

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 25<sup>TH</sup> AUGUST, 2022 THROUGH VIRTUAL MODE IN THE MINI CONFERENCE HALL OF TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER-CUM-CHAIRMAN, STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

1. Shri Arun Bothra, I.P.S. ... .. Chairman.  
Transport Commissioner-Cum-Chairman,  
STA, Odisha, Cuttack.
2. Shri Brajabandhu Bhol, OAS(SS),... .. Member.  
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.
3. Mrs.Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(I).. ... Member.  
Joint Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.

At the outset the Chairman, STA welcomed all the participants to the virtual meeting.

- 141. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ANGUL AND BACK AND BHUBANESWAR TO ATHAGARH VIA MAHIDHARAPUR , RASOL POSTOFFICE AND BACK, HIMANSU KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AC 0991.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Objector Shri Bichitra Ranjan Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD05AQ-4249 stated that there is clash of time at Angul. The service of the objector is departing Angul at 12.40hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Angul at 12.20hrs.. Hence he stated that applicant may be given time at 12.00hrs. to leave Angul.

It is decided to allot departure time from Angul to the applicant's service at 12.00 noon.

- 142. Route :- TENDRA TO ROURKELA VIA BANEI , RAJAMUNDA AND BACK, VISHAL PRASAD JAISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16C 0555.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in his existing route. The OPMS has not taken the existing time to leave Tendra at 6.45hrs. Further the Advocate appearing for the applicant stated that he has applied in slot No.94.

It is decided that since the route has not been notified, the application should not be considered. Hence, it is rejected.



- 143. Route :- KAMALADIHA TO PURI VIA KALAPATHARA , KHURDHA AND BACK, PREMJI LALA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AH7299.**

Applicant is present.

Objector Shri C.R.Moharana, owner of vehicle No.OD05M-7505 is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Zilinda at 4.30hrs. He stated that the applicant may be given time to leave Kamaladiha at 4.42hrs.

There is an online objection received from Sanjeeta Beura, owner of vehicle No.OD19A-6908. The objector stated that at Kalapathar there is clash of time. The service of the objector is departing Kalapathar at 7.10hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 7.07 from Kalapathar.

It is decided to grant TP provisionally subject to modification of departure time from Kamaladiha at 4.42hrs.. Accordingly, the time shall be modified in other stoppages.

- 144. Route :- HATIMUNDA TO DARINGBADI VIA GADAPUR , SIKRAMA AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07G 7611.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao. He stated that the applicant has applied to ply his vehicle on the route Hatimunda to Daringibadi, Daringibadi to Berhampur and Berhampur to Hatimunda and halt.

There is no objection.

TP is granted provisionally subject to modification of departure time from Berhampur at 17.35hrs. in last down trip.

- 145. Route :- PURI TO ADAKATA VIA JATANI , KHURDHA AND BACK OWNER, PREMJI LALA, OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AV 2199.**

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

TP is granted provisionally subject to modification of starting time before rationalized time from Puri at 3.50hrs.

- 146. Route :- KALIMELA TO CUTTACK VIA JEYPORE & KORAPUT AND BACK, GEETANJALI TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BJ 9546.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant wants to withdraw the applicant as the vehicle has been granted TP on the meeting held on 24.8.2022.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 147. Route :- ATHAMALIK TO CUTTACK AND BACK, SIMANCHAL ROUSTRAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33T1212.**

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

TP is granted provisionally with modification of departure time at Athamalik at 4.10hrs. Accordingly, timings shall be modified in all other stoppages.

- 148. Route :- KALIMELA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA NABARANGPUR , MOTER AND BACK, GEETANJALI TRIPATHY OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BJ 9576.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that applicant wants to withdraw his application.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 149. Route :- BHUBANESWAR TO KUMARI VIA KHANDAPADA & DASPALLA & KORAPUT AND BACK, SIMANCHAL ROUSTRAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BX 8789.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Talak Parbin, owner of vehicle No.OD02AK-6199 is represented by Advocate Shri Santanu Das. He stated that in down trip, Charichhak to Bhubaneswar is common corridor. The service of the objector is departing Charichhak at 15.07hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at 14.45 which is 22 minutes ahead of the service of this objector The entire route is clashing. He further stated that in sequence No.13, the stoppage from Charichhak to Dasapalla is a distance of 70kms. But no stoppages have been given by the applicant.
2. Shri Kanhu Charan Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR05AC-6355 and Shri S.R. Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR02AK-5511 stated that at Gania, there is clash of time. The departure time of vehicle No.OR05AC-6355 from Gania is 7.50hrs. whereas the applicant has given to leave Gania at 7.50hrs. which is exact time of this objector. Similarly, the departure time from Gania of vehicle

No.OR02AK-5511 is 7.47hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 7.50hrs. which is just 3 minutes after the service of this objector. They stated that total up trip from Bhubaneswar to Charichhak the time is clashing. Besides, the applicant has proposed to depart Bhubaneswar at 4.30hrs. whereas the service of objector is departing at 4.45hrs.. Thought the proposed time of applicant is 15 minutes ahead of the service of this objector, but it overtakes the objector's vehicle at Khurda. Besides, the applicant has not given any stoppages in a distance of 68kms.

3. Shri A.K.Routray, owner of vehicle No.OD05H-3330 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point. The service of this objector is departing Bhubaneswar at 4.25hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 4.30hrs.

T.P. granted provisionally with Bhubaneswar dep 06.05hrs. Correct the timing accordingly.

**150. Route :- PODAGARH TO ROUTKELA VIA ARKILA AND BACK, SOMNATH NAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23D 8948.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the service of the applicant is express service.

Objector Sri Satyabrata Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD15-0422 is represented by Advocate Sri P.K.Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela in down trip.

Advocate appearing for the applicant stated that the service of the applicant is express service, but the objector's service is ordinary service.

T.P. granted provisionally with Rourkela departure 12.50.

**151. Route :- BARELGODA TO KOIDA AND BACK, RAM PRASAD HEMBRAM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09B 9854.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Advocate Mr. D.B.Das stated that he had filed objection in sl.No.35 which may be taken into consideration in this sl.No.151. He stated that Daitary to Keonjhar which is 56 kms. is coming under rationised route.



2. Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that he has given objection in sl.No.36 which may be considered in this serial No.151. There is clash of time at Keonjhar. The service of the objector is departing Keonjhar at 9.30hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave his service from Keonjhar at 9.27hrs. which is just 3 minutes ahead of the service of this objector.

