

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 29TH NOVEMBER, 2022 THROUGH VIRTUAL MODE IN THE MINI CONFERENCE HALL OF TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER-CUM-CHAIRMAN, STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Shri Arun Bothra, I.P.S. Chairman.
Transport Commissioner-Cum-Chairman,
STA, Odisha, Cuttack.
2. Shri Brajabandhu Bhol, OAS(SS), Member.
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.
3. Mrs. Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(I).. Member.
Joint Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.

At the outset the Chairman, STA welcomed all the participants to the virtual meeting.

1. Route: BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO SIDHAL VIA BIRIDI, BALIA AND BACK, M.D., OSRTC, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02H-2165.

Mr. P.K.Hota, Unit In-charge, OSRTC is present.

1. Shri U.K.Padhi, owner of vehicle No. OD05BH-8739 is represented by Advocate Mr. Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the objector has also applied TP for this route vide sl.No.288. He stated that since the vehicle of the objector is higher model, the TP may be granted to the vehicle of this objector. He further stated that the objector has applied on 21.11.2022 i.e. after publication of vacant slots on 17.11.2022 of the rationalized route Cuttack-Jagatsinghpur. The applicant has applied on 7.10.2022.
2. Minati Padhi, owner of vehicle No. OR21A-8739 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack. The Cuttack Departure time is just 9 minutes after the service of the objector and it will clash up to Balikuda. Hence, the objector requested that since she is the senior operator in the route, her interest may be protected.

T.P. is granted in favour of OSRTC as first and last service.

2. **Route :- PURI TO KANTABANJI VIA BHUBANESWAR, PURUNAKATAK, BOUDH AND BACK, M.D., OSRTC, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02AK-6009.**

Mr. P.K.Hota, Unit In-charge, OSRTC is present. Applicant stated that this is alter of SI.No.4. There is no objection. It is granted without interfering the rationalization timing subject to verification of clash free time.

3. **Route :-BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GUDARI VIA HINJILI, SERAGADA AND BACK, M.D., OSRTC, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02BV-1938.**

Mr. P.K.Hota, Unit In-charge, OSRTC is present. There is no objection. It is granted subject to verification of clash free time.

4. **Route :- PURI TO KANTABANJI VIA PURUNAKATAK, BOUDH AND BACK, M.D., OSRTC, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD02AK-6002.**

Mr. P.K.Hota, Unit In-charge, OSRTC is present. Applicant stated that this is alter of SI.No.2. There is no objection. It is granted subject to verification of clash free time.

5. **Route:- TAMBACHHARHA TO TITILAGAR VIA KUTURKHAMAR, BHAWANIPATNA AND BACK; KUNTI KHAMARI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO.OD08J-5844.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N. Mohanty.

There is no objection. TP is granted in modified departure timing from Junagarh at 9.15AM instead of 8.45AM. The halting time at different stoppages may be reduced.

6. **Route :-BAGADARI TO BHUBANESWAR VIA KAMAKHYANAGAR, DHENKANAL BY PASS AND BACK, PRASANTA KUMAR PATNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35D0911.**

Applicant is absent. Following 2 objectors have given their objections as follows:

1. Objector Shri Aswini Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD06H-3975 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has already sold his above vehicle to one Janaseni Barik. Hence TP application is infructuous.
2. Objector Shri Laxmidhar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD05AN-5475 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Bagadari.

Since the vehicle of the applicant has already been sold and transfer of ownership made, the application is rejected.

7. **Route :- NABARANGPUR TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR PADHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10V1114.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that this is alter service of another vehicle of this owner i.e. OD24J-1114. He stated that the timing the alter service may be made at 6.30AM instead of 6.15AM.

There is one objection filed by C.S.Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD10C-0414 through Advocate Mr. Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that if the applicant has applied to obtain TP to ply his vehicle as alter service, the objector has no objection.

Alter service is granted.

8. **Route :- LAKRAGHAR TO BAMURA VIA BARGAON AND BACK, NIRANJAN SINHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16G3702.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applied route is not covering any rationalized route. There is no objection.

It may be verified if this application covers any rationalized route and if applied in notified vacant slots. If not, the application shall be rejected.

9. **Route :- CHIPILIMA TO PURI & BACK, ANIL SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD158954.**

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. The route applied by the applicant is defective and not cleared. Hence rejected.

10. **Route :- KAITHIGOLA TO KAMAKHYANAGAR VIA BHADRAK , PANIKOILI AND BACK, HIMADRI BIHARI PUHAN , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09F5799.**

Applicant is absent. Following two objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Objector Shri Kamal Lochan Das, owner of vehicle No.OD22B-7373 is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of

time at Dhamra. Kaithigola to Dhamara is only 7 kms. He stated that the applicant proposes departure Dhamara at 5.55. It is a direct clash of time up to Basta.

The application is considered after the service of the objector.

11. Route :- DHUSURI TO ROURKELA AND BACK, RASHMIREKHA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04P1696.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. This is alter service of vehicle No.OR04L-0092 in SI.No.186. This may be verified.

There is an objection filed by Satrugan Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11V-3738. The departure time at Rourkela at 20.40hrs. is clashing with the objector. Hence, the objector requested that since he is the senior operator, his interest may be protected and applicant may be given time with a gap of 5-10 minutes after his service from Rourkela.

Since this is night service this application is considered to depart Rourkela after 10 minutes of the objector.

12. Route :- GARADPUR TO TIKHIRI VIA KANDARPUR, CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, BRAJA KISHORE PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD32F1088.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Narendra Mantry . He stated that he has applied in vacant slots. It may be verified whether he has applied in any notified vacant slots.

