANDHI CHHAK, GUDIKHOL AND BACK, AYUSH KUMAR BARIK, OWENER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD025755

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Munci Abdul Meheraj Alli, owner of the vehicle No.OD01AB8786 filed an objection stating that the applicant's vehicle have no valid MV documents such as, FC, IC and PUCC. There is clash of time at Balasore.

Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Kamalakanta Dash, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AV-3067 filed an objection, wherein he stated that there is clash of time at Kaptipada.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Lopamudra Parida, owner of the vehicle No.OR01V-5661 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Kamarda.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Jogamaya Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AC2757 filed an objection stating that the applicant has applied for the vehicle having invalid MV documents and he also stated that there is clash of time at Kamarda

On the date of consideration the applied vehicle has no fitness, no insurance, no PUCC, Hence the application may be rejected.

50. Route: SAKUA TO BHADRAK VIA- SAISINGA, NAHARPATANA AND BACK, RASMI RANJAN MISHRA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR05AV9969

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in Utrip 119 Category-D (Balasore) and at Bhadrak 13.42 in slot-110, Category-D

The applicant has applied in vacant slot.

This will jointly decided alongwith other applications.

51. Route: CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK , SAGAR KUMAR, OWNER OF THE VEHICCLE NO.OD02AU5225

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD02AU5225 at Sl.No.48. The decision of Sl. No.48 is applicable.

52. Route: TULU TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA-BALUGAON, KHURDA BY PASS AND BACK, SUBASH CHANDRA PATTNAYAK, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD07T9181.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It is granted with revised termination at Berhampur not Tulu.



53. Route: BHUBANESWAR (BARMUNDA) TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA-
NANDANKANAN, TRISULIA AND BACK , SANDHYA RANI DAS,
OWENER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR02BC7835

The applicant is absent.

The vehicle is 15 years old. As such, the application is rejected.

54. Route: GOCHHA TO G. UDAYAGIRI VIA-KATINGIA AND BACK,
PANCHANAN SAHU, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD12B8535

Adv. K. Mohammed is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to
verification of clash free timing.

55. Route: GADA SANTARAPUR TO PURI VIA- PURUSHOTTAMPUR, KODALA
LAND BACK, RAGHUNATH SAHOO, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE
NO.OD23H5777 :

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to
verification of clash free timing.

56. Route: ROURKELA TO CHAMPUA VIA-KOIRHA, RUGUDI AND BACK,
MOHAMMAD WAJID, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR09Q9077.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

One online objection received from Smt. Bijayalaxmi Sahoo , owner
of the vehicle No.OD09L-2577.In her objection she stated that there is
clash of time at and vehicle is 14 years old.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Rakesh Kumar Pradhan, owner of
the vehicle No.OR14E5179 filed an objection stating that there is clash
of time at Badbil.

Sri Rabinda Nath Mohanty , owner of the vehicle No.OR14X8569
filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free
timing.



57. Route: ROURKELA TO JAYNAGAR VIA-BHUBAN, DUBURI AND BACK, DEEPAK KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02AM7909.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to departure from Jajpur Town in the free zone after the existing operators.

58. Route: BARAJADEULI TO CHUDAMANI & BACK, MAHESH KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD19F6696.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is observed that the route from Balasore to Bahanaga covers ratioanalized portion.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Laxmi Kanta Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD013233 filed an objection stating therein that the route applied by the applicant is more than 25% of the Category-D rationalized route and at the same time violates the 302nd. STA proceeding and clash of time at Balasore.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution.

59. Route: BIMALATO DEOGARH VIA SIRIGODA, DEULADIHA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR19L4097.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The vehicle is 2010 model. The application is considered conditionally to be replaced within T.P. period in view of safety of passengers subject to verification of clash free timing.

