

PROCEEDING OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF STA, ODISHA,
CUTTACK HELD ON 10.10.2023.

MEMBERS PRESENT :

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Sri Amitabh Thakur, IPS,
Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman,
STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Chairman |
| 2. Sri Brajabandhu Bhol, OAS(SS),
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Member |
| 3. Smt. Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(I),
Addl. Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack | Member |

1. Route:- BHANJAKIA TO JAJPUR TOWN & BACK, PRADEEP KUMAR BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09E5721.

Adv. Sri Abhaya Kumar Behera is present for the applicant.

The application is on the Bhubaneswar-Panikoli-Jajpur Road-Keonjhar-Karanjar rationalized route.

The applied time both in up and down trip is not in vacant slot, hence the application is rejected.

2. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KANDIA VIA BARUAN, JAJPUR TOWN, SINGHPUR AND BACK, SUDHANSU SEKHAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BL8913.

Adv. Sri S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

The applicant has applied in Uptrip-55, Down trip-145 from Jajpur town. He requests through his Advocate to consider vacant slot No. 149 (17.09 dep. Jajpur town) in favour of his bus, in case applied Dn. Trip 145 is not considered.

It will be jointly decided along with other applications applied for the same slot.

3. Route:- BARGAON TO BRAJARAJNAGAR AND BACK, NIRANJAN SINHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16K1708.

The applicant is absent.

This will be considered subject to verification of rationalised route and clash free timing.



4. Route:- PARSHURAMPUR TO CUTTACK (CNBT) VIA LOKANATHAPUR, KASIADIHA AND BACK, ASHUTOSH SAHOO OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD068188.

Sri S.Mishra, Advocate is present for the applicant. He was requested to submit the route map.

Adv. Prasanna Ku. Behera on behalf of Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OD05AA-9343 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Cuttack up to Mandabasali.

The applied route covers 42kms on Bhubaneswar-Angul, via-Dhenkanal rationalised route. Hence it is not considered.

5. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ROURKELA VIA KHAMAR, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BM2939.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. There is no objection. The application is granted subject to clash free timing. Running time between Bhubaneswar and Cuttack should be corrected.

6. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO ROURKELA & BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BN2939.

It is the alter service of SI.No.5.

7. Route:- DUNGURIPALI TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, PARTHA PRATIM MISHRA OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR03G8188.

The applicant is absent.

This vehicle is 2010 model.

There is no objection. It is granted subject to replacement during T.P. period.

8. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO KANDIA & BACK, SUKANTA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BL9257.

Adv. P.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Up trip slot 39 from Bhubaneswar and Dn. Trip slot 131 from Jajpur town. He requests through his Advocate to consider vacant slot No.57 in up trip and 151 in down trip if the above first choice is not considered.

Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Aftab Alam owner of the vehicle No.OD22M4849 filed an objection stating that he has applied in Dn trip slot No.131 from Jajpur town. The objector requests to allot Dn trip slot -131 to him considering him as a senior operator. The objection is not a valid one, hence it is not considered.

It will be jointly decided along with other applications applied for the same slot.

9. Route:- BALIGUDA TO BOUDH VIA K.NUAGAM AND BACK, NARENDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR12B1730.

The applicant is absent.

This vehicle is 2010 model.

There is no objection. It will be considered subject to replacement during T.P. period for safety of passengers as the route applied covers ghat section and subject to clash free timing.

10. Route:- KUARMUNDA TO CHANDABALI VIA KHUNTUNI, CUTTACK (CNBT) AND BACK, AMIT ENTERPRISERS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05K9399.

The applicant is absent.

The route covers part of rationalized route of Cuttack to Pattamundai via Salipur & Kendrapara.

One application from Sri Alok Kumar Swain received through post wherein he stated that he is the owner of the vehicle No.OD05K9399 and requested to consider his case in the vacant slot on the rationalized route of Cuttack to Pattamundai. But as per the VAHAN data base, the owner is Amit Enterprises, which may be verified.

The application is not considered as the applicant for T.P. and owner of vehicle is different.

11. Route:- BIRIPATA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BARUAN, KUAKHIA AND BACK, SRINIBASH SATATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05E2945.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The owner has applied in slot 21 from Jajpur town and slot No.101 from Cuttack. One Sri Pradeep Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD05AW-2397 has filed an objection stating that the

applied slot has already been allotted to OSRTC vehicle No.OR05AM-7410. Hence the slots are not vacant.

On verification of VAHAN database it is found that the applied vehicle is off road from 01.08.2023 to 30.04.2024.(Non use)

It was alleged that the RC of the vehicle No.OR05AM7410 has been cancelled. However, it will be verified.

Adv. S.Das on behalf of the owner SwapnaSunelikaSamal owner of the vehicle No.OD04L8010 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Cuttack. On verification of rationalised chart the time allotted to this objector is at 15.40, hence this is not a valid objection.

The applied slot is not vacant and if it is vacated as per the allegation made by the applicant it will be notified for grant of P.P. So this application is rejected.

12. Route:- KANIKA TO ROURKELA VIA SARGIPALI, UJALPUR AND BACK,MUKESH SINGH,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD16J8796.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

He has applied in slot 32 from Sundargarh and in slot-65 from Rourkela.

This route is not notified.

Md. Giasuddin, owner of the vehicle No.OD14K-8986 filed an objection which will be considered.

SM. ShamimAkhtar , owner of the vehicle No.OD16H5845 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time atSundergarh.

The applied slot is not vacant, hence this application is rejected.

13. Route:- ATHAMALIK TO TIKABALI & BACK,NRUSINHA CHARAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR15Q8801.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.This vehicle is 2011 model.

There is no objection. This will cover ghat road. The application is considered subject to replacement with higher model vehicle during T.P. period.

14. Route:- GUNUPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA RAIPANKA, ADAVA AND BACK,SIMANCHALA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD18J3969.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. M.B.K. Rao on behalf of S.ReenaPrusty owner of the vehicle No.OD32B-2599 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Berhampur.

This vehicle is 3/2009 model. The applied route covers several ghat sections. In view of the safety of the travelling public this application is not considered.

15. Route:- DOSINGHA TO BALASORE VIA BALIMUNDA, BIDEIPUR AND BACK,MRS SUMITRA MOHAPATRA,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR01U0606.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant. .