Shri Sabyasachi Mishra, Advocate have filed 3 objections on behalf of the following objectors.

Objector Shri Pinakpani Sarangi, owner of vehicle No.OD05AC-5055, Shri G.H.Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05J-0778 and Jyotshna Rani Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD05N-1015 stated that they are operating on the route Cuttack to Paradeep via Jaipur, Tarpur, Category-B on rationalized route. The slots applied by the applicant are not vacant slots.

Hence the application is rejected.

13. **Route :- TAPARIA TO CHHATABAR & CHHATABAR TO SAMBALPUR & BACK, ASUTOSH SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23M0751.**

Applicant is absent. There is no slot. Hence application is rejected.

14. **Route :- GOBINDAPUR TO ANGUL, ANGUL TO MERAMUNDALI, ANGUL TO ATHAMALLIK AND BACK, BHAGYADHAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD196677.**

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Shri M.B.K. Rao on behalf of the objector Shri B.K.Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD05AQ-7285. He stated that the route applied by the applicant is coming under rationalized route Angul to Hindol. He further stated that the applicant has applied in different alignments in up and down trips. Common corridor is from Boinda to Hindol which is 84 kms.

Since the route applied by the applicant is 2 different alignments and defective, it is rejected.

15. **Route :- POLASARA TO ASKA VIA A BARIDA AND BACK, BIJAYA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35A3096.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri N.K.Mantry. He stated that the applied route of the applicant is covering 2 RTA i.e. Bhanjanagar and Ganjam.

Defective route alignment. Hence rejected.

16. **Route :- CHUDAMANIPUR TO PANCHALINGESWAR VIA JHINKIRIA , MERDA AND BACK, PRASANTA POTHAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AE8812.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the route applied by the applicant is covering 2 regions.

There is no objection. It is granted as per the applied time.

17. **Route :- BADAMBA TO BERHAMPUR VIA RANAPUR , TANGI AND BACK, AMULYA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BJ0142.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Objector Sri Pratap Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD05AV-6155 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that the departure time at Badamba i.e. at 5.15 AM is exact time of this objector and it will continue up to Athagarh.
2. Objector Shri L.D. Khuntia, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-4629 is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Balugaon.
3. Objector Shri Bimal Sankar Singhdeo, owner of vehicle No.OD02W-7003 is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applied route is in the same alignment.

The objection appears to be not genuine. Hence the application is considered and T.P. is granted.

- 18. Route :- POLASARA TO PATHARA VIA KABISURYANAGAR , ASKA AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR GOUDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR07Q6364.**

Applicant is present. He stated that the applied timing is timing of his old permit. There is no objection.

Different alignment. Hence rejected.

- 19. Route :- KHOJPALLI TO CUTTACK VIA BALUGAON , CHANDAPUR AND BACK, SHACHINDRA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AH0754.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri P.K.Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR05AC-0730 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar i.e. at 15.10 is exact time of this objector.
2. Objector Shri S.K.Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH-0282 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that at Balugaon point, there is clash of time.

Applicant stated that he may be given TP after making the timings clash free from Bhubaneswar and Balugaon.

The application is considered Balugaon dep at 6.40hrs and Bhubaneswar dep at 15.35hrs.

20. **Route :- TIKAYATPUR TO OLMARA VIA GANDHI CHHAK , AMARDA STATION AND BACK, ANIRUDHA ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01U8182.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the applied route is not covering any rationalized route.

It may be verified whether route from Baisinga to Balasore which is 18 kms.is coming under rationalized route.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Kartik Chandra Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OR01L-1121 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra Suresh Chandra Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR01K-2082 stated that there is clash of time at Balasore.

The application is considered at Balasore departure 8.15hrs.

21. **Route :- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BADANGI VIA GANIA, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, SK KALIM BUX, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02AT8757.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Narendra Kumar Mantri. He stated that this is a plain route.

Objector Sri Chitta Ranjan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-8475 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that at Bhubaneswar point, there is clash of time.

The application may be considered Bhubaneswar dep 11.45hrs.

22. **Route :- PANDUPANI TO SAHARAPADA VIA JASHIPUR , BHANJKIA AND BACK, PARBATI SOREN ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD023635.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K.Behera. He stated that the applicant has applied in covering only 5 kms. of Mayurbhanj Region.

Objector Sunil Kumar Giri, owner of vehicle No.OR11E-1564 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied only to cover 5 kms. in Mayurbhanj in order to obtain TP from STA.

On verification, it is found that at Bahalda, there is clash of time at 7.15hrs. Hence, the applicant may be given to leave Bahalda at 7.35hrs. instead of 7.15hrs.

It is granted subject to verification of clash free time.

23. **Route :- KHURDA NEW BUSTAND TO BHOLAGADIA VIA CHANDIKHOLE , BHADRAK AND BACK, PRANATI NALINI SAMANTARA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AS0779.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that the applicant has been granted permit not in slot timing and rationalized route. Now he has applied to obtain TP in slot No.39-C category. But he has been given slot No.46. He stated that the slot No.39 is now vacant and he may be given the said vacant slot i.e. 39.

The application of the applicant is infructuous as the vehicle has already been granted TP. Applicant stated that 44 slot may be given to him in place of slot No.46.

Since the applicant has been granted TP and slot No.44 is a "D" category slot, the application of the applicant is rejected.