60. Route: BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO MANDASARU VIA-TARASINGH, GALLERY AND BACK, ARJUNA MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD15G4555

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

61. Route: PATAKHALI TO DHENKANAL VIA-MARDA AND BACK, LACHHAMAN JENA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD04B1257.
The applicant is absent.
Sri Babula Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR13C1567 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Dhenkanal.
The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.
62. Route: PARADIP TO BALIPADA VIA NIMAPADA, SATASANKHA AND BACK, RAJASIS SAHOO, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD05BQ9655.
The applicant is absent.
It will be decided jointly alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.
63. Route: BODEN TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA-KHURDA, BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, PUSPANJALI NANDA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD14K1111
Adv.J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.
It is the night service. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.
64. Route: ARJUNPUR TO BARGARH VIA-LOISINGHA, RAMPUR AND BACK, ARADHANA PUROHIT, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR03G3428
The applicant is present.
The vehicle is 2010 model.
Sri Arun Kumar Baral, owner of the vehicle No.OD03E-2063 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Bargarh.
The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time in view of the objections above.
Further subject to replacement within T.P. period.
65. Route: KALIKAPRASAD TO PURI MUNICIPALITY BUS STAND VIA-LODHACHUA, RANAPUR AND BACK, PURNA CHANDRA MOHANTY, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD13U0504.
The applicant is present.



The route chart appears to be defective. A report from concerned RTOs may be called for if this vehicle can ply on the applied route after getting report from the RTO application shall be considered.

66. Route: BHUBANESWAR(BARMUNDA) TO PANDUPANI VIA- LODHACHUA, RANAPUR AND BACK , BALABHADRA GIRI, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02X7557

Adv.Santanu Das is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Ranjan Kumar Mahala, owner of the vehicle No.OD11A-3705, OD11G-5792 objected that there is clash of timing up to Rairangapur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

67. Route: BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA)TO TELKOI VIA GONDIABHUBAN AND BACK,MANOJ KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02T2398

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Laxmidhar Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AV-9677 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Telkoi to Jiridamali.

One Sri Kunjabihari Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD05BJ9655 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

The application is considered after verification of clash free timing in view of objections.

- 68 Route: KURAL TO BANAPUR, BANAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, BIRENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02BK8397

The applicant is absent.

The route chart appears to be defective to the extent that it has put stoppages within 2,3,4,6,7 kms violating express norms under rule 2(d) of OMV Rules, hence this application is rejected.

69. Route: TALCHER TO BUGUDA VIA-BENAGADIA, KHALISAHI & BACK, SRIMATI NAYAK, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD25P1625

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.



Nephew of Smt. Nalini Dalai, owner of vehicle NoOR07Y3209 appeared on behalf of Smt. Dalai and objected that there is clash of time at Nayagarh.

The route chart appears to be defective to the extent that it has put stoppages within 1,2,3,4,6,7 kms violating express norms under rule 2(d) of OMV Rules, hence this application is rejected.

70. Route: UDAYAPUR TO AIIMS, VIA-BALASORE, BHADRAK & BACK, GOUTAM KUMAR SARANGI, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD02K7857.

The applicant is present.

It will be terminate at Bhubaneswar.

Adv.S.Mishra on behalf of Debabrata Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05P3231 filed an objection stating there is clash of time at Cuttack.

Adv.S.Das on behalf of Brundaban Gaana, owner of the vehicle No.OD01B5151 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered after verification of clash free timing in view of objections.

71. Route: ADA TO DOSINGHA VIA-TIHIDI, GADI & BACK, PARSURAM PARHI, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE No.OD22X3904.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. K.Mohammed on behalf of Duryodhan Bhoi owner of the vehicle No.OD225407 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Sri Durga Prasad Palak, owner of the vehicle. OR22D0375 stated that there is clash of timing at Gadi.

Smt.Nibedita Das, owner of the vehicle No.OR22D1163 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

Sri Ankit Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD042445 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Bhadrak to Dhamara.



The application is considered after verification of clash free timing in view of objections.

72. Route: DASHAPALLA TO PURI VIA-JANKIA RANAPUR AND BACK, NAKULA CHANDRA DAS, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD23A8126.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time

73. Route BERHAMPUR TO DARINGBADI VIA BHANJANAGAR, KALINGA AND BACK, ANIMA PARIDA, OWENR OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD07W2288

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Sri Sibanarayan Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AQ9891, OR07T0840 & OR25A2595 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balipadar.

Sri Bibhuti Bhusan Khadanga, owner of the vehicle No.OR07C7375 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balipadar.