This may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under any portion of rationalized route It may also be considered with sl.No.35.

- 152. Route :- GUHALADANGIRI TO RAIRANGPUR VIA ANLAJODI AND , HARI SHANKAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11A 0078.**

Applicant is present.

1. Shri Sabyasachi Mishra, Advocate appearing for the objector Shri Sitaram Agrawal, owner of vehicle No.OR11J-7421 stated that the vehicle of the applicant has been declared off road from 30.4.2022 continuously. There is no Insurance Certificate and no MV tax has been paid.

On the above ground, the application is rejected. The applicant is advised to apply before RTA, Mayurbhanj.

- 153. Route :- RAIGHAR TO KOTPAD VIA UMERKOTE & BORIGUMA AND BACK, BHABATOSH MANDAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24D 7414.**

Applicant is present.

There are multiple objectors objected the timings given by the applicant and stated that there is clash of time at Umarkote and Nabarangpur.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 154. Route :- ROURKELA TO IB THERMAL AND BACK, GYANA RANJAN HOTA , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15C 5566.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

Objector Ratan Sarangi, owner of vehicle No.OD15G-2584 stated that at Sundargarh point there is clash of time towards Brajarajnagar. The service of this objector is leaving Sundargarh at 8.11hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Sundargarh at 8.08hrs. which is only 3 minutes ahead of the service of this

objector. He also stated that the route of the applicant has not been notified which may be verified.

This is rejected. The route should be notified.

- 155. Route :- BADAGADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BUDHAMBHA, KHALIKOTE CHHAKA AND BACK, PRAMOD KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BE 4476.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

Objector Mr. B. Ashok Kumar Reddy, owner of vehicle No.OR07Q-7995 is represented by Advocate Mr. Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Sorada point. The objector's vehicle is departing Sorada at 6.00hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Sorada at 5.55hrs. which is just 5 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. He stated that applicant may be given time to leave Sorada at 5.45hrs. in up trip.

It is decided to grant TP provisionally in modified departure time from Sorada at 5.45hrs. All other timings shall be unchanged.

- 156. Route :- AMLABAHAL TO BANEI VIA SUDUNG , NIKTIMAL AND BACK, ANKAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15F 6300.**

Applicant is absent.

It is rejected.

- 157. Route :- ROURKELA TO IB THERMAL VIA JHARSUGUDA , BELPAHAR AND BACK, GYANA RANJAN HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16K 5566.**

Applicant has withdrawn his applicant. Rejected as withdrawn.

- 158. Route :- ROURKELA TO RAJGANGPUR AND BACK, GYANA RANJAN HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15C 5566.**

Rejected as it is repetitions of sl.No.154.

- 159. Route :- RAIGHAR TO KOTPAD VIA UMERKOTE & BORIGUMA AND BACK, BHABATOSH MANDAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24D 7414.**

Applicant is present.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;



1. N.Pussappa, owner of vehicle No.OD24G-3517. There is no specific objection given by this objector. He stated that there is clash of time at Papadahandi and Nabarangpur. The vehicle of the objector is departing Papadahandi at 7.50hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 7.45hrs. which is just 5 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. Similarly at Nabarangpur, the vehicle of the objector is leaving at 8.15hrs. whereas the applicant has given to leave at 8.15hrs. which is exact time of this objector.

T.P. granted provisionally with Umerkote 05.20hrs and Nabarangpur 16.20hrs in down trip.

**160. Route :- BALIGUDA TO TITILAGARH VIA M RAMPUR, JORADOBRA AND BACK, LOKANATH PADHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BK 7347.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. There is no objection.

It is granted provisionally in applied timings.

**161. Route :- KUHUDI TO KONARK VIA NIRAKARPUR , GADASAHIJANKIA AND BACK, SURYAJIT PATTANAIK ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CB 1481.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.

There is an objection given by (he has not submitted any written objection) Shri Sukant Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02B-1533. He stated that there is clash of time at Nirakarpur. The service of this objector is departing Nirakarpur at 8.04hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 8.00hrs. His vehicle is plying from Bhubaneswar to Satapada via Jankia and Nirakarput. Applicant should give objection in writing.

Applicant stated that the route alignment is different.

It is decided to grant TP provisionally to the service of the applicant by modifying departure time from Nirakarpur at 7.40hrs. Accordingly, other timings shall be modified.

**162. Route :- SAGAR TO SAMBALPUR VIA. BAMURA & SUNDARGARH & BACK, SABITA PUROHIT ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR16D 3755.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. The application is rejected as the applied route of the applicant has not been notified.

163. **Route :- NUAGAON TO SUNDARGARH VIA. BIRAMITRAPUR & ROORKELA & BACK, KAUSTUBHA BHUSAN HOTA OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16J 4364.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

It may be verified whether the route is coming under any rationalized route and whether it has been notified or not.

There are two online objections have been received from Jyosnamayee Sarangii, owner of vehicle No.OD16K-1976 through his husband Mr. Ratan Sarangi. He stated that there is direct clash of time at Sundargarah point. The service of the objector is departing Sundargarh at 16.36hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at 16.36hrs. which is exact time of the service of this objector.

The application is rejected as there is another vehicle is operating on the above rationalized slot.

164. **Route :- BODEN TO KESINGA VIA BALDIAMAL , JUNAGARH AND BACK, BISWA RANJAN ROUT, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08A 5369.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri J.N.Mohanty. He stated that the distance from Boden to Bhawanipatna is 114 kms. But due to OPMS problem, the distance has been wrongly shown lesser than its actual distance.

There is no objection. TP is granted provisionally in applied timings.

165. **Route :- CHIPILIMA TO PURI VIA. SAMBALPUR & CUTTACK & BACK, ANIL SINGH ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15 8954.**

Applicant has withdrawn his application. Rejected as withdrawn

166. **Route :- SANDHAKUDA TO CHANDIKHOLE VIA NILANCHAL BAZAR, DUHURIA AND BACK , MINAKETAN RAY ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD29F 9192.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slot No.15 and 47 from Paradeep and slot No.21 from Chandikhole.

On verification, the slots are not vacant. Hence the application is rejected.





167. Route :- SURIAGODA TO TURUMUNGA VIA BHALUBASA, CHHANUA STATION AND BACK, NIRAJ SINGH ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09P1589.