24. **Route :- IB THERMAL TO SAMBALPUR VIA JHARSUGUDA , THELKOLOI AND BACK, BABITA SAHU ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23C9239.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. He stated that earlier the applicant has been granted PP. But due to Covid-19, PP could not be renewed and applied TP.

Later the applicant has filed copy of the P.P. on the route I.B.Thermal to Sambalpur and Sambalpur to Brajarajnagar. The application is considered as per the P.P. timing of Smt. Babita Sahu.

25. **Route :- SARUABIL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BAISINGHA , KAMAKHYANAGAR PWD AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR06H2188.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that the vehicle of the applicant will come from interior area.

Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra has filed two objections.

Objector Sri Aswini Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD06K-1777 is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant's vehicle has no valid MV documents. The fitness expired on 14.11.2022 and continuously off road since 1.10.2022.

The application is considered subject to verification of MV documents.

26. **Route :- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO MALKANGIRI VIA RAYAGADA , KORAPUT AND BACK, SUSIM KANTI MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BG0557.**

Applicant is present. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.27. There is no objection. VCR is issued against this vehicle U/s 192(a) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for T.P. is rejected.

27. **Route :- CUTTACK TO MALKANGIRI & BACK, SUSIM KANTI MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BG0757.**

Applicant is present. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.26. There is no objection. VCR is issued against this vehicle U/s 192(a) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for T.P. is rejected.

28. **Route :- DEOGARH TO ATTHAMALLIK VIA CHHENDIPADA , JARPARHA AND BACK, UDDHAB CHANDRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02W0205.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. There is no objection. It is granted as per applied time.

29. **Route :- KALIMELA TO CUTTACK & BACK, DILLIP KUMAR HINDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD30D0603.**

Applicant is absent. Applicant has applied to ply his vehicle as night service. This is also alter service of serial No.29. It is granted with Bhubaneswar dep 17.55hrs.

30. **Route :- SARASKANA TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, DILLIP KUMAR HINDIA OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD30D0606.**

Applicant is absent. Applicant has applied to ply his vehicle as night service. This is also alter service of serial No.29. It is granted with Bhubaneswar dep 17.55hrs.

31. **Route :- HARBHANGA TO GHATAPADA VIA ATHMALLICK, BOUDH, SONEPUR AND BACK, MANAS RANJAN MISHRA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17H3107.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. There is no objection. It is granted as per time applied.

32. **Route :- SARASKANA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA BHADRAKH, BALASORE AND BACK, AJAY KUMAR BARIK,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11K3388.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. Since the applicant has applied to obtain TP to ply his vehicle in rationalized route, this may be decided jointly alongwith other applications.

33. **Route :- BHUBANESWAR TO POLASARA VIA BALUGAON, CHILIKA AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CC5127.**

Applicant is present.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Sri Niranjan Sundaray, owner of vehicle No.OD02AA-4199 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.
2. Shri R.K.Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD02AN-6802 and Shri H.B.Champati, owner of vehicle No.OD02CC-5127 are represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.
3. Objector Laxmipriya Mohanty, is owner of 3 vehicles i.e. Regn. No.OR02BG-2358, OD02W-7097 and OD02BB-8233. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.

It is decided to grant TP in modified departure time from Bhubaneswar at 6.20hrs. instead of 5.55hrs.

34. **Route :- GOLARA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA NIRAKARPUR , JANKIA AND BACK SAUMENDRA SAHOO,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK9697.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Swarnalata Das, owner of vehicle No.OD02AJ-4513 stated that there is clash of time at Bijipur. There is clash of time up to Bhubaneswar.
2. Objector Sukant Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02BW-2037 . He stated that the proposed time given by the applicant is clashing from Bijipur to Bhubaneswar which is 90% of his route.

Applicant through his Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that his client has applied on the same route at Sl. No.81 which may be considered with this application.

It may be considered alongwith applicant of sl.No.81.

35. **Route :- TOHARA TO UMARKOTE AND BACK, V RAMYA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR10G4257.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri N.K.Mantry. There is no objection. It is granted as per applied time..

36. **Route :- BAHALDA TO JALESWARI VIA DUKURA , BADASAHI AND BACK, SIRISH CHANDRA NAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26D5716.**

Applicant is present. There is no objection. It is granted as per applied time.

37. **Route :- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KHURDHA VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BH2654.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

There is an objection filed by one Janaki Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR02AH-7752. He stated there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar at 4.36hrs.

Application is considered with Bhubaneswar at 3.40hrs.

38. **Route :- KANKELI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PANDUA , DHENKANAL AND BACK, PRABHAKAR BEHERA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR06J8572.**

Applicant is present. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, owner of vehicle NoOD05AA-9343 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that at Dhenkanal point, there is clash of time.
2. Objector Shri P.K.Nath, owner of vehicle No.OD05F-8877 stated tdhat in down trip,, there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar.

Application is rejected as this covers rationalized route Cuttack to Angul, via-Dhenkanal.

39. **Route :- NISCHINTAPUR TO KEONJHAR VIA DAMAHUDA , KUNDALA AND BACK, MANJU DAS ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD092351.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. Following objectors have given their objection as follows:

1. Objector Shri Dhurandhar Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD11A-5474 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda point.
2. Objector Shri Harish Chandra Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR22C-3381 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Patna.

Application is considered to depart Thakurmunda at 7.00hrs. and Patna at 8.38hrs.

