

PROCEEDING OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 25.05.2022 ON VIRTUAL MODE AT S.T.A., ODISHA, CUTTACK FOR CONSIDERATION OF GRANT OF NEW TEMPORARY STAGE CARRIAGE PERMITS.

151. **ROUTE-** BARHAGADA TO PURIMUNCIPALTY BUS STAND VIA-KODALA, KHALLIKOTE AND BACK, NIRMLA BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BX3198.

Applicant is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad.

There is an objection filed by Shri Sameer Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD02BF-9329 through Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Barhagada and Aska. The common corridor is from Barhagada to Rameswar. Then the applicant has requested to enhance the time gap.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

152. **ROUTE-** DHENKANAL TO BARSUAN VIA-JHUMPURA, REMULI ANDBACK, NIROD KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05T3330.

Applicant is present. This is alter service of the sl.No.153.


Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Masud Alam, owner of vehicle No.OR09L-3041 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the objector is operating his service on the route Keonjhar Hence the objector has requested that the applicant's timing from Keonjhar may be revised and it may be given after the service of this objector.
2. Objector Rakesh Roshan Bhanjadeo, owner of vehicle No.OR09M-2629 & vehicle no. OD091629 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that his two vehicles will clash at Barbil and Keonjhar respectively.

This may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under any portion of any rationalized route which is under process. Otherwise the same may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

153. **ROUTE-** DHENKANAL TO BARSUAN VIA-JHUMPURA, REMULI AND BACK, SUBRAT KUMARMAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09D2966.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. This is alter service of sl.No.152. The objections and observations given in sl.No.152 may be dealt with.


10.6.

154. **ROUTE-** SUNDARGARH TO ROURKELA VIA-RAJGANGPUR AND BACK, VISHAL PRASADJAIWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD16C0555.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slot No.94 from Sundargarh and slot No.87 from Rourkela.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Udaya Kumar Jadab, owner of vehicle No.OD16A-7298 is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Sundargarh & Rajgangapur and he has not applied in notified vacant slots.
2. Shri Indarjit Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD16-3697 stated that he is operating his service on the route Rourkela to Rajgangapur, Kutra. He stated that clash of time at Rourkela.

It should be verify whether the applicant has applied in notified vacant slots in rationalized route. If not it should be rejected.

155. **ROUTE-** JOKA TO JALESWAR VIA-SANKHABHANGA, RANGAMATIA AND BACK, TILOTTAMA PATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11AA3695

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

156. **ROUTE-** BAUNSPAL TO BADAPOKHARI VIA-KEONJHAR, ANANDPUR AND BACK, TAPASI CHAKRABARTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR04L0885

Applicant is present. This is alter service of sl.No.157 i.e. vehicle No.OR05AH-8562.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Manoranjan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR09J-2069 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the M.V. Tax in respect vehicles of both the applicants i.e. (sl.No.156 and 157) has not been paid. The objector not stated anything on clash of time.
2. Objector Shri Ajay Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR01K-9777 is represented by Advocate Shri D.B.Das. He stated that there is clash the applicant time table appears to be irrational which should be corrected.
3. Objector Shri Biswa Ranjan Nayak, (not mentioned his vehicle number) stated that the vehicle of the both the applicants are tax defaulter.


10-6

4. Sri Narendra Mallick owner of the vehicle no. OR11H2135 stating that both the vehicles M.V. documents not in order.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time..

157. **ROUTE-** BAUNSPAL TO BADAPOKHARI VIA-KEONJHAR, ANANDPUR AND BACK, HAREKRUSHNA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AH8562

Since this is alter service of sl.No.156 i.e. vehicle No.OR04L-0885, the objections and observations given in sl.No.156 may be dealt with.

158. **ROUTE-** PAILIPARHA TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA JHAGADAI ,ASKA AND BACK, PRADYUMNA KUMAR BARAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11F0339.