Applicant is absent. There is an objection filed by Shri Satrughana Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11F-3738. He stated that the applicant has earlier applied for the above route vide sl.No.136 which have already be heard on 24.8.22 and after hearing the matter, the same has been rejected.

Hence, the application is rejected and applicant advised to apply before RTA, Mayurbhanj.

168. Route :- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO NABARANGPUR VIA LAXMIPUR , KAKRIGUMMA AND BACK, RAVINDER KAUR GILL ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07L 1579.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

It is decided to TP shall be granted provisionally in applied timings.

169. Route :- JHARSUGUDA TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, DEBABRATA BHUYAN ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09P 3519.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that this is night service. There is no objection.

TP is provisionally granted in applied timings.

170. Route :- ANGUL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHAPUR , NARHUAPADA AND BACK, RASMITA DASH,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AM 6355.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. On verification, it is observed that at from Angul to Bhubaneswar there is clash of time at 10.50. One vehicle bearing No.OR05Z-1909 which has been replaced in place of vehicle No.OD05AC-0551 is leaving Angul at 10.50hrs. towards Bhubaneswar.

Hence, TP is provisionally granted subject to modification of departure time from Angul at 10.40hrs.

171. Route :- CHAMPUA TO SORO VIA CHHENAPADI CHHAK , AGARPADA AND BACK, TULASI MALLICK , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05N 1941.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty appearing for the objector Shri Khirod Pati, owner of vehicle No.OD11C-8188 stated that there is clash of time at Balasore

point.. The service of the objector is departing Balasore at 12.10hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 12.20hrs. which is just 10 minutes after the service of this objector but will reach reach Champua 2 minutes before the service of this objector. The objector further stated that the applicant has applied in the exact time of Sl.315 heard on 26.5.2022 which has been rejected.

2. Another objector Shri Firoj Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR09P-5501 is represented by Advocate Shri P.K.Behera. He stated that the objector has filed an objection in sl.No.181 which may be considered with this application. The objector stated that the applicant may be given time after the service of this objector.

It may be examined whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under any rationalised route of Keonjhar to Anandpur. Whether the route has been notified or not. If notified, this may be considered. Otherwise, this will be decided after notification of the route.

- 172. Route :- ROURKELA TO ARADI VIA. KHAMAR & DUBURI & BACK, CHINMAY KUMAR SAHOO ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15T 9496.**

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

The TP is provisionally granted in applied timings.

- 173. Route :- DASMANHPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA GUMUDA, ADAVA AND BACK, SABITA DASH ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AK 8108.**

Applicant is represented bhy Shri Girija Sankar Dash. He stated that this is alter of sl.No.74.

It is provisionally granted subject to modification of departure time from Bhubaneswar at 19.30.

- 174. Route :- PATALASINGI TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA BALUGAON, NACHUNI AND BACK, SURAT PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09 5969.**

Applicant is present.

Objector Mr. Sesadev Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD13M-9898 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that at Jokabandha, there

is clash of time. The objectors service is departing Jokabandha at 4.19hrs whereas the applicant has suggested to leave at 4.15hrs. At Puri, the service of this objector reaches at 9.38hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to reach Puri at 9.40hrs. which is irrational. Though applicant will depart Jokabandha at 4 minutes ahead of the service of this objector, but will arrive after 2 minutes of the arrival of the service of the objector. Hence, the objector stated that the applicant may be given 15 minutes gap after his service.

Applicant stated that he has got existing permit in respect of his old vehicle No.OR05AB-0488 which has been issued PP on the said route and timings. But after completion of 15 years, PP could not be given to his old vehicle. Hence, now the applicant has applied to obtain TP in the existing route and timings now given by him.

It is decided to grant PP in the existing timings of his old vehicle No.OR05AB-0488.

- 175. Route :- BARIPADA TO CHANDIKHOLE VIA TURUMUNGA , KEONJHAR AND BACK, DEBABRATA BHUYAN , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR09P 3519.**

The application is withdrawn as this is repetition of sl.No.169.

- 176. Route :- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KHAMBARIGAM VIA CHHATRAPUR , BERHAMPUR AND BACK, CHINTAMANI PRADHAN , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD10U 3867.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri P.K.Beheera.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sri L.D.Khatua, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-4629 is represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. He stated that the timing given by the applicant at Bhubaneswar and Balugaon is exact time. At Balugaon, the vehicle of the applicant will arrive at 15.13hrs. whereas the objectors service will arrive at 15.15hrs. which is only 2 minutes gap. Similarly, the departure time from Bhubaneswar at 12.30hrs. is exact time.
2. Objector B.Leela Nath, owner of vehicle No.OD07AJ-7098 stated that his service is departing Bhubaneswar at 12.35hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 12.30hrs. which is just 5 minutes ahead of the service of this objector.

Considering the above objection, it is decided that the applicant shall be provisionally granted TP to leave Bhubaneswar at 12.40hrs.

177. **Route :- JHARSUGUDA TO SUNDARGARH AND BACK , MINA YADAV, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23C 3403.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that he has applied in vacant slots i.e. slot No.5,42,72,116,140 from Jharsuguda and slot Nos.59,89,123,155, and 182 from Sundargarh.

This is provisionally granted as the applicant has applied in vacant slots in Rationalized route.

178. **Route :- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHADRAK VIA CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK , ASHOK KUMAR SWAIN ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04N 9585.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant wants to withdraw this application.

Rejected as withdrawn.

179. **Route :- BALICHANDRAPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA CHATIA , PALAMANPAP AND BACK, SAMBIT KU MOHANTY,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AG 6355.**

Applicant is absent.

An objection petition is received that this vehicle involved in MV Misc Case No.40/2022. Hence the application is not considered.

180. **Route :- BALASORE TO BHUBANESWAR (BAR AMUNDA) AND BACK, RAJESH KUMAR PERIWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01U 7887.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Mr. Chandrakanta Nayak. He stated that this is rationalized route.

It may be verified whether any other applicants have applied in this route. If so, this may be decided on merit. Otherwise TP may be granted to the applicant if he has applied in any vacant slots which have been notified.

181. **Route :- CHAMPUA TO SORO VIA CHHENAPADI CHHAK, AGARPADA AND BACK, TULASI MALLICK ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05N 1941.**

Applicant is present. He wants to withdraw his application as in sl.No.171, he has applied for the above route which is under consideration.