Adv. M.B.K.Rao on behalf of Mir FeedayRasool owner of the vehicle No.OD22Q-5387 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Jamjhadi up to Dhamara.

The applied route covers more than 50kms on the rationalised route. This will disturb the vehicles plying on the slots on the rationalised route. Hence this application is not considered.

16. Route:- NTPC TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA SATAMILE, RASOL POSTOFFICE AND BACK,PARADISE TOURS N TRAVELS,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02CL2122.

Adv. MBK Rao is present for the applicant.

1) Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Smt. Rashmita Dash, owner of the vehicle No.OD05BL6355 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time atBhunbaneswar.

2) Sri Susil Kumar Behera,owner of the vehicle No.OD19F1111 has stated that the gap of 1hr upto Bhubaneswar may be maintained.

3) Sri Ashok Kumar Samantaray, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-2253 has filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rasol and Bhapur. He prays to grant after half an hour of his service.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

17. Route:- THERUBALI TO NABARANGPUR & BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR GOUDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10D6188.

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. HP Mohanty on behalf of Smt. B. Kalabati Patra, owner of the vehicle No. OD10U2826 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Jeypore

Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of Sri J.R. Parida, owner of vehicle No. OD10E6555 filed an objection stating that there is clash at Rayagada. He also stated that the vehicle is having penalty Dues of Rs. 5,00,000/- and therefore requested to call for a report from RTO, Koraput.

In reply, Adv. A.K. Behera stated that the applicant has cleared tax dues as per orders of Hon'ble High Court of Orissa and penalty is being paid in instalments.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing and subject to verification of tax evasion if any as alleged by the objector.

18. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KAMALADIHA VIA ATHAGARH, BADAMBA AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G6297.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant. He has applied in vacant slot in Up trip-18 and in Dn. Trip 126.

Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Smt. S. Das, owner of the vehicle No. OD19H5058 filed an objection stating that this slot was earlier allotted to the vehicle No. OD05G0026 for a period of 5 years and the said vehicle has been surrendered and the route should be notified in the STA Meeting. The slots are meant for grant of P.P.

The present application for T.P. is not considered. And he is advised to apply for grant of P.P. which shall be considered in STA meeting.

19. Route:- JUDUM TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GOPINATHPUR, BAIDYESHWARA AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05G6296.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Dn. Trip slot No. 53 and Up trip slot no. 87. It is the vacant route.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of BasudevSahu , Partner of M/s. JyotiMalati Travels ,owner of the vehicle No.OD33L4859 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

One GeetalataPanigrahi, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AK-4857 has filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Kamaladiha.

One SandeepDwibedi, owner of the vehicle No.OD05G6296 filed an objection stating that the applied timing of the applicant is not in order and may be rejected.

The present application for T.P. is considered Bhubaneswar to Gopinathpur via-T-Bridge and back.

20. Route:- KOIRHA TO DASHAPALLA VIA CUTTACK (CNBT), JOBRA AND BACK,RANJAN KUMAR MOHAPATRA,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BK6255.

Adv. P.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of U.K.Kar, owner of the vehicle Nos.OD05R2329 and No.OD050329 filed an objection stating thatthere is clash of timeat Joda and Keonjhar.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of SandhyaraniChoudhury,owner of the vehicle No.OD04K0225 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Joda.

Sri KanhuCharanSahoo, owner of the vehicle No.OR02AK5511 has filed an objection stating that the there is clash of timing at Narsinghpur to Daspalla.

The applied route appears to be very zigzag and covers two rationalised routes i.e. Keonjhar to Cuttack and Cuttack to Narsinghpur. Starting at 18.50hrs reaching the destination at 8.06hrs which appears to be not practical. Hence the application is not considered.

21. Route:- RAYAGADA TO NUAPADA VIA GUNUPUR, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, K. URMILA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD18J8649.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. Hence it is granted.

22. Route:- TALAGOAN TO RAIKIA VIA BANIGOCHHA, BADALA AND BACK, RATIKANT SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15A5395.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. It is granted.

23. Route:- NALBANDHA TO GHATGAON VIA KANTALA, JAGAMOHANPUR AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B3952.

Adv. A.K.Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

24. Route:- KULUMA TO TALCHER VIA JHARABERINI, KANIHAN AND BACK, CHAITANYANANDA JYOTIRMAYA RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD35G2452.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

He said that permit was granted by RTO, Angul. Now he denied to renew it, as it is covering 2 regions. For which he has filed application.

The route appears to be defective, the application is not considered.

25. Route:- GADABHAGA TO BERHAMPUR & BACK, SEKHAR KUMAR PADHEE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15H4555.

The applicant is absent.

It is night service. It is granted.

26. Route:- BICHITRAPUR TO CHANDINIPAL VIA RAJGHAT, AMARDA AND BACK, MUNCI ABDUL SARFARAJ ALLI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD04J5284.

Adv. K.Mohammad is present for the applicant.

1.Adv. MBK Rao on behalf of HemantaMohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD01E-0929 filed an objection stating that there is clahs of time at Jaleswar.

2.Adv. HP Mohanty on behalf of Smt. ShantlataNayak ,owner of the vehicle No.OR22C-3772 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore, Dhamara.

3. Adv. HP. Mohanty on behalf of Subrat Barik, owner of the vehicle No. OR22B-4323 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore.

4. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Prasant Kumar Barik owner of the vehicle No. OR22B-4323 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore.

5. Adv. Subhrajeet Jena on behalf of S.K. Pattnaik owner of the vehicle No. OR01T-3391 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Gandhichhak.

6. Sri Durga Periwai owner of the vehicle No. OD01AN4821 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing at Thanachhak, Jaleswar and Balasore.

7. Sri Suresh Ch. Jena owner of the vehicle NO. OR01K-2082 filed an objection stating that there is clash of timing from Balasore to Gandhichhak.

The applied route covers more than 50kms (more than 70% of category-D) on the rationalised route. Hence the application is not considered.

27. Route:- ASKA TO DIGAPAHANDI VIA SERAGADA AND BACK, CHANDRARATHI PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD199192.

Adv. MBK Rao is present for the applicant.

This route covers two regions i.e. Ganjam and Bhanjanagar.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free of time and an enquiry report from RTO, Bhanjanagar regarding clash with local buses.