Applicant is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Samir Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD02BF-9329 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Aska point.
2. Objector Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD32A-4535 is represented by her husband Sri Manoj Panda. He stated that he will send the objection by mail which has not been filed.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

- 159 **ROUTE-** PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO LANGIGARH VIA BALIGUDA, KOTAGADA AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BB6199.

The applicants of sl.No.169 and 160 are represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. This is alter service of sl.No.160. .

Following vehicle owners have given their objection as follows;

1. Shri P.K.Hota, Unit In-Charge, OSRTC stated that the applicants of sl.No.159 and 160 have mentioned the arrival and departure time at Bhubaneswar i.e. 22.08 and 22.32 in its up trip whereas the arrival and departure time of vehicle No.OD02AZ-7191 of OSRTC in its down trip is 22.07 and 22.35. The vehicle of the OSRTC is plying on the route Gangpur to Puri via Bhanjanagar, Nayagarh and Bhubaneswar.


10/6

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time after OSRTC service with sufficient gap.

2. Objector Mamata Ray, owner of vehicle No.OD02Z-7551 is represented by Advocate Mr. K.Mahammad. He stated that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Phulbani and Phulbani to Bhubaneswar. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar and Phulbani.
3. Objector Minati Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-3736 has given an online objection stating that there is clash of time in the down trip from Bhubaneswar to Puri. The applicant should be given time before starting of rationalized timing.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

160. **ROUTE-** PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TOLANGIGARH VIA BALIGUDA, KOTAGADA AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AJ8199.

Since this is alter service of sl.No.159, the objections and observations given in sl.No.159 may be dealt with.

161. **ROUTE-** KIRIBUR TO BHUBANESWAR VIA-KEONJHAR AND BACK, CHATURBHUJA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05Q9530.

Applicant is present. This may be considered with applicants of sl.No.210 and 274 as all have applied in same route.

The following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sri Lokanath Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR09N-7318 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that applicant can not apply for different timings for different routes in respect of self same bus sl. No. 210 and 274 may be verified which show the same bus with different time.
2. Objector Shri Padma Lochan Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD05Z-4099 is represented by his son Somya Ranjan Sahu. He stated that the route is rationalised route.
3. Objector Smt. Seeta Mishra, owner of vehicleNo.OD09F-6203 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that applicant can not apply for different timings for different routes in respect of self same bus sl. No. 210 and 274 may be verified which show the same bus with different time.


10-6.

This may be verified whether the applied route of the applicant is coming under any rationalised route. If so whether the applicant has applied in any vacant slots which have been notified or not. Otherwise, this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

162. **ROUTE-** BAGHADI GHAT TO PARADIP VIA-ERASAMA, JAPA AND BACK, KAILASH CHANDRANAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05U4414.

Applicant is absent. Sri Kishore Chandra Biswal owner of vehicle no. OR05T0745 state that the applicant has applied in same departure time of his vehicle from Cuttack. i.e. 14.25. This may be verified whether the applicant has applied in any rationalized route, otherwise, this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

163. **ROUTE-** KENDUBADI TO KODALA VIA-BUGUDA, POLASARA AND BACK, PADMANAVA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR15P 3500.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sabyasachi Mishra.

There is an online objection given by Shri Jogendra Pradhan, owner of vehicle No. OR05T-4320. He stated that "The owner of the vehicle no OR15P3500 applied the departure timing from Buguda to Polasara as 10.35 A.M which is 5 minutes before my vehicle departure timing OR05T4320.

Applicant sated that he may be given time after making it clash free.


This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

164. **ROUTE-** ROURKELA TO RAIKIA VIA-BURLA, BINIKA AND BACK, PRAMOD MUDULI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15G5256.

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Shri Indarjit Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD16-3697. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela.

It may be verified wither the route applied by the applicant is coming under any rationalized route or not., If so, whether he has applied in any vacant slots or not which have been notified. Otherwise, this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


10.6.

165. **ROUTE-** MEGHAJHOLI TO PURI VIA-BERHAMPUR, RAMESWAR AND BACK, DEEPAK PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AU 1804

Applicant is present.