Objector Shri Firoj Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR09P-5501 is represented by Advocate Shri P.K.Behera. Objector stated that the he has filed an objection in this serial which may be considered with the application of the above applicant placed in sl.No.171 and the route is same. The objector stated that the applicant may be given time after the service of this objector.

This may be treated as withdrawn.

**182. Route :- BADASILINGA TO BHUBANESWAR, VIA-GANIA, KANTILO AND BACK, RAMCHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BV 1132.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied TP on the route Dholamara to Gania and back in respect of his above vehicle vide sl. No.20 which has been withdrawn on 24.8.2022. The present application may be considered.

It may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant has been published or not before consideration of grant of TP.

T.P. granted provisionally.

**183. Route :- BHADRAK TO BASUDEBPUR AND BACK AND BHADRAKH TO JAJPUR TOWN AND BACK, LAXMAN CHANDRA SAHU , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22A 9228.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. There is no objection.

This is a local permit except touching Jajpur only 09kms. Hence rejected and advised to apply before RTA, Bhadrak.

**184. Route :- BANEI TO LAIMURA AND BACK VIA SARSARA, KULKUTA MD NOORUL HAQUE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14D 1443.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied arrival time at Bonei at 13.25hrs. and depart at 13.29hrs. He stated that the departure time at Bonei may be modified at 13.35hrs. instead of 13.29hrs. Accordingly time may be modified.

The clash of time may be verified for modification. T.P. granted provisionally.



- 185. Route :- BALASORE TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, DIGAMBAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33R 4544.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots. There is no objection.

It may be verified whether any other applicants have applied in the said vacant route and slots. It will be considered jointly.

- 186. Route :- BOKODA TO JEYPORE VIA KODINGA , PAPADAHANDI AND BACK , ASHOK KUMAR MOHANTY ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24A 1818.**

Applicant is absent. Following vehicles owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Sri Naresh Kumar Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD24G-4994 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Nabarangpur and Jeypore. The service of the objector is departing Nabarangpur at 10.10hrs. At Jeypore, the service of this objector is departing at 12.45hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Jeypore at 12.45hrs. which is exact time of this objector.

There are two online objections given by the following owners;

- a) Shri S.K.Panda, owner of vehicle No.OR24A-2619 has filed two online objection in respect of his above vehicle stating that there is clash of time at Nabarangpur. He has repeated the objection twice. He stated that, his service is departing Nabarangpur at 14.40hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 14.21hrs.

TP is provisionally granted with Nabarangpur dep. 10.10hrs and Jeypore dep at 12.50hrs subject to revision of applied timings.

- 187. Route :- SAGJORE TO ROURKELA VIA KALUNGA, VEDVYAS AND BACK , AFTAB ARA KHATUN ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR16E 3050.**

Applicant is represented by his son Mr.Sahil Ahammad.

Rejected as the applicant's applied route is only 19 kms.

- 188. Route :- GOPALPUR TO JHARSUGUDA VIA HEMGIR , BELPAHAR AND BACK , RAJANI BARMA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23A 8363.**

Applicant is represented by her brother Mr. Bikash Barma. There are two online objections received from the following vehicle owners;



1. Sri K.R.Reddy, owner of vehicle No.OR15M-7891 stated that there is clash of time from Gopalpur to Burla in down trip and in up trip there is clash of time from Gandhi Chhak to Belpahad. This should be verified as the objector has not given his specific arrival or departure time from the above two points.
2. The owner of vehicle No.OD23H-5611 stated that there is clash of time from Gopalpur to Khurda. The time objection should be checked.

T.P. granted provisionally.

- 189. Route :- BAHALDA TO JALESWAR VIA BETNOTI , BAISINGA AND BACK, SIRISH CHANDRA NAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD26D 5716.**

Applicant is present. It is rejected as the route alignment given by the applicant is defective.

- 190. Route:-BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PURI AND BACK, ARUP KUMAR PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD13R 4500.**

Applicant is represented by the Advocate Mr. D.B.Das. He stated that on the last occasion, this particular item had come for consideration. At the time of hearing on 24.5.2022, two timings have been given beyond the rationalized timings. Now the applicant has applied in vacant slots.

Objector Anupama Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD02EA-9329 is represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. He stated that there is no vacant slots and the objector has applied for the said route vide sl. No.194 which may be heard together.

It should be heard jointly in sl.No.190 and sl.No.194.

- 191. Route:- IB THERMAL, TO GUNUPUR VIA. SAMBALPUR & BOLANGIR & BACK, ANIL SINGH ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AG 9388.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This is night service.

TP is granted provisionally in applied timings.

- 192. Route:- MUSAPALI TO ROURKELA VIA BIRIDA , DISCO CHOUK AND BACK, MAHAMMAD MAKSUD ALAM ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR16C 5145.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

TP is granted provisionally in applied timings.

193. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO LOISINGHA VIA RENGALI CAMP, BARGARH AND BACK , SATYENDRA KARNA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17Q 2546.

Applicant is absent. Application is rejected as the applicant's applied route is coming under rationalized route which has not been notified.

194. Route:- (BHUBANESWAR BARAMUNDA) TO PURI AND BACK, ANUPAMA PATTNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CA 9329.

It should be heard jointly in sl.No.190 and sl.No.194.

195. Route:-SOLBHAGA TO ROURKELA VIA SABALA, BIRIDA AND BACK, SAIRINDHRI PATEL ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR14U 9852.

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

TP is granted provisionally in applied timings.

196. Route:- GHAGRABEDA TO ANGUL VIA KANJIPANI , ORIYA AND BACK , DILLIP KUMAR BEHERA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09D 4566.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S.Mishra. He stated that the this is alter service of sl.No.197.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

- a) Objector Shri Subrat Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD02BE-4535 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that at Angul, there is clash of time. The service of this objector is departing Angul at 4.52hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Angul at 4.50hrs. The common portion is from Angul to Keonjhar.
- b) Objector Priya Das, owner of vehicle No.OD11T-0139 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that at Angul, the service of the objector is departing at 5.05hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Angul at 4.52hrs. He stated that the vehicle No.OD09 1337 (alter service of sl.No.196) have no Fitness Certificate and Insurance Certificate. This may be verified.
- c) Objector Sri A.K.Giri, owner of vehicle No.OD09U-8309 stated that there is clash of time at Champua. His service is departing Champua at 7.30hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Champua at 7.05hrs. The gap may be enhanced.