40. **Route :- RAJGANGPUR TO ROURKELA VIA KANSABAHAL , VEDVYAS AND BACK, SHANTILATA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AA7325.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the route applied by the applicant is not covering any rationalized route. The applied departure time from Rajagangpur may be treated as 16.20hrs. instead of 16.04hrs.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Deokaran Prasad Jaiswal, owner of vehicle No.OD16W-1055
2. Objector Shri Sourav Lenka, owner of vehicle No.OR16C-8703
3. Objector Shri Sukhjinder Singh, owner of vehicle No.OR16D-4334
4. Objector Mr. Indarjit Singh, Owners of vehicle No.OR16B-8899
5. Objector Bhagwanti Devi, owner of vehicle No.OR14V-8979
6. Objector Shri Pravat Kishore Swain, owner of vehicle No.OR14S-5574

The applications is considered subject to verification of rationalisation file relating to Rourkela to Sundergarh and previous orders if any.

41. Route :- SURIAGODA TO BAUNSUNI VIA TURUMUNGA AND BACK, NIRAJ SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09P1589.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Satrughna Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11S-3738 stated that there is clash of time at Khiching point.
2. Objector Shri B.R.Mandal, owner of vehicle No.OR09M-0407 is represented by Advocate Shri Prasanna Kumar Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Garumaisani.

Application is considered with Suriagoda dep at 6.20hrs.

42. Route :- LEMBHEI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA ODAGAON , RAJAPATANA AND BACK, SAIFUDDIN MALLIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD256465.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sabyasachi Mishra.

Objector Satrughana Mahapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD25H-4614 is represented by Advocate Mr. K.Mahammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Application is not considered as it covers Bhubaneswar-Nayagarh portion of proposed rationalization route.

43. **Route :- BERHAMPUR TO MANDASAR & BACK, MANOJ KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12E5656.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

The route and time information appears to be defective. Hence it is rejected.

44. **Route :- NARAYANPATNA TO GUNUPUR VIA PHULKONA , RAYAGADA AND BACK, KATTA NARENDRA KUMAR ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18L6489.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. It is granted as per applied time.

45. **Route :- JALO TO BERHAMPUR VIA CHANDIPUT , LUHAGUDI AND BACK, PRITVI RAJ SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AN9992.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao.

There is an objection filed by Mr. P.S.N.Raju, owner of vehicle No.OD22B-4228 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the actual distance from Jalo to Jerango is 16 kms. But applicant has mentioned that it is 7 kms.

Distance may be corrected. Application is considered to leave Jalo at 5.45hrs.

46. **Route :- TATA MINES TO CHAMPUA VIA KANJIPANI , KEONJHAR AND BACK, ABISAKE MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09M9715.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Masud Allam, owner of vehicle No.OD19H-4797 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. There is clash of time at Keonjhar.
2. Advocate Mr. Sabyasachi Mishra has filed 4 objections on behalf of the following objectors;

- a) Objector Priyabrata Barik, owner of vehicle No.OR09T-0728 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Telkoi.
- b) Objector Shri M.K.Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD04A-9184 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Champua point.
- c) Objector Shri Suresh Chandra Barik, owner of vehicle No.OR09M-0738 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Champua point.
- d) Objector Swarnaprava Beherea, owner of vehicle No.OD09H-9366 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar point.

It is considered with Telkoi departure at 8.22hrs, Keonjhar at 10.50hrs, Champua at 12.50hrs.

- 47. Route :- HUMA TO BERHAMPUR & BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BD4151.**

Applicant is absent. Since the applied route of the applicant is covering only one region, application is rejected.

- 48. Route :- GOLAMUNDA TO KANTABANJI VIA MAHALING , SINDHEKELA AND BACK, NARESH KUMAR PATTNAIK ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08A9633.**

Applicant is absent. Since the applied route of the applicant is covering a distance of 506kms. and the proposed route and timings is irrational and defective, the application of the applicant is rejected.

- 49. Route :-BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BALUGAON VIA RAMESWAR, TANGI AND BACK, HARIHARA PATTANAİK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02V8072.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied to obtain TP on the above route in two trips. There is no objection.

It is considered with Balugaon dep 2nd down trip at 16.12hrs.

50. **Route :- KHARIAR ROAD TO NABARANGPUR VIA GOLAMUNDA , DHARMAGARH AND BACK, SHIBANI BAG ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26F4289.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. There is no objection. It is granted as per the applied timings.

51. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) , PHULNAKHARA AND BACK, SAILABALA SWAIN,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02S3199.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. TP is granted in respect of vehicle of the applicant as Bhubaneswar-Cuttack is free zone. The starting point AllMS be deleted and TP may be granted from Bhubaneswar (Baramunda).

52. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CHAUMUKHI VIA BALASORE , HALADIPADA AND BACK, SUBHRAJEET JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14B5755.**

Applicant is present. He stated that due to problems in OPMS, the halting time shown in application is too much. Since, this is rationalized route, it should be considered alongwith other applications who might have applied to obtain TP in the same self rationalized route jointly. The inter trip from Balasore to Chaumukhi 2R.T. are deleted. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri S.S.Pallai, owner of vehicle No.OD01D-9891 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that at Baliapal, there is clash of time.
2. Shri Sabyasachi Mishra, Advocate has filed 2 objections as follows:
 - a) Objector Manasi Nanda, owner of vehicle No.OD01D-2569 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated there is clash of time at Kalipada.
 - b) Objector Sk. Kamrujzama, owner of vehicle No.OR01L-7255 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.
3. Objector Anshuman Chiranjeeb, owner of vehicle No.OD01AQ-3527 stated that there is objection at Balasore point.

Application to be considered jointly alongwith other applications.