Adv.MBK.Rao on behalf of Ramesh Ch. Padhi, owner of the vehicleNo.OD07Y7611 stated that dept iming of his service is 5.30, whereas the applicant has proposed at 4.45hrs.

The route chart is defective, applied as ordinary service for 196 kms one way. Hence this application is rejected.

74. Route : KANTAMAL TO AINTHAPALLI VIA BINIKA, TURUM & BACK, PRADYUMNA KUMAR HOTA, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD31E8399.

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

The route chart is defective, applied as the up and down trip are different. Hence this application is rejected.

75. Route: AMBADALA TO CUTTACK VIA TARABHA SONEPUR AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR MEHER, OWENER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OR02BG5157.

Adv.A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. The route chart is defective, applied as the up and down trip are different. Further the vehicle is 14 years old. Hence this application is rejected.

76. Route: DARPANARAYANPUR TO JAGANNATHPRASAD VIA ODAGAON KARASINGH AND BACK, SRIDHAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD25P5552

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

77. Route : SANDEI TO JALESWAR VIA MANATRI, BAISINGA & BACK , MANOJ KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD01AU8465

Adv.A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Sri Sirish Ch. Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD26D5716 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Baisinga.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sovakar Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD11C9751 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Jaleswar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing and after the objector's service.

78. Route: BEERUANBADI TO BUGUDA VIA POLASARA, KODALA AND BACK, LKAILASH CHANDRA SAHU, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD25C3354.

The applicant is absent.

The route appears to be intra-region. A report will be asked from DCT(S/Z) Berhampur and RTO, Ganjam.

79. Route : AVANA TO UDALA AND BACK, RAMA CHANDRA BARIK, OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO.OD04H3567

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The permit is the existing permit. The applicant has applied afresh.



Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of Meenakshi Das, owner of the vehicle No.OR05T3214 filed objection that there is clash of time at Gopalpur and Balasore.

Sri Kamalakanta Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AB3067 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Udala between his service and applicant's service.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing and after the objector's service.

80. Route:- MASTERCANTEEN TO KORUA VIA OMP AND KORUA TO CUTTACK AND BACK, MAMATA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD133599.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Rakesh Behera owner of the vehicle No.OR05AD6107 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Tunaja Bhuyan owner of the vehicle No.OR05AH5525 filed an objection stating that entire route is being clashed with the applicant's service.

The applied route is not vacant in the rationalized route Cuttack-Kendrapara, hence the application is rejected.

81. Route:- CHARBHATA TO SONEPUR VIA BAIRASAR, KHARI AND BACK, BHABANI SANKAR PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR03H6371.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

82. Route:- THAKURMUNDA TO JODA VIA RARUAN, JAMTI AND BACK, JADAB CHANDRA MAHANTA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09A9277

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Subal Ch.Barik owner of the vehicle No.OR11J-4613 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda.



Sri Manas, owner of the vehicle No.OR11J4225 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time Karanjia.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

83. Route:- BAGHIAPADA TO TALAGAON, VIA-BOUDH, CHAKAPADA AND BACK, SUDARSAN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD14B2095.

The applicant is absent.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

84. Route:- BOLANGIR TO SONEPUR VIA KHARI, KHAMASWRIPALI AND BACK, SIBA SHANKAR PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23F3996.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

85. Route:- ASKA TO BUGUDA VIA BUDHAMBHA , POLASARA AND BACK, NIRANJAN BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07G5266.

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Sri Chetan Prusty son of S.Reena Prusty owner of the vehicle No.OR07S1465 appeared on behalf of his mother and filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Polasara, Budhamba.

A report will be asked from DCT(S/Z) Berhampur and RTO, Ganjam.

86. Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, TRINATH PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03X8655.

Adv.J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is night service up to Bhubaneswar.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

87. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO PANDUPANI VIA RAIRANGPUR GHATGAON AND BACK, PADMANAVA GIRI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02X7657.

Adv.Santanu Das is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of Sl. No.66. The decision of Sl. No.66 is applicable.

88. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO SAMBALPUR VIA JHARSUGUDA, RENGALI AND BACK, ROSY DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23Q6142

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. Applied in rationalized covering route Rourkela-Sundergarh Jharsuguda –Sambalpur not in vacant slot, hence this application is rejected.

89. Route:- TAMIAN TO LACHHIPUR VIA BARGARH, SAHARATIKAR AND BACK, DIBYA KETAN MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AA9515.

Adv.A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

Sri Dharmananda Patra, owner of the vehicle No.OD05BM1485 filed an objection stating that if down trip slot-5 is not allotted , then he may be allotted the Dn. Trip vacant slot nlo.3 (dep. at jajpur town) or vacant slot no.1(dep. Jajpur town).

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

90. Route:- RAIRANGPUR TO JHARSUGUDA VIA BARBIL, KOIRHA AND BACK, SUMANTA KUMAR GIRI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11AC9713.

The applicant is absent.

Applied in rationalized covering route Rourkela-Sundergarh Jharsuguda –Sambalpur not in vacant slot, hence this application is rejected

91. Route:- INDRAGADA TO PURI, PURI TO BHUBANESWAR, VIA KABISURYANAGAR, BUDHAMBHA AND BACK, SAHOO SASMITA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AC3132

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.



Smt. Pankajini Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AY4628 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Aska.

The owner of the vehicle No.OD32B3135 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Aska.

The proposed route and time information appears to be defective to the extent that halting time at two terminies is only 10 minutes which is not practicable. If halting time is enhanced the vehicle the vehicle hardly gets one and half an hour rest. Hence this application is rejected.

92. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, SASMITA PALTASINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AG8631.

The applicant is present.

There is no objection. The vehicle is 15 years old. The application is rejected.

93. Route:- BHUSANDAPUR TO SUNAMUHIN VIA RANAPUR, DARPANARAYANPUR AND BACK, KAILASH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AF2208.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.


Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Smt. Sabitri Pattanayak owner of the vehicle No.OD02A9237 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Tangi.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing after the objector.

94. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO TIKABALI VIA KANTILO, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, RAJENDRA PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CP3030.

Adv.A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

Sri Himansu Bhusan Champatry owener of the vehicle No.OD02BB5127 filed an objection and stated that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswa to Tikabali



Sri Satyajit Dixit , owner of the vehicle No.OD12A6342 filed an objection stating therein that there will be clash of timing between his service and applicant's service on the proposed route.

Sri Santaranjan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05E8409 filed an objection stating that there will be clash from Banigochha to Bhubaneswar

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

95. Route:- RAJKANIKHA TO BUNDIA VIA JHARSUGUDA SAMBALPUR AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD158954.

The applicant is present.

It covers two rationalized routes one from Cuttack to Kendrapara and another from Sambalpur to Sundergarh.

Applied in rationalized covering route Cuttack-Kendrapara-Pattamundai not in vacant slot, hence this application is rejected.

96. Route:- KONKARDA TO PURI VIA KODALA AND BACK, BIBHUDATTA MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13K0375.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

97. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BALUGAON, CHHATRAPUR AND BACK, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BA3686.

DTM, OSRTC is present.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Balaram Panigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AM9639 filed an objection stating that the applied route is not express in nature.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

98. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BARI VIA BARUAN, SUJANPUR AND BACK, BANKABIHARI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04K3985.

Adv.A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

The vehicle is 2009 model. He has applied in slot-4 uptrip ,down trip-66, up trip from Cuttack in slot-66 and Dn trip in slot-142.

The vehicle is 15 years old. Hence the application is rejected.

99. Route:- KANTABANJI TO BARGARH VIA JHANKARPALI , BOLANGIR AND BACK, SUKANTA MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17AB8726.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

100. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO KALAPATHARA VIA ODAGAON , KENAL AND BACK, BALARAM PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CN9639.

Adv.M.B.K.Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.,Mohanty on behalf of S.Srinu , owner of the vehicle No.OR12A9535 and Srikanta Kumar Sahu, owner of the vehicle No.OR07X9878, OD07AL1173 and OD07AP1173 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The route chart appears to be defective to the extent that it has put stoppages within 2,3,4,5,6 kms violating express norms under rule 2(d) of OMV Rules, hence this application is rejected.