28. Route:- CHUDUNGUPUR TO HI-TECH MEDICAL SQUARE VIA DEKHALI, PADMANABHAPUR AND BACK, SATYANARAYANA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AL3489.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

The route will be terminated at Bhubaneswar. It is a night service. There is no objection. The application is granted.

29. Route:- CUTTACK (CNBT) TO BHUBANESWAR, PRAKASH KUMAR PATTANAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD32A1556.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection, it is granted.

30. Route:- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO CHANDRAPUR VIA GUDARI, SIRIGUDA AND BACK, BISHNU PRASAD CHOUDHURY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR18C3339.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant. The route chart is defective. The application is rejected.

31. Route:- ARJUNPUR TO BARGARH VIA MAHIMUNDA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR PUROHIT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD03F0028.

The applicant is absent.

Sri Arun Kumar Barai, owner of the vehicle No.OD03E-2063 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Arjunpur and Bolangir. Besides, the owner has already died.

It is ascertained that the applicant is dead since last one year, hence this application is rejected.

32. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO BERHAMPUR & BACK, JAGANNATH BHANJA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02BG7406.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

The application is considered with Bhubaneswar dep 10.45hrs and Berhampur dep 18.31hrs.

33. Route:- BANDHADIHA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KUAKHIA, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02CJ6803.

The applicant is present.

He has applied in vacant route. It will be jointly decided along with other applications.

34. Route:- KARANJHADIA TO KEONJHAR VIA BONTH, ANANDAPUR AND BACK, HIMADRI BIHARI PUHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD22X1006.

Adv. S.Jena is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of B.B.Jena owner of the vehicle No.OR09C3401 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar up to Bhadrak.

2. Adv. K. Mohammed on behalf of Mir ArshadulQuadri owner of the vehicle No. OD05E-7787 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

3. Adv. K. Mohammed on behalf of P.C. Mohapatra owner of the vehicle No. OD22V-7879 filed an objection stating that there is clash between at Bhadrak, Ghatagaon.

4. Sri Ganesh Ch. Jena owner of the vehicle No. OR13G6611 has objected that there is clash of time at Ghatagaon

The applied route covers rationalised portion from Keonjhar to Bhubaneswar and not applied in vacant slot, hence this application may be rejected.

35. Route:- AYATAN TO ROURLA VIA JAGATPUR, CUTTACK (CNBT) AND BACK, SMRUTIRANJAN PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11M2439.

The applicant is absent.

It covers Cuttack -Kendrapara - Pattamundai rationalised route. The applicant has not applied in vacant slot, hence the application is rejected.

36. Route:- RAMANAGUDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA MAHENDRAGARH , TURUBUDI AND BACK, RAJESH PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18M562.

Adv. MBK Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

37. Route:- CHIKILI TO BURLA VIA BELPAHAR, GANDHI CHOUK AND BACK, RANJIT SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23P0355.

The applicant is absent.

The route covers substantial portion of rationalised route from Sundergarh to Jharsuguda. The applicant has not applied in vacant slot, hence the application is rejected.

38. Route:- THAKURMUNDA TO BARBIL VIA MACHHAGARH, SAHARAPADA AND BACK, MONALISA BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09Y7631.

Adv. P.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of S. Prusty, owner of the vehicle No. OD09C7146 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Barbil.

2. Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Jadab Ch. Mahanta, owner of the vehicle No. OD09M-5370 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Champua.

3. Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Tanveer Alam, owner of the vehicle No. OD09B-9577 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Champua.

4. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Narendra Mahanta owner of the vehicle No. OD09E-3980 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Barbil.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

39. Route:- BOUDH TO TITILAGARH VIA BAIRASAR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, AKSHYA KUMAR KANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03AA2475.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. It is granted.

40. Route:- KALIAPANI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA ANLABERENI, DHENKANAL AND BACK, MOONA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19K7550.

Adv. P.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK Rao said that the owner is a Govt. servant who is working in CRPF Battalion and therefore he cannot be the owner.

1. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Dillip Kumar Pani, owner of the vehicle No. OD35C3269 filed objection stating there is clash of time at Cuttack.

2. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Dillip Kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No. OD19G-2169 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Anlabereni.

3. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Md. Moiuddin Zillani, owner of the vehicle No. OD05A2382 filed objection that there is clash of time at Dhenkanal.

4. Sri Satyanarayan Mishra, owner of the vehicle No. OD02AH-1899 filed objection that the applicant is a Govt. servant serving in CRPF, 8th. Battalion, Raygada.

The route is intra-region route. It covers the route from Mundali, Athagarh to Megha.

The matter should be enquired through RTO, Raygada and writing letter to the Commandant Raygada, CRPF if Moona Sahoo is a serving Jawan or not. It shall be decided after that.

41. Route:- ASKA TO KABISURYANAGAR VIA BUDHAMBA, KODALA AND BACK, P. JITENDRA REDDY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD237770.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant. The route is also intra-region route.

Sri Satyanarayan Padhi, owner of the vehicle No. OR02M1578 objected that there is clash of time at Aska.

It is decided to call for a report from RTO, Chhatrapur to verify the route (intra region or not) and time clash with RTA permit.

42. Route:- BIRMAHARAJPUR TO ANGUL VIA KADALIGARH, KISHORNAGAR AND BACK, SUVENDU KU DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19H5571.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. J.N. Mohanty on behalf of Fani Bhusan Pattnaik, owner of the vehicle No. OD05AP-4349 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Boinda and Angul.

This is an old vehicle applied on a route covering ghat section which will endanger life of travelling public, hence this application is not considered.

43. Route:- KOLHA TO DHENKANAL VIA PINGUA, SARANGI AND BACK, SURESH KUMAR ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR06H5293.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

An objection is received through online from Ganeswar Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No. OR19N3001.

The route covers entire area of RTA, Dhenkanal with 6 kms into Jajpur Kolha to avoid a RTA permit. The application is rejected and is advised to file application before RTA, Dhenkanal by deleting Kolha

stoppage which is neither a block headquarter nor any important place.

44. Route:- BRAJARAJNAGAR TO KANSAR VIA GANDHI CHOUK AND BACK, BLACK DIAMOND ROADLINES PVT LTD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD23H517.

Sri J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

No objection. This will be verified if the route covers rationalised route or not. If covers it will be rejected otherwise it will be considered.

45. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO NARSINGHPUR VIA GOPAMATHURA, MANGARAJ PUR AND BACK, ANJALI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR09Q5846.

Adv. Santanu Das is present for the applicant.

Prima facie it appears to be defective route.

1. Smt. Pravasini Dwivedi, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AQ-2939 in objection petition stated that, there is clash of time at Cuttack & Baramba.

2. Sri Ashok Kumar Samantray, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AG-2253 through video conference objected that the route proposed by the applicant covers rationalized route from Baramba to Kamaladiha.

The applied route covers 61kms on the rationalised route Cuttack-Narsinghpur-Kamaladiha. The application is considered from Bhubaneswar to Gopinathpur and back.

46. Route:- SALIASAHI TO GAYAGANDA VIA KHANDAPARA, KOSKA AND BACK, PRIYABRATA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR16E1055.

The applicant is present. In an application filed he stated to modify the proposed route Saliasahito Daspalla instead of Saliasahi to Gayaganda. He requested to delete the stoppage- Gayaganda.

Sri Tushar Kanta Acharya, owner of the vehicle No.OD23N1685 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhuneswar (Baramunda).

The application is not considered as any revision in route and time shall be required to publish afresh for inviting public objection

and he is advised to file fresh application from Bhubaneswar to Daspalla as he likes.

47. Route:- CHUDUNGUPUR TO HITECH MEDICAL SQUARE, BHUBANESAR & BACK, SATYANARAYANA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AK6540.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service at Sl.No.28. The decision of Sl. No.28 is applicable.

48. Route:- PALKUDAR TO PALA LAHARHA VIA DEOGARH , KANDHAL AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28-4494

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It is granted subject to clash free timing.

49. Route:- CUTTACK (CNBT) TO MUKHIGUDA VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA), NAYAGARH AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BX5127.

Sri Om Shree Champaty, son of the owner is present on behalf of his father. .

1. Sri Surendra Mohanty filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Cuttack and Bhubaneswar.

2. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Prasanna Kumar Choudhury, owner of the vehicle No. OD05AF-9647 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Daspalla and Nayagarh.

It is a night service. It is granted subject to clash free timing.

50. Route:- CUTTACK (CNBT) TO MUKHIGUDA VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA), NAYAGARH AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BW5127.

Sri Om Shree Champaty, son of the owner is present on behalf of his father.

It is the alter service at Sl. No.49.

1. Sri Kanhu Charan Sahoo owner of the vehicle No. OD02BC9711 filed an objection stating that he is the existing owner on the route as per the PP granted by STA, Odisha. But the applicant has applied for TP on the same route.

2.SriSurendraMohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AE-9457 filed an objection wherein he stated that the timing applied by the applicant will clash with his service at Cuttack.

The decision taken at Sl.No.49 is applicable.

51. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO SATAPADA VIA JAGANNATHAROAD, BRAHMAGIRI AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02AW5484.

The applicant is absent.

The applicant has proposed the Dep. timing at BBSR 03.29 and dep. timing 20.35 at Puri.

The application is considered with Bhubaneswar Dep 02.45hrs and Puridep at 21.45hrs.

52. Route:- CUTTACK (CNBT) TO NARSINGHPUR VIA TIGIRIA, MANIABANDHA AND BACK, PRAVAT KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05AL6355.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Up trip slot-23 and Dn. Trip slot-99 on Bhubaneswar to Narsinghpur route.

Smt. PravasiniDwivedi, owner of the vehicle No.OR05AQ-2939 filing an objection stated that the timing applied by the applicant from Cuttack to Narsinghpur is in disorder.

On verification it is found that the slot No.99 is a via slot meant for Cuttack to Badabhuin and not as applied. Hence this application is not considered.

53. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KAYANAGOLA VIA JAJPUR TOWN, RANBHAG AND BACK, PRIYASMITA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD04T9585.

Adv. S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Uptrip slot -39 and in Dn. Trip slot-145 on the route Bhubaneswar to Jajpur town in the rationalized route.

It will be jointly decided along with the applications applied in the same slot.

54. Route:- LAPANGA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DEOGARH , BARKOTE AND BACK, BIKASH MAHAKUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR15R7291.

The applicant is absent.

It is the night service. There is no objection. It will be considered subject to clash free verification.

55. Route:- SONEPUR TO BHUBANESWAR VIA BOINDA, JARPARHA AND BACK, JYOTIKANTA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AW7209.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of OD15A7291.

This vehicle was granted T.P. on the route from Bhubaneswar to Keonjhar which has not been converted to P.P. The applicant in a habit of applying T.P. and not plying on the route. Hence this application is rejected.

56. Route:- BALICHANDRAPUR TO CUTTACK (CNBT) VIA SAMSARPUR, CHATIA AND BACK, SAMBIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BN1857.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

1.Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Smt.Mamata Rani Rout owner of the vehicle No.OD05AC-8736 stating that there is clash of time at Balichandrapur.

2.Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Antaryami Mishra, owner of the vehicle No.OD05D-5409 stating thatthere is clash of time at Cuttack.

3.Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Gobinda Ch. Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OD05C-0736 stating thatthere is clash of time at Balichandrapur.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

57. Route:- DIGI TO CUTTACK (CNBT)AND BACK, PRADEEPTA KUMAR BARAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02CL3198.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Smt. LaxmipriyaMohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD02BF-5133 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

It will be considered with Bhubaneswar dep after the objector.

58. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAGHIAPADA VIA GANIA, ADAKATA AND BACK, BISWAJIT MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05AN0644.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. KanhuCharanSahoo on behalf of SantaranjanSahoo owner of the vehicle No.OR05AC-6355 filed an objection that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar (Baramunda).

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing from Bhubaneswar.

59. Route:- DIGAPAHANDI TO GUNUPUR & BACK, RAJESH PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05B5626.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

60. Route:- BANDHUGAON TO GUDARI VIA RAYAGADA, RAMANAGUDA AND BACK, KATTA NARENDRA KUMAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD18M7979.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. It is granted.

61. Route:- KHIRSAHI TO BERHAMPUR & BACK, BIPIN KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD07AB5959.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It is granted.

62. Route:- LAPANGA TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, BIKASH MAHAKUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15V7291.

The applicant is absent.

It is the alter service at Sl.No.54. Decision of Sl. No.54 is applicable.