Applicant stated that the alignment given by the applicant is different. There is no vehicle. Applicant has agreed to leave Angul at 4.45hrs. instead of 4.52hrs. This may be verified.

Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty appearing for objectors in (a) and (b) stated that the applicant may be given time to leave Angul at 5.10hrs. instead of 4.52hrs. Accordingly time may be modified in other stoppages.

T.P. granted provisionally with Angul dep. 05.10hrs.

- 197. Route:- GHAGRABEDA TO ANGUL VIA KANJIPANI , ORIYA AND BACK, MANORANJAN MISHRA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09 1337.**

This is alter service of sl.No.196. On verification, it is found that the alter vehicle No.OD09-1337 placed at sl.No.197 has not got Insurance Certificate and Fitness Certificate since 2021. Rejected as unfit.

- 198. Route:- NARSINGH PUR TO CUTTACK VIA. BADAMBA & TIGIRIA & BACK, SHISHIR KUMAR NAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04J 6585.**

Applicant is represented by the Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. He stated that the applicant wants to withdraw this application as he intends to obtain TP which has been placed at serial No.205 for consideration.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 199. Route:- LANGIGARH TO CHANDRAPUR VIA DANGASORADA , HANUMANTAPUR AND BACK , LOKANATH BADA KUMAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR12B 4994.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Abhaya Kumar Behera. He stated that the applicant has applied in his existing timings.

TP is provisionally granted in applied timings as the applied timings are the existing timings of the applicant.

- 200. Route:- GOBINDAPUR TO MERAMUNDALI VIA JARPARHA, BADA KERA AND BACK , BHAGYADHAR SAHU ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19 6677.**

Applicant is represented by his son Sri Santosh Sahu.



It is granted as the applicant has applied in some portion of rationalized route. Besides, the applied route of the applicant is coming under one region.

**201. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO SARUABIL VIA.CUTTACK & KAMAKHYA-NAGAR & BACK, SATYANARAYAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AD 1988.**

Applicant is represented by the Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. There are 4 online objection received from the following objectors.

1. Objector Shri Muna Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR19P-4459 is represented by his son Shri Gunduchi Sahu. He stated that the objector is operating his service on the strength of RTA permit on the route Kamakhyanagar to Dhenkanal which is only 37 kms. There is clash of time at Kamakhyanagar.
2. Besides there are other 3 objectors have given their objection orally. They have not filed any written objection.

Applicant stated that the route alignment is different.

Rejected.

**202. Route:- CHIPILIMA TO PURI VIA. SAMBALPUR & CUTTACK & BACK, SANKET KUMAR MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33L 4477.**

Applicant is present. He stated that he has applied as alter service in his uncles vehicle No.OD15J-9300.

TP is provisionally granted as alter service of OD15J-9300.

**203. Route:- BHAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR, VIA- BALUGAON VIA TANGI , KHURDA NEW BUSTAND AND BACK, SURESH SAMANTASINGHAR OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AF 4849.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao. There is no objection. Advocate appearing for the applicant stated that the Chandpur stoppage may be added.

TP is provisionally granted subject to addition of stoppage at Chandpur.

**204. Route:- BALIGUDA TO BERHAMPUR VIA BAMUNIGAM , ADAVA AND BACK , PRAMILA MAHAPATRO ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07Q 0599.**

Applicant is represented by the Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Advocate M.B.Rao has filed two objection on behalf of Laxmi Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR07W-4805 and owner of vehicle No.OD07AC-7173. He stated

that there is clash of time at Berhampur point in respect of vehicle No.OD07AC-7173. This vehicle is departing Berhampur at 14.55hrs. whereas the applicant has suggested to depart Berhampur at 14.50hrs. which is just 5 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. The timing of vehicle No.OD07AC-7173 from Berhampur is 15.20hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Berhampur at 15.30hrs. which is just 10 minutes after the service of this objector.

2. The vehicle of Laxmi Jena i.e. No.OR07W-4805 is departing Berhampur at 14.30hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Berhampur at 14.50hrs. which is 20 minutes after the service of this objector.

Application is rejected as the route chart is defective.

**205. Route:- BERUANBADI TO BHUBANESWAR VIA KHURDA BY PASS, AND BACK, SUMIT KUMAR JENA OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02M 6006.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Smt. Sabitri Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD02A-9237 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point. The service of this objector is departing Bhubaneswar at 7.50hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 7.44hrs. which is just 6 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. He stated that applicant may be given time to depart Bhubaneswar at 7.39hrs. instead of 7.44hrs.
2. Mr. B.S.Singdeo, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH-7003 is represented by Advocate Mr. K.Mohammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point. The service of this objector is departing Bhubaneswar at 7.45hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Bhubaneswar at 7.44hrs. which is just 1 minute ahead of the service of this objector.
3. Sri Sarangdhar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-1214 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Balugaon point. The service of this objector is departing Balugaon at 11.10hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Balugaon at 10.59hrs. which is just 11 minutes ahead of the service of this objector .



T.P. is provisionally granted subject to modification of departure time of the service of the applicant from Bhubaneswar at 7.39hrs.

**206. Route:- INDUPUR TO SALEPUR AND BACK, BANKABIHARI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02AM 0323.**

Applicant is absent. He has applied in slot No.115 from Cuttack at 17.51. The timing to be verified in beyond slot from Krishnadaspur.

Rejected as it has partly applied rationalized route Cuttack-Kendrapara and not applied in slot.

**207. Route:- MV 79 TO KHARIAR, VIA. JEYPORE & MOTER & BACK ,ABHAYA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AA 5977.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Sri Ashok Kumar Ray, owner of vehicle No.OD10D-6336 stated that at Khariar, there is clash of time. His service is departing Khariar at 6.36hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Khariar at 6.30hrs. which is just 9 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. He stated that applicant may be given after his service.

Applicant stated that he may be given before the service of this objector at least 20 minutes gap.

Both applicant and objector are agreed they have no objection if the departure time at Khariar in respect of vehicle of the applicant shall be allotted at 6.00hrs. instead of 6.30hrs.

It is decided to grant TP provisionally subject to verification of departure time to the vehicle of the applicant at Khariar point i.e. at 6.00hrs.

**208. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BALASORE AND , SATYANARAYAN DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AL0966.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied in slot No.113 in up trip and slot No.243 in down trip.

It may be verified whether any other applicants have applied for obtaining TP in the above route. If so this may be heard together.