101. Route:- BALIPOKHARI TO KHAIRA VIA BHADRAK, CHARAMPA AND BACK, PRAVAT KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22Y2955.

The applicant is present.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

102. Route:- KEONJHAR TO ANGUL VIA KHAMAR, PABITRANAGAR AND BACK, SANTOSH KU SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19N4097.

The applicant is present.



Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Kailash Ch. Dwibedi , owner of the vehicle No.OD19V9815 filed an objection stating that the applicant's vehicle will overtake his service between Pallahara & Pabitra Nagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing after the objector. Halting time should be enhanced at termini.

103. Route:- TAPARIA TO SAMBALPUR AND BACK, ASUTOSH SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23M0751.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The route not covers rationalized route. It will be granted after verification of clash free timing.

104. Route:- PATAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BUDHAMBHA , KODALA AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CD4721.

Adv.M.B.K.Rao is present for the applicant.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

105. Route:- REMULI TO BARBIL, BARBIL TO BARKOTE AND BACK, MADANMOHAN DAS , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04J4545.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

106. Route:- BALASORE TO BOLANGIR VIA CUTTACK RAIKAKHOL AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05T1646.

The applicant is present. As stated by the applicant he has inducted this vehicle in place of OD14N8500.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Rajesh Kumar Periwal ,owner of the vehicle No.OR01S0187 filed an objection wherein it is stated that the renewal application of the objector is under active consideration of the Chairman, STA.

The applied time belongs to the vehicle of the objector and the objection is valid, hence this application is not considered. He is advised



to file fresh application if this time is notified for P.P. or revising the time table.

107. Route:- PHIRINGIA TO DASPALLA, VIA PHULABANI , DUTIPADA AND BACK, MAHIBUDEN KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BV1635.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The route is ghat road. Hence it is considered conditionally subject to replacement within T.P. period.

108. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO DARINGIBADI AND BACK, RAMA KRUSHNA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19R5829.

Adv.K.Mohammed is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Rama Chandra Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AJ0599 and Lohit Kumar Tripathy, owner of the vehicle No.OD07A-1645 filed objections.

The route chart is defective to the extent that the applied route in up and down trip are not similar. Hence the application is rejected.

109. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO KANCHUDU VIA DASINGBADI , DARINGBADI AND BACK, RAMA KRUSHNA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD34B9065.

Adv.K.Mohammed is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Sandhyarani Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD07N8141 filed an objection and stated that route alignment in both the up and down trips are separate, for which requests for its' rejection.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

110. Route:- PURI TO BERHAMPUR VIA NUAPADA, CHARICHHAK(MALUDA) AND BACK, CHITRASEN BALIARSINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13U0158.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Jalandhar Baliarsingh , owner of the vehicle No.OD13E5557 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.



The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing in view of the objection.

111. Route:- PANIKOILI TO CHAMPUA VIA GHATGAON AND BACK, SOUMYA PRAKASH MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AA0737.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in free zone. It covers rationalized route from Panikoili to Remulia.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Chhairani Behera , owner of the vehicle No.OR09N4955 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Bipin Bihari Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR09E6651 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Ghasipura.

The application is considered conditionally subject to verification of clash free timing and in free time zone after the existing operators in the rationalized portion of the route Cuttack-Panikoili-Jajpur Road.

112. Route:- BOUDH TO ANGUL AND ANGUL TO BILEINALI AND BACK, JYOTSHNARANI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35A3887.

Adv.A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Puspa Das, owner of the vehicle No.OD19H0213 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul. He also stated that one challan drawn during 4/2023 is pending against the applicant's vehicle.

There is a VCR drawn against the vehicle on 19.04.2023 U/s 192(A), hence the application is rejected.

113. Route:- ANGUL TO BARIPADA VIA BHUBAN, SUKINDA AND BACK, S.N.S INDUSTRIAL WORKS PVT LTD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35D9059.

Applicant is present.

The application is considered conditionally subject to verification of clash free timing and in free time zone after the existing operators in the

rationalized portion of the route Bhubaneswar-Bhadrak-Balasore-Baripada route.

114. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO TALCHER VIA BALIPADAR, BUGUDA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AM3033.

The applicant is absent.

The route chart appears to be defective to the extent that it has put stoppages within 2,3,5 kms violating express norms under rule 2(d) of OMV Rules, hence this application is rejected.

115. Route:- MUKHIGUDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA G.UDAYAGIRI RAIKIA AND BACK, SUBASH CHANDRA PATTNAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR07AA9181.

Adv.MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing subject to replacement during T.P. period.

116. Route:- MANAPUR TO RAIRANGPUR VIA MALIPOSI , KARANJIA AND BACK, BIBEKANANDA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11A1110.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Baijayanti Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD09E4805 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Duburi and Harichandanpur.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

117. Route:- BEDAPADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GOBINDAPUR, KHUNTUNI AND BACK, DEBASHISH LENKA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BP1340.

Adv.A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Basanta Kumar Mohapatra owner of the vehicle No.OR05AH3022 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Khuntuni.



The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

118. Route:- MALASAPADAR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BERHAMPUR, CHHATRAPUR AND BACK, DEEPAK PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04M8784.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Balarlam Panigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AR9639 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Jitendra kumar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD07AA8141 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time enhancing halting time at termini.

119. Route:- ANANDAPUR TO BARIPADA VIA KENDUJIANI, KARANJIA AND BACK, DILLIP KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09AA2566.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

This is considered conditionally in free zone so far as rationalized portion of the route is concerned after and before the existing operators.

120. Route:- DHARMAGARH TO KANTABANJI VIA KESINGA, BELGAON AND BACK, RITA SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR08F7611.

The applicant is absent.

It is the old vehicle. The application is considered conditionally to replace during T.P. period and subject to verification of clash free time .

121. Route:- TIRING TO BURLA VIA KARANJIA AND BACK, PRADEEP KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23R5511.

Adv.A.k. Behera is present for the applicant.

It is night service.



Sri Nirod Kumar Nayak, owner of the vehicle No.OD09N8030 filed an objection that there is clash at Keonjhar between his service and applicant's service.

Sri Satrugan Singh, owner of the vehicle No.OD11V3738 filed an objection stating that the applicant's service has excess halting time and there is clash of time from Jashipur to Barkote.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

122. Route:- RAYAGADA TO PURUSHOTTAMPUR VIA RAIPANKA , ADAVA AND BACK, SUBASH CHANDRA PATTNAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07T8191.

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

123. Route:- KEONJHAR TO CHANDIPUR VIA THAKURMUNDA, SARAT AND BACK, CHINMAY ARINDAM MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09Q5020.

The applicant is present.

As is observed from the route chart, Chandipur should not be terminating point , but it should be at Balasore.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Glosi Mishra ,owner of vehicle No.OD05K7514 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Balasore, Sergarh ,Nilgiri and Kaptipada.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing. The application is considered subject to verification of rationalize portion of the route as per 302nd STA resolution.

124. Route:- JAMBANI TO KEONJHAR VIA KENDUJIANI, KARANJIA AND BACK, KISHORE CHANDRA MAJHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09Z1887.

The applicant is absent.

The route chart is defective to the extent that the applied route in up and down trip are not similar. Hence the application is rejected.



125. Route:- PURI TO BIRMITRAPUR VIA KHAMAR, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, MAMITA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14M8669.

Adv.J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of vehicle No.OD05Q-4259.

The application is considered in free time zone in Bhubaneswar-Puri portion after and before the existing operators from Puri and Bhubaneswar respectively.

126. Route:- GOVINDPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHAPUR, ATHAGARH AND BACK, PRASANT KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19B5060.

The applicant is present.

One Sri S.Dwivedi, owner of the vehicle No.OD19B5060 filed an objection stating that the slots applied by the applicant are not vacant.

Applied slots in rationalized route are not vacant. Hence rejected. He is advised to apply for P.P. when the vacant slots are notified.

127. Route:- KABISURJUANAGAR TO BUGUDA VIA BERHAMPUR ASKA AND BACK, JITENDRA ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09Y2121.