63. Route:- BHAJAPUR TO DHENKANAL VIA TALCHER, THERMAL AND BACK, AVINASH SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD35B0921.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It covers 60 kms of the rationalized route. The application is rejected.

64. Route:- UDALA TO KEONJHAR VIA BANGRIPOSI, BISOI AND BACK, SOURAV KUMAR BARIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD11F1015.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

1.Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Tapan Kumar Parida owner of the vehicle No.OD11T-4415 filed an objection and stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

2.Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Kishore Chandra Majhi, owner of the vehicle No.OD19V1887 filed an objection there is direct clash at Keonjhar as the applied time 13.15hrs exact dep time of the objector.

3.Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Anup Kumar Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11J-6061 filed an objection wherein he stated thatthere is clash of time at Baipada.

4.Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Sri Dipak Kumar Dwari, owner of the vehicle No.OD11C-6818 filed an objection stating thatthere is clash of time at Keonjhar and Baripada.

5.Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of JainaseniBarik, owner of the vehicle No.OD11H-9897 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Udala.

6. Smt. Anjan Kumar Pattanayak, owner of the vehicle No.OR11D9403 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bisoi leaves at 16.20hrs and the applicant proposed to depBisoi at 16.26hrs. But at Bangiriposi the applicant has proposed 12 mis before the objector i.e. at 16.58hrs.

7.SriJivan Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11D-6438 and Sri Manas Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11H-6715 applied objection through online.

It will be considered after the objectors.

65. Route:- KHETAMUNDALI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA ANGISINGI, GODIPADA AND BACK, SUDHIR KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD25G4595.

Applicant is present.

Adv. MBK.Rao on behalf of Sri Durga Prasad Das, owner of the vehicle No.OR03BF-7084 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Ranpur and Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered with clash free time verifying objector's dep at Bhubaneswar and Ranpur.

66. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO DAKHINABANDHA VIA JAJPUR TOWN, RANBHAG AND BACK, MRS NILOTPALA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD04U0085.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Utrip slot -19(from Bhubaneswar to jajpur town) and Down trip slot-131 (fromJajpurTown) on Bhubaneswar to Jajpur rationalised route.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty on behalf of KabitaMohanty, owner of the Bus No.OD05BN-7915 filed a petition stating that he is a co-applicant.

This will be jointly decided alongwith other applications applied in the same slot.

67. Route:- ANGUL TO JANGHIRA VIA DIHADOL, AMBAPALASA AND BACK, RAJ KISHOR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD19X3737.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be considered subject to clash free timing.

68. Route:- MERAMUNDALI TO KEONJHAR VIA BANRAPAL, TALCHER AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35B2221.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

1.Adv.H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Jiban Kumar Prusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11D-6438 &RajendranathPrusty, owner of the vehicle No.OD11G-3515 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar, Pallahara and Talcher.

2.Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of SandhyaraniSatapathy owner of the vehicle No.OR25B-6348 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Banarpal.

The applied route covers partly rationalised route (Dhenkanal-Angul). Hence it is not considered.

69. Route:- BIRUDA TO GAURANGAPUR VIA KHURDA NEW BUSTAND, JANLA AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR CHHUALSINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02CD9241.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

1.Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sarada Prasad Mohapatra, owner of the vehicle No.OD02AF-9064 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Lodhachua. The objector further said that, earlier the applicant was granted with T.P., but so far he has not converted his TP to PP. This may be examined.

On verification it is found that the same applicant has been granted a T.P. on 06.05.2023. Instead of lifting the T.P. Mr.Chhualsingh applied another T.P. Hence as per 301st STA meeting resolution the application is rejected.

70. Route:- KANKADAHAD TO ROURKELA VIA KALLA, BARKOTE AND BACK, SRIKANTA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD14AD1716.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

1.Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri Sumantakumar Mohanty owner of the vehicle No.OD35E6351 filed objection stating that there is clash at Barkote.

2.Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Pravat Kumar Swain, owner of the vehicle No.OR14Y-0974, OD04S-0574, Anjan Kumar Pradhan, owner of the vehicle No.OD14C-9817 filed objections without detail of objections. He requested to consider the objections.

The application is granted subject to verification of clash free time.

71. Route:- INDUPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KUNDAL, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, KARTTIK CHANDRA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR15R6754.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

This rationalized route Kendrapara –Cuttack will be verified.

1.Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Raza Khan owner of the vehicle No.OR05AC-2777 filed objection wherein it is stated that there is clash of time at Balichandrapur.

2. Adv. P.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Taha Khan owner of the vehicle No. OR05AR-2777 filed objection wherein it is stated that there is clash of time at Balichandrapur.

The route covers rationalised route, hence this application is rejected.

72. Route:- MUKHIGUDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA BALIGUDA, RAIKIA AND BACK, SUBASH CHANDRA PATTNAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR07AB9181.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. There is no objection.

It will be examined and considered after verification of clash free timing.

73. Route:- DANDISAHI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BARUAN, KUAKHIA AND BACK, SUSMITA SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BK0148.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

It is the rationalized route (Jaipur town).

The applicant has applied in Dn. trip slot-16 and Up trip slot-96. It will be jointly decided along with other applications.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Ananta Charan Sahoo owner of the vehicle No. OD05L-4735 filed an objection stating that he is operating in slot No. 100 and if this permit is granted in vacant slot No. 96 his interest will be affected, hence he should be allowed to change to slot No. 96.

Sanjukta Jena, owner of vehicle No. OD05Q-9006 has filed objection stating that she had applied for that slot timing, but she was not allowed.

The objections are not valid and the matter will be decided jointly along with the other applications applied in the same slot.

74. Route:- PURI TO KASHIPUR VIA BHADRAK, BALASORE AND BACK, SABITA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AK8188.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Sri Pratap Ch. Mohapatra owner of the vehicle No. OD33AA-8488 and OD02AF-9735 filed an objection

stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar (Baramunda) (21.10 hrs.).

The Advocate present on behalf of the applicant (Sri S.Mishra) was requested to furnish the decision on plying of bus.

The application is considered in free zone from Puri and Bhubaneswar in both up and down trip.

75. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO KOINPUR VIA CHANDIPUT, CHANDRAGIRI AND BACK, PRITVI RAJ SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AR9992.

Adv.K.Mohammad is present for the applicant.