53. **Route:- LULKIDIHI TO VEDVYAS VIA TANGARGAON , EKMA AND BACK, RAJENDRA MAHANANDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16C2059.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Niranjana Sinha, owner of vehicle No.OD16G-4554 stated that there is clash of time at Subdega.
2. Objector Sukhjindar Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD16G-4334 stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

The application is considered with Subdega dep 8.10hrs.

54. **Route:- RAJGANGAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR & BACK, CHANDRA KANTI BARICK, CHANDRA KANTI BARICK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02F9377.**

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Shri Surendra Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02AD-9657 through Advocate Mr. Santanu Das. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is considered with Bhubaneswar dep at 20.45hrs.

55. **Route:- ANGUL TO SONEPUR VIA KISHORNAGAR , TALAPADAR AND BACK, SUCHITRA DEHURY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19N6531.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objector have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Jeeban Ranjan Raj, owner of vehicle No.OR15M-5736 is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. He stated that at Boudh point, there is clash of time.

The application is considered with Boudh dep at 09.25hrs

56. **Route:- MALATI PATAPUR BUS STAND TO BERHAMPUR VIA KHURDA NEW BUSTAND , RAYAGADA AND BACK, PUSPANJALI PALAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10F0408.**

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by objector Shri Bimal Sankar Singhdeo, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH-7003 through Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The application is rejected as it covers rationalization route.

57. **Route:- JAJPUR TOWN TO BRAJARAJNAGAR VIA KEONJHAR , KANJIPANI AND BACK, PRAMOD KUMAR ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11J4646.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied to ply his service as night service.

There is no objection. It is granted.

58. **Route:- UDAYAPUR TO KORAPUT VIA NABARANGPUR, PAPADAHANDI INSTEAD OF MUNDIBEDA TO UMARKOTE VIA GONA AND BACK, MOHESWAR BISOI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10U5580.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the route is actually from Udayapur to Koraput via Nabarangpur, Papadahandi and not Mundibeda to Umarkote via Gona and back.

There is no objection. It is granted.

59. **Route:- KUCHINDA TO CDA SECTOR-6 VIA KHAMAR , PARJANG AND BACK, DILLIP KU PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19L2169.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri P.K. Behera. He stated that the applicant is not operating his above bus as school bus as alleged.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sri Srikant Kumar Pani, owner of vehicle No.OR14R-2222 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. There is clash of time at Palalahara point.
2. Objector Kalyani Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR19N-2169 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Kamakyahagar.
3. Objector Shri A.K.Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD06H-3975 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

It covers rationalized route Cuttack-Dhenkanal. Hence rejected.

60. **Route:- CHUDAMANI TO UDALA VIA BALASORE, SERGARH AND BACK, MINAKSHI DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01M4540.**

Applicant is absent. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Suchismita Palai, owner of vehicle No.OD01R-4646 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time from Anantpur to Balasore.

It is considered with Udala dep 14.10hrs..

61. **Route:- SUNDARGARH TO AINTHAPALI VIA MALIDIHI, KHANDOKATA AND BACK, JAMES DANG , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23D3641.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This application is rejected as this covers rationalized route Sundergarh to Sambalpur.

62. **Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BOLANGIR VIA NAYAGARH , DASHAPALLA AND BACK, HIMANSHU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BK5127.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. The application is considered with Bhubaneswar dep 21.20hrs.

63. **Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO GADAPUR VIA BHANJANAGAR , G. UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AC8199.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that this is alter service of serial No.64.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

Objector Sri Pravina Chhualsingh, owner of vehicle No.OD02Y-5127 and OR11K-8761 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point up to Raikia.

The application is considered with Bhubaneswar dep 21.50hrs.

64. **Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO GADAPUR VIA BHANJANAGAR , G. UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AC6199.**

Since this is alter service of serial No.63, the observations given in serial No.63 is to be followed.

65. **Route:- SAMBALPUR TO KUCHINDA & KUCHINDA TO LAHUNIPARA & BACK, BIJAY KUMAR MAHAKUL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15U6991.**

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Shri R.N. Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD11G-2615. He stated that there is clash of time at Sambalpur.

Applicant is granted to leave Sambalpur at 5.30hrs.

66. **Route:- BAHALDA TO MANOHARPUR & BACK, PURNIMA BASA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11E1476.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera.

Objector Shri S.K.Majhi, owner of vehicle No.OR11J-1887 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Jasipur point.

Defective route and time chart. Hence rejected.

67. **Route:- PAIKSAHI TO DHALPUR VIA BANTALA, ANGUL AND BACK, SUVENDU KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19H 0941.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. It is granted in applied timings.

68. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO BANIABASA & BACK, MINATI SATAPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AE4996.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.

The proposed slot is not vacant. Hence rejected.

69. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO SATAPADA VIA KALPANA, RASULGARH AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR PARIDA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02T3055.**

Applicant is present. He stated that he has applied in vacant slots which has been notified.

There is an objection filed by Advocate Mr. D.B. Das on behalf of Arup Kumar Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD13R-4500. He stated that there is clash of time at Puri.

Since the applicant has not applied in any vacant slots, the application is rejected.

70. **Route:- KANTABANJHI TO JEYPORE & BACK, ASHUTOSH PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08H-7078.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. On verification of clash free time, it is decided that the applicant given time may be modified and he may be

allotted departure time from Kantabanji at 6.50hrs. instead of 6.45hrs. Similarly, the departure time from Jeypore is modified at 4.45hrs. instead of 6.45hrs.

It is granted in modified timings.

71. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KOLIPASI VIA CUTTACK (BADAMBADI), NUAPATANA AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AD1988.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Santosh Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD06E-3102. He stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack point.
2. Objector Shri P.Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR19P-4459 stated that the applicant has applied for TP in last Permit Grant Committee meeting as the applicant has applied in same time.

Application is rejected as it covers part of rationalized route Cuttack to Angul, via-Dhenkanal.

72. **Route:- RAYAGADA TO AMBADALA VIA KALYANSINGHPUR , BENAGAON AND BACK, URMILA RAO ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD187340.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N. Mohanty.

There is no objection. TP is granted in modified timings i.e.. to leave Rayagada at 6.20hrs. instead of 6.10hrs.

73. **Route:- MUNIGUDA TO PHULABANI VIA BALIGUDA , GUMAPADAR AND BACK, RABINDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD153360.**

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. TP is granted as per applied timings.

74. **Route:- BOLANGIR TO ANGUL VIA KIAKATA , KADALIMUNDA AND BACK, SUDEEP KU GARNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19K0611.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri P.K.Behera.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sasmita Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD15A-7291 is represented by Advocate Shri P.K.Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Angul.

2. Objector Shri Mohan Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD19J-5574 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. He stated that the vehicle of the applicant is running in Tata Steel Plant as contract carriage.

Report may be sought for from RTO, Talcher regarding operation of bus as Contract Carriage in Tata Steel Plant. Then it will be considered if the allegation is found false. Otherwise, it will be rejected.

75. **Route:- DHARMAGARH TO KANTABANJI VIA KARLAPADA , SEINPUR AND BACK, SMT PUSPANJALI MOHAPATRA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08E7568.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

Applicant may be granted TP to leave Kantabanjhi at 11.45hrs. instead of 12.25hrs.

76. **Route:- MANAKUNDA TO PURUNABARIPADA VIA HALADIPADA, BALASORE AND BACK, PURNENDU KAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AQ1352.**

Applicant is absent. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Shri Hemant Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD01E-0929 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Balasore.
2. Objector Shri D.K.Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR01Q-2043 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Thana Chhak.
3. Objector Shri Abhijit Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OR01R-7287 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Thana Chhak.

This is considered with Mankuda departure 05.30hrs, Thakurmunda 6.00hrs. Down trip Balasore departure 14.50hrs.

77. **Route:- DHINKIA TO NUAGAON AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AG7317.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots no.56 from Jaipur towards Cuttack, slot No.39 from Cuttack towards Jaipur.

Objector Shri S.P.Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD05AR-2379 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the vehicle of the applicant was plying in Paradeep. A VCR has been drawn under Sec.192(A) as the vehicle was plying without permit.

VCR is issued against this vehicle U/s 192(a) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for T.P. is rejected.

78. **Route:- KEONJHAR TO BONAI & BACK, SABITRI SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09R2128.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that Singhpur to Khatagaon is 103 kms. which is coming under Sundargarh Block for which the distance is long. The route should be Keonjhar but applicant has applied wrongly mentioned as Sundargarh.

Defective route and time information. Hence rejected.

79. **Route:- ANTEI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA TIRTOL , JAYAPUR AND BACK, CHANDAN KUMAR BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AJ7455.**

Applicant is absent. It will be decided jointly with applicant of serial No.280. (be verified).

Objector Gourahari Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05BJ-0778 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant of serial No.280 has applied in this route. Hence, he has requested that serial No.79 and serial No.280 should be heard together.

80. **Route:- PHAPANDA TO ANGUL VIA PABITRANAGAR , TALCHER AND BACK, SANTANU KUMAR MALLIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR14X8779.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Objector Shri P.K.Pani, owner of vehicle No.OD28-9682 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. There is clash of time at Angul, Talcher and Khamar point.

Application considered with maintaining gap.

81. **Route:- TALAMALA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA JANKIA , KHURDA NEW BUSTAND AND BACK, SUKANT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CB9145.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra appearing for the applicant Saumendra Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OD02BK9697 in serial No.34 stated that this should be considered together with sl.No.34.

It may be considered alongwith sl.No.84 jointly.

82. **Route:- MANAKUNDA TO DUKURA VIA GANDHI CHHAK , BALASORE AND BACK, DINAKRUSHNA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01N0957.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Shri M.B.Rao.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

Advocate Mr. K.Mahammad has filed 2 objections.

1. Objector Smt. Kamalini Patra, owner of vehicle No.OR21J-2939 is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mahammad. He stated the distance given by the applicant is irrational.
2. Objector Manas Ranjan Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD01J-0022 is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mahammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Badasahi.

Application considered with Manakuda at 5.00hrs. and Balasore 16.50hrs..

83. **Route:- NEDAM TO CHAUMUKHI VIA MITRAPUR, REMUNA AND BACK, GOPANARAYNA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR11H0072.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Santanu Das.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri N.B.Das, owner of vehicle No.OD01AD-3883 is represented by Advocate Mr. N.Aush Kulraj. There is clash of time at Udala point.
2. Objector Manasi Nanda, owner of vehicle No.OD01H-2569 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Balasore point.

Application considered with Balasore departure 9.40hrs.

84. **Route:- BHEDEN TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOUDH , CHARICHHAK AND BACK, VIKASH CHANDRA MAHAPATRA , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15P4021.**

Applicant is absent. It is night service. There is no objection.

It is granted as per applied timing.

85. **Route:- GHAGADABEDA TO ANGUL & BACK, MANORANJAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD091337.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of OD09D-4566 which is now existing PP.

It is granted subject to verification of alter service of OD09D-4566.