Following vehicle owners have given their objection as follows:

1. Objector Shri Dinesh Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD07AE-2424 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur point.
2. Objector Shri Balaram Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD07AD-9639 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He has stated that there is clash of time at Digapahandi point. Seniority of the objector should be taken care of.

166. **ROUTE-** PHULABANI TO BOLANGIR VIA-KANTAMAL, GHANTAPADA AND BACK, SUKANTI SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AL 8477.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

167. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KHAIRA VIA CHARAMPA, RANITALAND BACK, SATYA RANJANMOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD22R 3585.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H. P. Mohanty. He stated that applicant has applied in vacant slots Cuttack to Bhadrakh.

Category C , D and E on the rationalized route Bhubaneswar, Cuttack, Bhadrak, Balasore & Baripada have not been notified for issue of fresh permits. Hence the application may be rejected.

168. **ROUTE-** KUNDURA TO UMARKOTE VIA-BORIGUMMA, NABARANGPUR AND BACK, ANEEL KUMARTRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD10T4174.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H. P. Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

169. **ROUTE-** PAILIPARHA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KANCHANA, BHEJIPUT AND BACK, SITA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07E2899

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;


10/6

1. Objector Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No.OR02AY-4628 is represented by her husband Manoj Kumar Panda. He stated that there is clash of time at Kodala and Balugaon points.
2. Objector Smt. Sarojini Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR05AA 5099 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Balipadar & Polasara.
3. Objector Sasmita Pattnaik, ownr of vehicle No.OD02M-5827 is represented by her husband Shri Manoj Patnaik. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar points

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

170. **ROUTE-** ROURKELA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA PARJANG , KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, DEBASISH MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR14V2222.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sri Romancha Ranjan Biswal, owner of vehicle No.OD28A-5526 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the timing applied proposed is irrational and inoperative. Dead in the night at 2.45 AM a bus cannot operate from Rourkela. The application should not be consideration.
 2. There is an online objection given by Shri Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD19D-3777. He stated that there is clash of time at Parjanga.
171. **ROUTE-** MARDAKOTA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA BALUGAON , NACHUNI AND BACK, CHITTARANJAN PAIKARAY,OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BK2131

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicleNo.OR02AY-4628 is represented by her husband Manoj Kumar Panda. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.
2. Objector Shri Jayant Kumar Mali, owner of vehicle No.OD33R-5049 stated that there is clash of time at Balugaon point. The service of this objector is departing Balugaon at 7.30 towards Bhubaneswar whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 7.29hrs.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

172. **ROUTE-** ANGUL TO BALASORE VIA JAJPUR ROAD, PANIKOILI AND BACK, MINATI SATAPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD01AE 4996.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots.

Category C , D and E on the rationalized route Bhubaneswar, Cuttack, Bhadrak, Balasore & Baripada have not been notified for issue of fresh permits. Hence the application may be rejected.

173. **ROUTE-** KALIAGADIA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA HATADIHI, BASANTIA AND BACK, DILJOE ALAM, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AP5077.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that after Bont, the alignment will be changed instead of Barikpur.

Whether the route applied by the applicant is covering any rationalized route which has been notified or not.

174. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KUNDEI VIA RAIKIA, BALIGUDA AND BACK, DEBABRATA PATNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AS5297.

Applicant is present. He stated that he was operating his service on this route. Now he has extended the route up to Cuttack. Alignment has been changed. This is alter service of sl.No.175.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as rationalized route or not.

175. **ROUTE-**CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KUNDEI VIA RAIKIA , BALIGUDA AND BACK, DEBABRATA PATNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AS5097.

Since this is alter service of sl.No.174, the observations given in sl.No.174 may be dealt with.

176. **ROUTE-** MOHANGIRI TO PHULBANI VIA KOTAGADA, BALIGUDA, BATAGUDA AND BACK, SAHOO DOLAGOBINDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD12C1679.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.C.Das.

There is an objection filed by objector Shri Rabindra Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR12A-1577 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time from Phulbani to Baliguda which is 77 kms.