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf Smt. Sasmita Dalai owner of the vehicle No.OD07P0146 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Aska.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf Surya Narayan Polai, owner of the vehicle No.OD07Q4399 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Aska, Kabisuryanagar to Buguda.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Susanta Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD07S9029 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Ch. Laxmi Narayan Patro, owner of the vehicle No.OD07U8686 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

Call for report from DCT(SZ) and concerned RTO for consideration of this application.



128. Route:- TALAGAM TO BERHAMPUR VIA SUMANDALA, KODALA AND BACK, SITA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19S7719.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Amrit Prasad Mishra ,owner of the vehicle No.OD07AD6899 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered with Berhampur dep 30 mins after the objector.

129. Route:- LANJIGARH TO CUTTACK VIA SONEPUR BOLANGIR AND BACK, SANKET KUMAR MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33B1111.

Applicant is present.

It is the night service. There is no objection. The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

130. Route:- BANPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA SUMANDAL AND BACK, BINOD CHANDRA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AP8903.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Amrit Prasad Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD07V7779 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Berhampur.

The application is considered with Berhampur dep 30 mins after the objector.

131. Route:- PARSHURAMPUR TO BAMPA VIA GIRIDHARPRASAD , BEDAPADA AND BACK, ASHUTOS SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD068188.

Adv.S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv.P.K.Behera on behalf of Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AA9343 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time.

132. Route:- KALIPADA TO BALIAPAL AND BACK, RANAJIT MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01R4968.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. M.B.K.Rao on behalf of S.S.Palai, owner of the vehicle No.OD01D9891 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Kalipada, Balasore.

The application is considered conditionally to replace during T.P. period subject to verification of clash free time and after the objector.

133. Route:- ROURKELA TO JAYANAGAR VIA BHUBAN , DUBURI AND BACK, DEEPAK KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AM7907.

The applicant is present.

It is the alter service of SI.No.57. The decision of SI. No.57 is applicable

134. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO TALCHER AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35F6882.

Adv.S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

Sri Sunil Kumar Behera owner of the vehicle No.OD19F7777 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul.

Applicant and registered owner are different, hence the application is rejected.

135. Route:- BOLANGIR TO ROURKELA VIA SAMBALPUR JHARSUGUDA AND BACK, ARPAN KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02C1897.

The applicant is absent.

It is night service. There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of coverage on rationalize portion of the route in compliance to 302nd STA resolution and verification of clash free time..

136. Route:- BIRUDA TO BHUBANESWAR, BHUBANESWAR TO JANKIA AND BACK, SAHOO NABAKISHORE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD028462.

Adv.MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

137. Route:- GANDHINAGAR TO PURI VIA POLSARA AND BACK, SITA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04J5884.

Adv.S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Sasmita Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD02BY3231 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Polsara.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free time.

138. Route:- JAJPUR TOWN TO ANGUL VIA BHUBAN, KAMAKHYANAGAR PWD AND BACK, SASWAT RANJAN PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22G4323.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Prakash Ch. Dash, owner of the vehicle No.OD35G5655 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Angul to Panikoili.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Aswini kumar Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OR06G7975 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Kamakhyanagar.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Rajesh Kumar Biswal owner of the vehicle No.OR19H9531 filed an objection that there is clash of time at Talcher.

Sri Laxmidhar Nath, owner of the vehicle No.OD04K4791 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Angul.



It will be considered after verification of clash free timing. The application is considered subject to verification of rationalize portion of the route as per 302nd STA resolution.

139. Route:- KUNDAPOSH TO KOPSINGHA AND BACK, SHIBU RAJAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR16D7355.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Md. Ali Hussain ,owner of the vehicle No.OD14J6673 filed an objection stating that the applicant's vehicle is plying ahead of his vehicle on the entire route. As stated in the objection petition, his application was rejected in the 302nd. STA Meeting.



The applied slot timing is not vacant in the rationalized chart. Hence the application is not considered.

140. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO TIRING VIA GHATGAON AND BACK, SWAPNAMAYEE SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04H1485.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of OD05BL-6480.

There is no objection. The application is considered as alter service.



Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman
STA, Odisha, Cuttack