86. **Route:- KASHIPUR TO BHANJANAGAR & BACK, MANAS RANJAN PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01U1717.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied to obtain TP to ply his vehicle as night service.

It is granted as alter service of OR02BV-0099.

87. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ASKA VIA BALUGAON , KHALIKOTE CHHAKA AND BACK, LAXMIPRIYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15G6100.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

It is granted with Aska at 14.50hrs. and from Bhubaneswar at 8.20hrs..

88. **Route:- BALIGUDA TO DARINGBADI VIA KIRIKUTI , SIMANBADI AND BACK, BAPINA KUMAR DAKUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR18B1034.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri N.K. Mantry. There is no objection.

Application considered with Baliguda in 2nd trip at 11.40hrs.

89. **Route:- BARBIL TO ROURKELA VIA ROURKELA TO JAMDIHI AND BACK, MAKSOOD ALAM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AA6250.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Panalal Jaiswal, owner of vehicle No.OD14J-6671 is represented by his son Shri Sivam Jaiswal. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.
2. Objector Shri Prasant Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OR14Q-5474 stated that at Rourkela point, there is clash of time..
3. Objector Shri Chiranjit Mohant, owner of vehicle No. OR09N-1787 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

Application granted as per applied timing.

90. **Route:- KODAPADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA JAJPUR ROAD , PANIKOILI AND BACK, PRAFULLA KUMAR SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BH5151.**

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by objector Shri N.C.Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD05Q-4757 through Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. The route Bhubaneswar to Anandpur via Cuttack, Chandikhol, Panikoili, J.K.Road comes under rationalization route.

Since the applied route of the applicant has not been notified, the application is rejected.

91. **Route:- GELAPUR TO KHURDA NEW BUSTAND VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) PATRAPADA AND BACK, MANORANJAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02T6494.**

Applicant is absent. The applied route is defective. Hence, it is rejected.

92. **Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO M RAMPUR VIA BAGHIAPADA , SAGADA AND BACK, ARATA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04A7451.**

Applicant is absent. This is night service.

There is an objection filed by Shri Harihar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD02D-9985 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.

This may be considered with Bhubaneswar dep 22.00hrs and Cuttack 20.30hrs.

93. **Route:- MEGHAJHOLI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA PURUSHOTTAMPUR , BARASARA AND BACK, JAGANNTH BHANJA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BG7406.**

Applicant is present. He stated that the route applied by him is day and night service.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Objector Shri Bulu Parida, owner of vehicle No.OR07J-9247 has stated that there is clash of time at Hinjilicut.
2. Objector Shri Lalatendu Parida, owner of vehicle No.OD07T-4666 has stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack

It is decided to grant TP on the revised time to depart Cuttaack at 7.24hrs. and Meghajholi at 20.50hrs.

94. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO KARLAMUNDA & BACK, SUJIT SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BD8351.**

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of OR02AS-5353. There is no objection.

Since, this is alter service of OR02AS-5353, TP is granted.

95. **Route:- DUBURI TO KANSAR VIA BIMALA, TELKOI AND BACK, PRASANT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD28B1204.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.219.

There is an objection filed by Babita Biswal, owner of vehicle No.OR14X-8000 through Advocate Mr. M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Barkote.

It is rejected as the route applied by the applicant is defective.

96. **Route:- SIRIGODA TO ANGUL VIA KHAMAR , SAMAL AND BACK, SUSANTA KUMAR MALLIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35F5965.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

There is an objection filed by Shri Srikant Biswal, owner of vehicle No.OR16B-5825 through Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Sirigoda.

This may be granted with Sirigoda dep 5.35hrs.

97. **Route:- BHAWANIPATANA TO BISSAMCUTTACK VIA BISWANATHPUR , LANGIGARH AND BACK, ARUN KUMAR RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08H0049.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied to obtain TP on the route Bhawanipatna to Bisam Cuttack via Biswanathpur, Lanjigada and back.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Shri S.K.Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD08Q-3405 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhawanipatna.
2. Advocate Shri A.K.Mohanty has also filed another two objections on behalf of owner Shri Prakash Kumar Das. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhawanipatna.

TP is granted with Bhawanipatna at 10.35 hrs. instead of 10.45hrs.

98. **Route:- BERHAMPUR TO ATTABIRA & BACK, AMIT KUMAR PASAYAT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15U5353.**

Applicant is absent. This is night service. This is alter service of serial No.106.

Objector Shri Jaykishan Dora, owner of vehicle No.OD15G-6464 and OD17H-6464 is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. The objector stated that there is clash of time from Burla to Berhampur which is common corridor covering 260kms.

Applicant is granted TP in modified time to depart Berhampur at 19.40hrs.

99. **Route:-BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARANGA AND BACK, JYOTIKANTA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BG6355.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

This may be considered together with applicant of serial No.101.

Objector Shri A.K.Samantray, owner of vehicle No.OD05Z-5727 stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

Application is rejected as this comes under rationalized route touching Dhenkanal.

100. **Route:- BINIKA TO BARGARH, BINIKA TO AMBAGAON ANDBACK, VIA SARASMAL, SANJIB KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD03B7275.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. It is granted as applied.

101. **Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KEONJHAR VIA DHENAKANAL AND BACK, JYOTIKANTA DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AW7209.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.99. The observation given in sl.No.99 may be followed.

102. **Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KORAPUT VIA BHAWANIPATANA , JUNAGARH AND BACK, SOUMYA RANJAN PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BU0094.**

Applicant is absent. This is night service. There is no objection. It is granted in applied timings.