209. **Route:- CHATARA SASAN TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DUHURIA , BALICHANDRAPUR AND BACK, ABID KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05C 0041.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in slot No.82 from Kendrapara and slot No.118 from Cuttack.

It is rejected as the applicant has not applied in notified route.

210. **Route:- LAIMURA TO JHARAGUGUA VIA KUCHINDA, BUDHIKUNTI , KARANGAMAL AND BACK, MRS. TIKESWARI MAHAKUL , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15P 6631.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. There is no objection.

TP is granted provisionally in applied timings.

211. **Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO JILINDA VIA TIGIRIA , MANIABANDHA AND BACK, SUDHAKAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AE 6176.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots i.e. slot No.42 in up trip and slot No.127 in down trip.

It is rejected as the route has not been notified.

212. **Route:- JANHIKUDA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA PALURU JN, BALUGAON AND BACK, SUMIT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02AV 5140.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao. Following objector has filed objection as follows;

Objector Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No.OR02AY-4628 stated that at Bhubaneswar, there is clash of time. Her service is departing Bhubaneswar at 10.20hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Bhubaneswar at 10.17hrs. just 3 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. He stated that the applicant may be given time at 10.12 or 10.07hrs. to leave Bhubaneswar.

TP is provisionally granted on the applied timings.

- 213. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO TARAPUR AND BACK, RASHMI RANJAN PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AV 8248.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots 24,40,76 from Paradeep and slots No.24,52,68 from Cuttack. This is alter service of vehicle No.OR05Z-3279.

The application is rejected as it is surrendered vacancy and not notified. Applicant may apply for Permanent Permit instead of Temporary Permit.

- 214. Route:- CHIPILIMA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA GAUSALA AND BACK, MRUTYUNJAYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15R 1353.**

Applicant is present. He withdrawn his application as the TP as already been granted in sl.No.15 dt.24.8.2022.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 215. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BALASORE AND BACK, SUJIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BZ 7857.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri D.B.Das. He stated that the applicant has made multiple application i.e. sl.No.215 and sl.No.234. He wants to withdraw this application. He stated that the application made vide sl.No.234 shall be considered.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 216. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO KIRIBURU, VIA. PANIKOILI & JODA & BACK, SMITARANJAN NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CC 1230.**

Applicant is absent. Following objectors have given their objection stating that the applied route of the applicant is coming under rationalized route which has not been published. Hence they have stated that the application of the applicant may be rejected.

1. Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty appears on behalf of the objector Shri Pralay Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD05F-7406.
2. Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra, on behalf of the objector Shri M.R. Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD14-1979.

3. Advocate Shri Santanu Das appears on behalf of the objector Masud Allam, owner of vehicle No.OD09H-0941.

It is rejected as the route is coming under rationalized route and not published.

- 217. Route:- SADANGIPALLI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KHALIKOTE CHHAKA , BALUGAON AND BACK, NITIN NANDAN MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02CA 7186.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

TP is provisionally granted subject to modification of Bhubaneswar departure time at 11.30hrs.

- 218. Route:- KHUNTIGORA TO KUSANGA VIA BAUNSUNI , BOUDH AND BACK, SUDHIR KUMAR MAHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR03H 6465.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. There is no objection.

TP is provisionally granted on the applied timings.

- 219. Route:- SERANGA TO BATHILI VIA KULUSINGA , GUNUPUR AND BACK, BISHNU PRASAD CHOUDHURY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD18K 7617.**

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

TP is provisionally granted on the applied timings.

- 220. Route:- BERHAMPUR TO PHULABANI VIA GANGAPUR , BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, CHITRASEN PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AP-0699.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that the applicant has to depart Berhampur at 3.45hrs.

Objector Shri P.Anil Kumar, owner of vehicle INo.OR07Z-2088 stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur point. There are two vehicles i.e. vehicle No.OR07Z-2088 and OR12B-2088 are departing from Berhampur at 3.40hrs. and 3.25hrs. respectively. In return trip, there is clash of time at Phulbani. The above two vehicles of this objector are departing Phulbani at 10.20hrs. and 10.00hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Phulbani at 10.02hrs.

T.P. is provisionally granted subject to modification of departure time from Berhampur at 3.40hrs. and in return trip from Phulbani, the departure time is at 10.30hrs.

- 221. Route:- BANTA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA GANIA , KANTILLO AND BACK, ABHINASH PATTANAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BP 0133.**

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

TP is provisionally granted subject to modification of departure time from Bhubaneswar at 13.10hrs.

- 222. Route:- NARSINGH PUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA TIGIRIA , ATHAGARH AND BACK, KARUNAKAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AF 8142.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. There is no objection.

Application is rejected as the route has not been published.

- 223. Route:- UADAYPUR TO JATANI VIA BHADRAK, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, ANSHUMAN CHIRANJIB, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AQ 3527.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that applicant has applied in free zone i.e. departure time from Balasore at 22.28hrs. and from Cuttack in vacant slot No.113. It is day and night service.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Mr. M.B.Rao, Advocate appearing for the objector Shri Subhranshu Shekhar Pallai, owner of vehicle No.OD01D-9891stated that the applicant's applied route is coming under rationalized corridor. The vacant slots as mentioned by the applicant should be verified. He further stated that at Udayapur there is direct clash of time. The service of this objector is departing at 19.40hrs. whereas the applicant has suggested to depart Udayapur at 19.40hrs.

Advocate appearing for the Applicant stated that he will revise the timings.

2. Objector Shri D.B. Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD05P-3231 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Udayapur. The service of this objector is departing Udayapur at 19.50hrs





whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Udayapur at 19.40hrs. which is just 10 minutes ahead of the service of this objector.

Joint decision alongwith other application on Bhubaneswar-Balasore rationalised route in Category B.

- 224. Route:- SAMBALPUR TO BOLANGIR VIA BARGARH , BARPALI AND BACK, SK SAMSUDDIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17T 5242.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. There is no objection.

Rejected as it covers rationalized route from Sambalpur to Bargarh.

- 225. Route:- JHAJIA TO CUTTACK VIA. BADAMBA & ATHAGARH & BACK, SHISHIR KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04J 6585.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. Applicant is rejected. Applicant should apply for PP as this is his own slots.