 10 C

Besides the above objection, there are 4 online objections given by the following objectors.

1. Shri Sibasankar Panda, owner of vehicle No. OD12A5156 stated that there is clash of time at Baliguda.
2. Shri Rabindrda Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.(not mentioned) stated that "there is clash of time at Phulbani to Balliguda."

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

177. **ROUTE-** BERHAMPUR TO RAIGHAR VIA-TUMUDIBANDHA, M RAMPUR AND BACK, BASANTA KUMARBISOYI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR11H0999.

Applicant is represented by Advocate A.K. Behera. He stated that this is alter service of OD32C-1112. This is night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

178. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO PHULABANI VIA GANIA, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD33AB8434.

Applicant is present. He stated that Baghamari stoppage may be inserted.

There is an objection filed by Shri A.K.Routray, owner of vehicle No.OR11G-3535 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.

Manjubala Prusty, owner of vehicle no. OR258299 he stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar dep. 4.35.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as whether the applicant has applied in different alignment.

179. **ROUTE-** GUNUPUR TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA GOBINDAPUR,TANGI AND BACK, BALARAM SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD04G 8551.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

Handwritten signature and initials, possibly 'S.P.' and '10/10'.

180. **ROUTE-** KHICHING TO GUPTI VIA-DUBURI, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, NIRAJANA KHANDAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AW0041.

Sl.No.180 and 426 may be considered together as both are alter service of each other.

1. There is an objection filed by Shri M.R.Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD09Q-3663 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that sl.No.180 and 426 may be heard together which are alter service of each other.
2. Sanatan Aich, owner of vehicle No.OR04P-6516 has filed objection that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

Two rationalized route Karanjia to Chandikhole and Cuttack to Kendrapara via. Chandikhole.

It may be verified whether the applicant's applied route is covering any rationalized route or portion of the rationalized route which has been notified before consideration of issue of TP.

Sandeep Dwivedy owner of vehicle no. OD05AX0939 stated that there is clash of time at Tinimuhani and the applied time not in vacant slot.

181. **ROUTE-** ARADI TO BHUBANESWAR VIA-AKHUAPADA, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, BISWARANJAN SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05D0735.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

It may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under any rationalized route before consideration of TP to the applicant's vehicle. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

182. **ROUTE-** CHANDRAPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA PANIGANDA, ADAVA AND BACK, RADHAKANT SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AU1802.

Applicant is absent.

Following owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Smt. Laxmi Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR07Q-4805 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Mohana
2. Objector Shri S. Purna Chandra Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OR07U-1447 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time from


10/6

Gadapur to Berhampur. Service of the objector is departing Gadapur at 10.25hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 10.05hrs.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

183. **ROUTE-** JANHIKUDA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA PRATAP , BALUGAON AND BACK, SANJIB KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AJ8509.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B. Rao.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as whether it comes under any rationalized route.

184. **ROUTE-** ANGUL TO ROURKELA VIA-KHAMAR, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, SUSIL NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD35A1021.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sri Kusadev Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD14N-8811 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time from Rourkela to Khamar.
2. Objector Shri Anjan Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD14C-9817 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time from Khamar to Rourkela.
3. Objector Shri Saroj Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD28A-2266 is represented by Advocate Mr.K.Mahammad. He stated that there is clash of time from Rourkela up to Barkote.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as whether the applied route is coming under any rationalised route.

185. **ROUTE-** CHARAMPA TO PARADIP VIA- AUL, PATTAMUNDAI AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD04H7145.

Applicant is represented by Advocate H. P. Mohanty. But Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra is also filed 'Vakalatnama' for the applicant.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Kishore Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR22H-3772 is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad. He stated that the departure time from Chandabali & Bhadrak point.

 10/6

2. Objector Shri Gagan Bihari Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD22H-6223 have filed an objection stating that the route applied by the applicant is covering a portion of rationalised route Cuttack-Paradeep and Cuttack-Kendrapara.

It may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant is covering any rationalized route which has been notified or not.