103. Route:- DEOGAON TO BERHAMPUR VIA MANGALPUR , BALIPADAR AND BACK,SURYA NARAYAN PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR07Y4577.

Applicant is represented by the Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.

Objector Sri Sadasiba Dash, owner of vehicle No.OR07AA-4577 stated that there is clash of time at Ankushpur.

It is granted as applied.

104. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO KALTA & BACK, CHATURBHUJA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AF8730.

Applicant is present. He stated that he has applied to obtain TP to ply his service as alter service of OR11G-8098 which is same time.

TP is granted if it is alter service of OR11G-8098 which is same time.

105. Route:- CUTTACK TO LANJIGARH & BACK, MAHIMA SAGAR BEHERA,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AG7499.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.112.

There is no objection. Applicant granted with Cuttack dep at 16.30hrs.

106. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO ATTABIRA VIA BOUDH , RAIKAKHOL AND BACK, S RINA PRUSHTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AF6928.

This is alter service of sl No.98. The observations given at sl.No.98 may be followed here.

107. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHANJANAGAR VIA ITAMATI , SHARANKULA AND BACK, MANORANJAN PATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AK0030.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Objector Shri N.K.Amarka, owner of vehicle No.OD02AJ-2111 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

The applicant has uploaded wrong route and time information by not putting Nayagarh to misguide the authority. Hence it is rejected.

108. **Route:- NARSINGH PUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DARSHANPUR , ATHAGARH AND BACK, RAJLAXMI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04P4856.**

Applicant is present.

Objector Shri Bichitra Ranjan Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD05AN-4249 stated that the route has already been allotted to his vehicle.

This may be rejected as the slot is not vacant.

109. **Route:- NAKSARA TO TATA MINES VIA AKUL, SIRIGODA AND BACK, KAJAL BALA RATH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09X7555.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of serial No.113.

There are two objections in serial No.113.

1. Objector Shri R.N.Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD11G-2615 stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar point
2. Objector Shri Satrughana Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11S-3738 stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar point.

Defective route chart. Hence rejected.

110. **Route:- UADAYPUR TO CHANDANESWAR AND BACK, SUJIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BZ7857.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri D.B.Das. He stated that the applicant had earlier applied for TP from Baripada to Bhubaneswar in which his application is pending. Again the applicant had applied for TP to ply his vehicle from Balasore to Bhubaneswar which had not yet been considered and TP was granted to another vehicle belongs to OSRTC. Applicant further stated that the vehicle of the much better than the objector's vehicles No. OR04J-4546. The vehicle of the objector is plying as school bus which may be verified.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri P.C.Jena, owner of vehicle OR04J-4546 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated the objector has been granted with permit on the route Balasore to Bhubaneswar and has been allotted to depart Bhubaneswar at 2.55 in slot No.17B in up and to depart Balasore at 14.08hrs.

2. Objector Pranati Samal, owner of vehicle No.OR22D-2977 and OR22R-1967 filed objections stating that there is clash of time at Bhadrak and Balasore.
3. Objector Shri Arjun Kumar Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD01U-1212 stated that there is clash of time at Chandikhole and Cuttack.

As the slot is not vacant, the application is rejected.

- 111. Route:- PANIKOILI TO BAGEDIA VIA BHUBAN , KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, PRAKASH KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD35A4155.**
Applicant is absent.

Objector Renubala Parida, owner of vehicle No.OR05AK-7015 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that the objector's vehicle clash at Panikoili.

The application is considered with dep time Panikoili at 4.30hrs in down trip Talcher 15.00hrs.

- 112. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO LANJIGARH VIA DASAPALLA AND BACK, MAHIMA SAGAR BEHERA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AG7599.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.105. The observation given in sl.No.105 may be followed.

- 113. Route:- NAKSARA TO TATA MINES VIA AKUL , SIRIGODA AND BACK, DILLIP KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09X4566.**

This is alter service of serial No.109. The observations given in serial No.109 may be followed.

- 114. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO DASHAPALLA VIA KHURDA NEW BUSTAND ,RAJ SUNAKHALA AND BACK, SALMA SULTANA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02H8853.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri B.N. Chhualsingh, owner of vehicle No.OD05E-1055 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that the applied route of the applicant is coming under rationalized route corridor which is now under process and not implemented and clashes at Bhubaneswar.

2. Objector Manjubala Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD25-8299 is represented by her son Shri Indra Kumar Prusty. He stated that the applied route of the applicant is coming under rationalized route corridor which is now under process and not implemented and clashes at Bhubaneswar.

Rejected as Bhubaneswar to Nayagarh comes under proposed rationalized route.


115. Route:- DHENKANAL TO KEONJHAR VIA THERMAL, TALCHER AND BACK, SANTOSH KU SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR19L4097.

Applicant is present. Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Sri Sushil Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR19M-4777 has stated that the timing given by the applicant is clashing at Talcher point and it will continue up to Pallahara.
2. Objector Shri Rajat Ranjan Das, owner of vehicle No.OR19M-5396 stated that there is clash of time at Talcher.
3. Objector Priya Das, owner of vehicle No.OD11T-0139 stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.
4. Objector Sagar Samal, owner of vehicle No.OD19K-2296 stated that there is clash of time at Talcher point.
5. Objector Sandhyarani Satapathy, owner of vehicle No.OR19M-6389 stated that there is clash of time at Talcher.

It covers part of rationalized route Cuttack to Angul, via-Dhenkanal. Hence rejected.


Transport Commissioner, Odisha.


17.12.2022