- 226. Route:- SUNDARGARH TO GHATGAON, VIA. SUNDERGARH & PALLAHARA & BACK, SURENDRA KUMAR SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD23A 8119.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

Objector Shri R.K.Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD14A-4979 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the route applied by the applicant is coming under rationalized route from Sundargarh to Jharsuguda. Besides, he stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar. The service of this objector is departing Keonjhar at 7.30hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Keonjhar at 7.40hrs. just 10 minutes after the service of this objector. He stated that sufficient gap may be maintained. He further stated that in return trip, there are so many vehicles in the applied timings of the applicant. Jharsuguda time may be revised at 14.25hrs. instead of 13.56hrs.

Applicant has not applied in vacant slot of rationalized route Sundergarh-Rourkela-Jharsuguda. Hence rejected.



227. Route:- INDUPUR TO SALEPUR AND BACK, BANKABIHARI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02AM 0323.

Applicant is present. He stated that he has applied in slot No. 4 from Indupur and slot No.115 from Cuttack.

Rejected as it has partly applied rationalized route Cuttack-Kendrapara and not applied in slot.

228. Route:- MALDA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA CHANDIKHOLE , MANGULI AND BACK, MADHUSMITA JENA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BF 9670.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

It covers part of rationalization route from Bhandaripokhari to Bhubaneswar, but the applicant has cunningly not mentioned Akhuapada to avoid rejection. The application is rejected.

229. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAHALDA VIA ANANDAPUR , THAKURMUNDA AND BACK, BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAHALDA VIA ANANDAPUR , THAKURMUNDA AND BACK, SANATAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AN 7955.

Applicant is present.

Application is rejected as partly covers rationalized route Bhubaneswar-Cuttack-Jajpur-Anandapur-Keonjhar.

230. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAHALDA VIA ANANDAPUR , THAKURMUNDA AND BACK, SANATAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AN 7955.

Rejected as this is repetition of sl.No.229.

231. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARIPADA VIA BHADRAK , SORO AND BACK, RABINDRA NATH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11Z 6789.

Applicant is present. He stated that he has applied in slot No.217 in down trip from Baripada at 15.46hrs. and slot No.18 from Bhubaneswar.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Mr. K.Mahammad, Advocate stated that there are so many applicants have applied to obtain TP in the said route and their applications are found place at serial No.231,240,246 etc. They have applied in the said route.
2. Mr. H.P.Mohanty, Advocate appearing for the objector in serial No.240 i.e. Shri Ashok Kumar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD04N-9585 stated that the objector have no objection if the applicant shall be given in vacant slot time.

Joint decision alongwith other applications.

- 232. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BADAGADA VIA G. UDAYAGIRI , RAIKIA AND BACK, GANGADHAR SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR02BD 2199.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in day and night service. In up tdrrip the service is night service and in down trip.

On verification, it is found that in down trip, there are so many vehicles from Aska at 13.20hrs. But applicant stated that he has applied to depart Aska at 12.40. The applicant has made multiple applications in which the departure time from Aska is at 12.40hrs.

TP is granted provisionally in applied timings of this applicant which is found place at serial No.239.

- 233. Route:- BALSORE TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, NISHIKANTA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22M 7576.**

Applicant is absent. To be heard jointly if any other applicants have applied TP on the above route now applied by the applicant.

- 234. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, SUJIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BZ 7857.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Mr. D.B. Das. To be heard jointly if any other applicants have applied TP on the above route now applied by the applicant.

- 235. Route :- BHUBANESWAR TO KARANJIA VIA. CHANDIKHOLE & PANIKOILI & BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AS 2423.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera.



Application is rejected as the applied route of the applicant is coming under rationalized route and has not been notified.

- 236. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARIPADA AND BACK, MAHENDRA SENAPATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD04P 2552.**

Applicant is absent. To be verified jointly.

- 237. Route:- KANTABANJI TO MALKANGIRI VIA. BHAWANIPATNA & NAGARANGPUR & BACK, MANOJ SATPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD08S 7883.**

Applicant is absent. Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty had filed two objections on behalf of Shri Anil Kumar Tripathy, owner of vehicle No.OD10T-4174 and Shri B.B.Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD10J-4727. He stated that there is clash of time at Jeypore in respect of timings of vehicle No.OD10T-4174. The service of the objector is departing Jeypore at 16.30hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave Jeypore at 16.00hrs which is just 19 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. Secondly, there is clash of time at Jeypore in respect of vehicle No.OD10J-4727. The service of this objector is departing Jeypore at 8.06hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave Jeypore at 7.45hrs. which is just 21 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. Hence the Advocate appearing for the above two objectors stated that half-an-hour gap should be maintained.

TP is granted provisionally subject to 30 minutes gap at Jeypore in up and down trips.

- 238. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAHALDA VIA ANANDAPUR , THAKURMUNDA AND BACK, SANATAN SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05AN 7955.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that applicant wants to withdraw his application. The applicant has made multiple application vide serial No.229, 230 and 238 which have been rejected.

Rejected as withdrawn.

239. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BUDAGUDA VIA G. UDAYAGIRI ,  
RAIKIA AND BACK, GANGADHAR SUNDARAY ,OWNER OF VEHICLE  
NO. OR02BD 2199.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant wants to withdraw this application.

Rejected as withdrawn.

240. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHADRAK VIA CUTTACK  
(BADAMBADI) AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF  
VEHICLE NO. OD04N 9585.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. The applicant has made multiple applications which is placed at serial No.178.

There is only one application has been published in website and the same has been withdrawn vide sl.No.178.

Joint decision alongwith other applications.

241. Route:- RAISUAN TO RAIRANGPUR VIA. GHATAGAON & KARANJIA &  
BACK, BISWARANJAN SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05D 0735.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Baijayanti Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09-5705 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that at Rairangpur, there is clash of time. The service of the objector is departing Rairangpur at 13.00hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Rairangpur at 13.05hrs. which is just 5 minutes after the service of this objector. The clash of time is continuing up to Jashipur. Besides, the timings proposed by the applicant is irrational which may be verified. The objector stated that if the applicant shall be allowed to depart Rairangpur at 13.30hrs., she has no objection.
2. Advocate Mr. Sabyasachi Mishra, appearing for the objector Chirasmita Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR11J-4733 stated that the applicant has not shown any stoppages from Raisuan to Tamaka wich is 92 kms. distance. Besides, the objector stated that the distance given by the applicant from Harichandapur to Ghatagaon is only 5 kms. which is irrational.

The proposed route partly covers rationalised route Duburi-Harichandanpur-Ghatagaon to be decide.