1.Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Smt. KabitaTripathy, owner of the vehicle No.OD02Z-0115 and OR02T-0599 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Cheligada.

2.Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of D.Ashok Kumar owner of the vehicle No.OD20-4545 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Chandragiri,Jirango.

3.Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of D. SitaramRaju, owner of the vehicle No.OD18D-7128 filed an objection stating thatthere is clash of time at Jirango, Mandalsahi,Ramgiri.

It will be examined and considered after verification of clash free timing.

76. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KHINDA VIA ANSUPA, NARHUAPADA AND BACK, SURESH CH SAMAL,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD19J2799.

The applicant is absent.

This route is defective. Hence the application is rejected.

77. Route:- TAINISIRA TO KAMAKSHYANAGAR & BACK, SUMANT MALLIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28A1726.

Adv.S.K. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It is considered subject to clash free of time.

78. Route:- RAYAGADA TO KALYANSINGHPUR AND BACK AND RAYAGADA TO NARAYANPATNA AND BACK, K SATYA SIRISHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18M6579

Adv. J.N. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It is granted.

79. Route:- ROURKELA TO BARGARH VIA JHARSUGUDA, RENGALI AND BACK, ROSY DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD23P9613.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

He has applied Up trip in vacant slot-02 from Rourkela ,in slot-48 (Sundergarh-Sambalpur) and in slot-188 (Sambalpur – Bargarh)

It will be examined if the slots are meant for T.P. or not. If it is notified for T.P. it will be granted otherwise it will be rejected.

80. Route:- LOHARCHATTI TO CUTTACK (CNBT) VIA BOUDH, CHARICHHAK AND BACK, SUBRAT KUMAR DHIR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD15X7199.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. There is no objection. It is granted.

81. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GUDARI VIA SERAGADA, DIGAPAHANDI AND BACK, RUPAK SAMANTRAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR02BS7557.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is the night service. There is no objection. The vehicle is more than 12 years old and proposed to ply through ghat section. It is considered subject to the condition for replacement by higher model during T.P. period.

82. Route:- BHADRAK TO KEONJHAR VIA BONTH, TAPASI CHAKRABARTY, ORALI AND BACK OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR04L0885.

Adv.S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

According to the Advocate on behalf of the applicant, the route Keonjhar to Anandapur after 4.30 is free zone.

The applied route covers rationalised route from Anandapur to Keonjhar and not applied in vacant slot, hence application is rejected.

83. Route:-TIARIGAN TO BHUBANESWAR VIA- ASKA, KHALLIKOTE, BALUGAON, SMT.KALYANIBALA PATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14D8500.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is defective route chart. Hence this application is rejected.

84. Route:- KAMALANGA TO TALCHER VIA ANGUL, DERA AND BACK, RAJESH BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19W9626

Adv.A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the intra-region route.

One objection through online received from Sri Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of the vehicle No.OD19W-9626.

Before consideration of application report from RTO, Angul and Talcherbesought for.

85. Route:- GARADPUR TO CUTTACK (CNBT) VIA SANKEHSWAR, TARAPUR AND BACK, KISHORE CHANDRA BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR05AL7472.

The applicant is absent.

Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Pravat Kumar Nayak owner of the vehicle No.OR05AU-1803 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time atTarapur.

The applied route is rationalised route Cat-B Cuttack-Paradeep and not applied in vacant slot, hence application is rejected.

86. Route:- GUNUPUR TO RAYAGADA VIA KASHIPUR, TIKIRI AND BACK, DEBABRAT NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD18L8627.

Adv.A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

The application is granted.

87. Route:- PHULBANI TO DASHAPALLA & BACK, MAHIBUDEN KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR02BV1635.

The applicant is absent.

The route chart is defective. Hence it is rejected.

88. Route:- PADANG TO DUBURI VIA REKUTI, BAHADPAL AND BACK, KAMAL MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD130854.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. It is granted.

89. Route:- SINGHPUR TO BHUABNESWAR& BACK, DHARMANANDA PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD05BM1485.

Adv.S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Dn. Trip slot-5 ,Up trip slot-57 from Bhubaneswar to Jajpur road, in Dn. trip slot-5, up trip slot-143 from Jajpur Town and in Up trip slot-57, Dn trip slot-112 from Jajpur route.

Adv. P.K.Behera on behalf of Smt. Krusnaku.Mishra owner of the vehicle No.OR04K-0092 filed objection stating that the slot-145 be allotted to his service.

Objection is not valid. It will be decided jointly along with other applicants applied in the same slot.

90. Route:- LAIKERA TO CHIKILI & BACK, HRUDANANDA PATEL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD23H1414.

The applicant is present.

One online objection received from WOUTSL, owner of the vehicle No.OD15J-3433.

It is considered subject to clash free timing.

91. Route:- PHULBANI TO SONEPUR AND BACK, LALU BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR05AL4735.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The vehicle is 2010 model.The application is considered subject to replacement with higher model vehicle within T.P. period.

92. Route:- JAGANNATHPRASAD TO PURI MUNICIPALITY BUS STAND VIA GODIPADA, DARPANARAYANPUR AND BACK,KSHIROD KUMAR ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD151544.

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. It is granted subject to verification of clash free timing.

93. Route:- BIRMITRAPUR TO MALICHHUA VIA BAMURA, GHUNGUTI AND BACK, KAUSTUBHA BHUSAN HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD16L2330.

Adv.H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

The route is interior route. There is no objection.

It is considered subject to clash free timing.

94. Route:- PANKALBADI TO BERHAMPUR VIA. POLASARA, PURUSHOTTAMPUR AND BACK, NARAYAN NAHAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD07AP9592.

Adv. MBK.Rao is present for the applicant.

It is the local route. Before consideration of application a clash of time report will be called for from the concerned RTO.

95. Route:- THAKURMUNDA TO NALDA VIA KEONJHAR, PADMAPUR AND BACK,RANJAN KUMAR BEHERA,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD09J2405.

Adv.S.Mishra is present for the applicant.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty on behalf of Smt. ChhabiraniBehera owner of the vehicle No.OR09N-4955 filed an objection stating there is clash of time at Joda.

It is considered subject to verification of clash free timing after the objector.

96. Route:- RANGRA TO LOARAM VIA LATHIKATA, ROURKELA AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR PALAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OR14T7174.