186. **ROUTE-** PANDUPANI TO JAJPUR ROAD VIA PATNA, DHENKIKOTE AND BACK, ASHOK PUHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR04Q0133.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Smt. Sanju Maheswari, owner of vehicle No.OD04-2879 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that He stated that OD11W1878 the alter service of the applied vehicle is pending with Secretary, STA in M.V misc. case
2. Objector Sk. Daulat, owner of vehicle No.OD22P-8786 is represented by Advocate Mr. Santanu Das. He stated that there is clash of time at Harichandanpur.
3. Objector Shri Anadi Charan Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD11T-0142 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that OD11W1878 the alter service of the applied vehicle is pending with Secretary, STA in M.V misc. case

This may be verified.

187. **ROUTE-** BAURIGUDA TO GOBINDPUR VIA-NIKTIMAL, KULEIMURA AND BACK, RAJ KUMAR SATPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15G2056.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

188. **ROUTE-** GAHANGU TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA SHARANKULA , GODIPADA AND BACK, NABAKISHORE SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD25A8788

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.



189. **ROUTE-** BAHADA TO PURI VIA JANKIA, RAMESWAR AND BACK, SANGRAM KUMARSAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR21E5588.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

190. **ROUTE-** PITHAGOLA TO JAJPUR ROAD VIA-DHAKOTHA, ANANDAPUR ANDBACK, JAMUDA SUPPLIERS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD048157.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the vehicle is in the name of one firm.

There is no objection. It may be examined whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under any rationalized route.

191. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO BOLANGIR VIA NAYAGARH, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, PARTHA PRATIM MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR03G8188.

Applicant is present. This is night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

192. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ADAVA VIA-PURUSHOTTAMPUR, KATU AND BACK, SUBRAT KUMAR DHIR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD17X0481.

Name of the applicant is wrong. It may be examined. It should verify where the vehicle is stands in the name of the applicant as on the date of application.

There is no objection.

193. **ROUTE-** CHANDINIPAL TO UADAYPUR VIA-SORO, BALASORE AND BACK, HAIMABATI NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR01Q6185

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

Following vehicle owners have given their objection as follows;

1. Objector Suchismita Palai, owner of vehicle No.OD01R-4646 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Bideipur
2. Objector Shri Indrajit Singh, owner of vehicle No.OR02D-4207 is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Dhamara point.

 10x

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

194. **ROUTE-** RAJBAHAL TO AINTHAPALI VIA-TANGARPALI, MAHULPALI AND BACK, JITENDRA TANDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD23J1446.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. Whether the said route is covered under rationalized or not.

195. **ROUTE-** SINGHPUR TO BENISAGAR VIA-BHADRAK, ANANDAPUR AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR MAHARANA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD34Q5469

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Prafulla Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR22C-7879 is represented by Advocate Mr.K. Mohammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.
2. Objector SI Zahid Alam, owner of vehicle No.OD05A-9343 is represented by Advocate Mr. Santanu Das. He stated that there is clash of time at Singhpur towards Jajpur.
3. Objector Mr. Sandeep Dwibedi, owner of vehicle No.OD05W-5257 stated that there is clash of time at Singhpur.

It may be verified whether the applied route is coming under any rationalized route. Otherwise this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

196. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BARBIL VIA GHASIPURA, KEONJHAR AND BACK, NIROD KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09N8030.

Applicant is present. This is night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

197. **ROUTE-** GANDHINAGAR TO PURIMUNCIPALTY BUS STAND VIA-SUMANDALA, BALUGAON AND BACK, SITA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AJ 9255.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;



1. Objector Sasmita Sahu, owner of vehicle OD02AC-3132 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Polasara.
2. Objector Shri Susanta Kumar Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD05S-5477 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Puri.