- 242. Route:- JHARSUGUDA TO CHIKITI VIA. KANAKTORA & LAKHANPUR & BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR KAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15H 5655.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that the applicant has applied in slot No.117 from Jharsuguda side and slot No.180 from Sambalpur.

This is rejected as the applicant has not applied in any notified route. Applicant should apply for Permanent Permit.

- 243. Route:- BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) TO CHITRADA AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR04J 4546.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots No.17 from Bhubaneswar and slot No.168 from Balasore.

It may consider jointly if there are any other applicants have applied for the said route.

- 244. Route:- BHUBANESWAR TO KAMAKHYANAGAR VIA. CUTTACK & CHANDIKHOLE & BACK, NIRAJANA KHANDAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AW 0041.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

It may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under any rationalized route.

- 245. Route:- NABARANGPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA RAMANAGUDA , GUMUDA AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR PADHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD24J 1114.**

Applicant is present.

Objector Shri B.P. Choudhury, owner of vehicle No.OR18C-3339 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. The service of this objector is departing Berhampur at 6.15hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Berhampur at 6.15hrs. which is exact time of this objector. There is clash of time up to Gumuda which is 155kms. Hence the objector stated that the applicant may be given time after the service of this objector.

There are one online objection given by Kabita Tripathy, owner of vehicle No.OD02Z-0115. She stated that there is clash of time at Mohana point. Her service is departing Mohana at 16.35hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Mohana at 16.33hrs. which is just 2 minutes ahead of the service of this objector.

T.P. is provisionally granted subject to modification of departure time from Berhampur at 6.30hrs.

- 246. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, SURENDRA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD02BX 0057.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri D.B.Das.He stated that the applicant has applied in slot No.217 from Baripada and slot No.18 from Bhubaneswar.

Advocate Mr. K.Mohammad, appearing for the objector Mr. Baaz Mohammad, owner of vehicle No.OD16-8325 stated that the objector have no objection if the applicant may be given in any vacant slots.

It may be verified and taken up jointly if there are any other applicants have applied in the aforesaid route.

- 247. Route:- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO PURI AND BACK, MRS.SUSHAMA RANI BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR01K 8888.**

Applicant is present. He wants to withdraw his application.

Rejected as withdrawn.

- 248. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BALASORE AND BACK, SUSHAMA RANI BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AH 0088.**

Applicant is present. He stated that he has applied in slots time 10.31hrs. from Bhubaneswar in down trip and vacant time at 4.04hrs. from Balasore in category 'B'-3 which are vacant. Applicant requested that in beyond slot from Baliapal, he could not apply in OPMS. This should be considered together i.e. slots timings as well as beyond slot.

It could not be considered as the applicant has not given any timings in beyond slots from Baliapal. Applicant has withdrawn the application.

Rejected as withdrawn.

249. Route:- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BALASORE AND BACK, SUSHAMA RANI BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD01AH 0088.

Applicant is present. To be taken up jointly.

250. Route:- ZOHARA BEGUM, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD07AL 3489.

Applicant is absent. This is not a successful application. Hence, it is rejected.

251. Route:- ANGUL TO PHULABANI VIA ATTHAMALLIK, DHALPUR AND BACK, KRISHNA GOPAL MAHAKUD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR15R 7588.

Applicant is present.

Advocate Mr. J.N.Mohanty stated that the applicant has made duplicate application i.e. one at serial No.31 and in the present serial. Advocate Mr. Mohanty has filed two objections on behalf of two objectors which may please be seen at serial No.31.

It is rejected as the applicant has made duplicate applications.

252. Route:- RIZWAN RAZA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16K 6555.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

253. Route:- MD KAZIM KAMAL ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14Z 4966.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

254. Route:-BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CHHENDIPADA VIA KANTANALI , BANRAPAL AND BACK, AMIT KUMAR BEURAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD05BD 0068.

Applicant has withdrawn this application as his another application at Serial No.72 has been considered.

Rejected as withdrawn.

255. Route:- MANOJ KUMAR PANDA ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12E 5656.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

256. Route:- VIKASH GOPE ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14AA 0485

Rejected as the application is not successful.

257. Route:- SUNIL KU BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD19D 2115.

Rejected as the application is not successful.



258. Route:-NIRAJANA KHANDAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AW 0041.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

259. Route:- BARANGAKACHHAR TO TUDALAGA VIA EKMA , BARGAON AND BACK, NIRANJAN SINHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD16E 6231.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

260. Route:- MANOJ KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD12E 5656.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

261. Route:- BALASORE TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD33R 4544.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

262. Route:- BANEI TO LAIMURA VIA.SARSARA, KULKUTA AND BACK, MD NOORUL HAQUE, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD14D 1443.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

263. Route:- RANJIT KUMAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR21D 3738.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

264. Route:- CHIPILIMA TO BURLA VIA GAUSALA AND BACK, MRUTYUNJAYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD15R 1353.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

265. Route:- AJIT KUMAR SENAPATI , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11U 6017.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

266. Route:-CHATURBHUJA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AV 6230.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

267. Route:-NISHIKANTA PANDA , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD22M 7576.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

268. Route:-ABDUL HANNAN , OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD09C 4215.

Rejected as the application is not successful.

269. Route:-AJIT KUMAR SENAPATI ,OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11U 6017.

Rejected as the application is not successful.



270. **Route:- PATRAPUR TO MAHISANPUR VIA. ICHHAPUR AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR14Q 3474.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao.

There is an objection filed by Shri Dinesh Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OR07AA-2525. He stated that earlier he has applied for TP in the aforesaid route vide serial.No.445 wich has not been considered.

T.P. is granted subject to verification of clash free time.

271. **Route:- BERHAMPUR TO JAGADALPUR VIA. GARHPHULJHAR, BANSULA AND BACK, RUDRAMANI MEHER, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD17Q 2711.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. He stated that the route applied by the applicant is an enclave route at Chhatishgarh.

To be verified the timings from Berhampur to Phulbani. Since this is a night service, T.P. should be granted making it clash free time.

272. **Route:- BATHILI TO AGARAKHANDI VIA. VALLARHA, KASHINAGAR AND BACK, SARIPILLI SURESH, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR23D 7596.**

Applicant is represented by Advocate Mr. M.B.Rao. There is no objection.

T.P. is granted subject to verification of clash free time.

  
Transport Commissioner, Odisha.