Adv.H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

1.Adv.K.Mohammad on behalf of Sri Akshaya Kumar Biswal owner of the vehicle No.OD14J0979 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

2.Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri Gajendra Prasad Sahoo owner of the vehicle No.OD14-3725filed objection stating that there is clash of time Rourkela.

2.Adv. A.K.Behera on behalf of Sri SandeepTigga, owner of the vehicle No.OD14E-2888 filed objection stating that the route is coming under one region i.e. RTO,Rourkela andthere is clash of time at Khuntagaon.

3. Two online objections received (a) Sri Rabintra Nath Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No. OR14T7174 and (b) Sahazadi Begum, owner of the vehicle No. OD14AC-0092.

The applied route covers single region, hence the application is rejected.

97. Route:- DEOGARH TO ATHAMALLIK AND BACK, UDDHAB CHANDRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02W0205.

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

98. Route:- LAHUNIPARA TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, SMITA RANJAN NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CJ1230.

Adv. MBKRao is present for the applicants.

It is the night service and it is granted.

99. Route:- LAHUNIPARA TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, ZENITHIN PRIVATE LIMITED, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CK1230.

Adv. MBKRao is present for the applicants.

It is the night service and alter of Sl. No. 98.

100. Route:- CHARMACHA TO ROURKELA VIA MAHULDIHA, KHUNTAGAN AND BACK, PRABHAT KISHORE SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD141774.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Jasoda Nayak, owner of the vehicle No. OR14R-3157 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela. As is told, this vehicle is declared off-road since 2020 having no Fitness or up-to-date tax.

2. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sumant Kumar Mallik, owner of the vehicle No. OR28-1972 filed objection stating that there is clash of time Lahunipada.

3. Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf Md. Nurul Haq owner of the vehicle No. OD14T-2983 filed objection stating that there is clash of time Rourkela.

It is granted subject to clash free timing.

101. Route:- DASHAPALLA TO PHULABANI VIA CHAKAPADA, KUJAMENDI AND BACK, GITANJALI BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12E9910.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. Hence it is granted.

102. Route:- TAINSIRA TO LATHIKATA VIA BAHADAPOSI, AMBAGAON AND BACK, PRABHAT KISHOR SWAIN OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14N9374.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It will be granted after verification of clash free timing.

103. Route:- JADA TO RAJGANGPUR VIA RANIPIA AND BACK, AMIT SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16K7555.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicants.

Sri Sukhjinder Singh on behalf of his father Sri Inderjit Singh, owner of the vehicle No. OD18L-4334 filed objection stated that there is clash of time at Rajgangpur.

It covers rationalised portion from Rourkela to Rajgangpur. Hence this application is rejected.

104. Route:- KHURUNTIA TO BALASORE VIA THANACHHAK, CHANDANESWAR AND BACK, MAHENDRA NATH GIRI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AQ4969.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

One objection through online received from Sk. Tanruddin Jawad, owner of the vehicle No. OR01S-0655 stating that, there is clash of time at Chandaneswar.

The application is granted after verification of clash free timing.

105. Route:- KHARANASI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DUHURIA, BALICHANDRAPUR AND BACK, DEBASIS PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD29M6266.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

He has applied in Kendrapara slot-9B (category-B), Cuttack slot-60B.

1. Adv. A.K. Behera on behalf of Sri Madan Mohan Sahoo owner of the vehicle No. OR16D-6933 filed objection stating that he had applied for permit on the route from Rajgangpur to Mahakalpara. But in the STA meeting, it was not granted and there is clash of timing from Kharanasi to Bhubaneswar via-Duhuria between his service and service of the objector.

2. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Bighnaraj Nayak, owner of the vehicle No. OD04Q-8585 filed an objection stating that the applicant has not applied in vacant slot timing and the applied slot with route are not notified.

However, Adv. H.P. Mohanty said that the route cannot be notified which he had requested Transport Commissioner & Chairman of STA., Odisha. Secretary said that this matter will be taken up with Transport Commissioner, Odisha.

It covers rationalised route. Steps being taken to notify the route for grant of P.P.. Hence it is not considered.

106. Route:- MANDIRA DAM TO NUAGAON VIA LAING, KANSABAHAL AND BACK, LOKHIN KINDO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AA6819.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Sri Sukhjinder Singh, owner of the vehicle No. OD18L-4334 an objection stating that there is clash of time at Rourkela upto Laing. He also requested to allow him dep. timing at 19.20 hrs which is still vacant if granted.

Sri Pradeep Sen, owner of the vehicle No. OR14C7664 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Biramitrapur.

It covers rationalised route from Rajgangpur to Rourkela. Hence it is not considered.

107. Route:- BABANAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KODALA, BEGUNIPADA AND BACK, KESHAB SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AS5215.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Satyapriya Sahoo owner of the vehicle No. OR02E-5199 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Polsara.

2. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Prasanna Ku. Sahoo owner of the vehicle No. OR04J-5591 filed objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

It will be considered after verification of clash free timing.

108. Route:- ROURKELA TO DOSINGHA VIA KEONJHAR, GHATGAON AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22B6177.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Mir Feeday Rasool owner of the vehicle No. OD22Q-5387 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

One objection from Sri Rabindra Nath Mohanty, owner of the vehicle No. OR14S8569 received through online.

The applied route covers rationalised route from Keonjhar to Anandapur. The proposed route is not in vacant slot, hence this application is rejected.

109. Route:- BINIKA TO SAMBALPUR AND BACK AND BINIKA TO GODBHAGA AND BACK, RAMANI RANJAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15Q0885.

The applicant is absent.

One Pradyumna Hota, owner of vehicle No. OD31E-8399 has filed objection that the same timing of their vehicle for which P.P. was valid till 07.11.2022 has been applied by the present applicant which should not be considered.

The application is considered subject to clash free timing.

110. Route:- HATIBARI TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, BHAGIRATHI JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BH8323.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

There is no objection. It is considered subject to replacement with higher model vehicle during T.P. period.

111. Route:- BIRUDA TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, NABAKISHORE SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD028462.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.



The applicant through his Advocate said that minimum 20 minutes gap be maintained and said that the applied dep. timing at Bhubaneswar (14.29 hrs.) may be revised to 14.35 or 14.40 hrs, as because there is one Bus No. OD02AM-1705 whose Dep. timing is 14.30 hrs.

For changing the applied time it has to be published again. Hence the applicant is advised to file afresh.

This application is rejected.

112. Route:- DARAKHULI TO UDALA VIA BAHANAGA, BALASORE AND BACK, ANKIT KUMAR KAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AT6465.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. S. Jena on behalf of Sri Kamalakanta Dash owner of the vehicle No. OR05AV-3067 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Udala.

2. Adv. S. Jena on behalf of Sri Rubita Das, owner of the vehicle No. OR01T-3215 filed an objection stating that there is a clash of timings (both departure and arrival) at Soro.

3. Adv. H. P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Sumanta Kumar Nanda, owner of the vehicle No. OD01AF-8137 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore and at Udala.

4. Adv. Prsanna Kumar Behera on behalf Sri Gouri Khatua, owner of the vehicle No. OR05AV-3066 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Balasore.

The applied route covers 40kms on Cat-D of Bhadrak-Balasore rationalised route. Hence the application is not considered.

113. Route:- RAIDIHI TO IB THERMAL AND IB THERMAL TO JHARSUGUDA AND BACK, ABHISHEK PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23N5829.

Adv. H. P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It will be verified if it covers rationalised route or not. If covers it will be rejected otherwise it will be granted.

114. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GANDHI NAGAR AND BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BH2654.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. H.P. Mohanty on behalf of Sri Pratyusha Kumar Parida, owner of the vehicle No. OD02CM-0699 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

2. Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Smt. Sarojini Sahoo owner of the vehicle NO. OR04J-5591 stating that there is clash of time at Polasara.

The application is considered subject to verification of clash free timing and replacement within T.P. period as this is an old vehicle.

115. Route:- CHATTAM TO VEDVYAS VIA RAJGANGPUR, LAING AND BACK, SHANTILATA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AA7325.

Adv. S. Mishra is present for the applicant.

1. Sri Sukhjinder Singh, owner of the vehicle No. OD16G-4334 has filed objection through online and stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

2. Sri Radhashyam Prasad Jaiswal owner of the vehicle No. OD16B7455 filed objection through online that there is clash of time at Rajgangpur.

3. Md. Yahia owner of the vehicle No. OR16B-8667 filed online objection wherein he stated that there is clash of time at Rajgangpur.

4. Sri Sourav Lenka, owner of the vehicle No. OD16K-3774 filed online objection wherein he stated that there is clash of time at Rajgangpur.

It covers rationalised route from Rajgangpur to Rourkela. Hence it is not considered.

116. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PEGARPADA VIA BARUAN, JAJPUR TOWN AND BACK, BIBEKANANDA KHANDAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BN6370.

Adv. MBK. Rao is present for the applicant.

He has applied in slot No. 39 from Bhubaneswar (07.52) and in slot No. 145 from Jajpur Town (17.00 hrs).

Adv. S.Mishra on behalf of Sri BarjarajNayak owner of the vehicle No.OD04N-0085 filed an objection stating that Up trip slot No.39 may not be allotted and to consider the case of the objector, who is the senior operator in the route. Instead up trip slot No.41 (be allotted to the bus of the applicant.

This is not a valid objection and the application is on published vacant slot and it will be jointly decided alongwith other applications.

117. Route:- BARAJADEULI TO ARADI VIA MARKONA, RANITAL AND BACK,BHAKTANANDA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR21E7051.

Adv. A.K.Beherais present for the applicant.

Sri Nishikant Panda, owner of the vehicle No.OD22X-7576 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.

The applied route covers 33kms in the rationalised route in Cat-D Bhadrak to Balasore and not applied in vacant slot. Hence this application is not considered.

118. Route:- GARPOSH TO DALDALI VIA JARANGLOI, SUNDARGARH AND BACK,DILLIP CHAKRABARTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16L1952.

Adv. H.P. Mohanty is present for the applicant.

There is no objection.

The applied route covers 37kms of the rationalised route, hence this application is rejected.

119. Route:- RARUAN TO ANGUL & BACK,TANWIR ALAM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD09AA0941.

Adv. H.P.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

He said that, it is the alter service of OD09AA-2566.

The application is granted as alter service.

120. Route:- PENTHAPAL TO CUTTACK (CNBT) VIA KAIPADA, NAHAN AND BACK, RASHMI RANJAN SETHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04M5025.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

1. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Sri Balaram Sahoo, owner of the vehicle No. OD05BH-0659 filed objection stating that the applicant has applied again in the defective route.

3. Sri S. Jena (vehicle Number not mentioned) has filed objection stating that the applicant has applied in wrong slot which need to be cancelled.

4. The owner of the vehicle No. OD05Q-9006 in his objection application stated that, the applied timing from Bari is not correct as per slot allotted time.

On verification it is found that the applied route covers major portion of the rationalised route Bari-Bandhadiha-Cuttack, but cunningly not putting the stoppages Bari and Kuakhia with an intention to short-circuit the implementation of rationalised timing through misrepresentation of facts.

Hence the application is not considered.

121. Route:- MARIGAON TO JEYPORE & BACK, ANUPAMA DALAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26F9219.

Adv. A.K. Behera is present for the applicant.

It is the alter service of the vehicle No. OD26F-7856. The application is granted as alter service.

122. Route:- DOSINGHA TO UDAYAPUR AND BACK, RITA MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15J7655.

The applicant is absent.

The application is withdrawn.

1. Adv. MBK. Rao on behalf of Smt. Suchismita Pallai, owner of the vehicle No. OR05AE-0621 filed an objection stating that there is clash of time at Basudevpur and Balasore.

2. Sri Prasant Periwal, owner of the vehicle No. OR01H-9287 in his objection stated that there is clash of time at Balasore, Chandaneswar.

3. Adv. S. Mishra on behalf of Haimabati Nayak owner of the vehicle No. OR01Q-6185 filed objection that there is clash of timing at Udayapur to Dhamara..

The application is rejected as withdrawn.

The applicant is present.

The application is considered subject to clash free time from Puri.

The applicant is absent.

The applied route covers difficult ghat sections and this is an old vehicle more than 14 years old which may endanger the life of travelling public. Hence application is not considered.

Adv. J.N.Mohanty is present for the applicant.

It is considered subject to verification of clash free timing after the objector.

Shahine
20/12/2022

Transport Commissioner-cum-Chairman
STA, Odisha, Cuttack