Applicant is agreed to the suggestion given by the above objector.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

198. **ROUTE-** ANGUL TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA BHAPUR, NARHUAPADA AND BACK, SAHOO BINODINI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AE2304.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sabyasachi Mishra.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

199. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO JATANI VIA NANDANKANAN, JAYDEV VIHAR AND BACK, SANDHYA RANI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BX7556.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

200. **ROUTE-** POLASARA TO ASKA VIA-BUDHAMBHA, KABISURYANAGAR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMARGOUDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR07Q6364.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

201. **ROUTE-** BRAJARAJNAGAR TO TELIJORI VIA-SARGIPALI, UJALPUR AND BACK, JITENDRA TANDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR16C5625.

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

A handwritten signature, possibly 'B', is written above the number '104'.

202. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GANGAPUR VIA- KANCHANA, KODALA AND BACK, SAUDAMINI PATASAHANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR13E 7946

Applicant is represented by her husband Mr Juba Patsahani.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Objector Smt. Sabitri Pattnaik owner of vehicle No.OD02A-7237 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of timing at Bhubaneswar to Balugaon..
2. Objector Shri Raghunath Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD02R-5810 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B. Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar 15 minutes ahead of objector vehicle..
3. Objector Shri Brahmananda Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR02Z-6409 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Polasara.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

203. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KAMAKHYANAGAR VIA-MADHUBAN, GOBARGHATI AND BACK, PRAVAT KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AU1805.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

204. **ROUTE-** HINGILIKATU TO BHUBANESWAR VIA. BERHAMPUR, AND BACK, RAMESH CHANDRAPADHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR07U7611

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

There is an objection filed by Shri S.N. Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OR07U-7611. He stated that the time is shown from Bhubaneswar, but applied from Tinichhakkia, Dasapalla.

Applicant stated that he has applied in 3 routes. By keeping from Hingilikato to Bhubaneswar rest may be rejected.

Objector stated that he has no objection from Hingilikatu to Bhubaneswar.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.



205. **ROUTE-** DUBURI TO UDALA VIA-ANANDAPUR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AK0030.

Applicant is present.

There is an online objection given by Saraswati Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR05AN-9275. The objector has stated that there is clash of time at Thakurmunda as senior operator for interest may be protected.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

206. **ROUTE-** ANGUL TO BHADRAK VIA-BHUBAN, DUBURI AND BACK, SASMITA MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR15R9500.

Applicant is absent.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Aftab Alam, owner of vehicle No.OD22M-4894 is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.
2. Objector Shri Aswini Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR06J-7975 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhuban.
3. Shri Sushil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No. OD19D3777 has filed an online objection stating that there is clash of time at Kamakshyanagar. Objector Sanjukta Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-3599 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. He stated that he will file the written objection. But he has not yet filed.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as verification of M.V. documents of the vehicle of the applicant.

207. **ROUTE-** BIRMITRAPUR TO PARPOSH VIA-BAMURA, GHUNGUTI AND BACK, MUKESH SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR14R6435.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

There is no objection. It may be verified whether the route applied by the applicant is covering in any rationalized route. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as rationalization of route.


10/6.

208. **ROUTE-** MANDARADA TO PURI VIA. BALUGAON, RAMESWAR AND BACK, BALARAM PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07R2005

This is alter service of sl.No.209. Both the applicants i.e. sl.No.208 and 209 are represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that the applicant is no way responsible for wrong mentioning of his route although the timings are as per his applied route only. Hence the applicant should not be penalized for committing no mistake at all. Moreover, there is no bus from Mandarada to Puri.

This may verified and considered subject to clash free time.

209. **ROUTE-** MANDARADA TO SURANGI ANDBACK, SUSANTA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07U8577

Since this is alter service of sl.No.208, the observations given in sl.No.208 may be dealt with in this item.

210. **ROUTE-** KIRIBUR TO BHUBANESWAR VIA KEONJHAR AND BACK, CHATURBHUJA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05Q9530.

Applicant is present.

This may be considered along with sl. No. 161, 274 together. It may also be verified whether the route applied by the applicants is coming under any rationalized route.

211. **ROUTE-** PARADIP TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA TARAPUR , CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, RASHMI RANJAN PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD21C3431

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of vehicle No. OR05Z3279.

There is an objection given by Mr. P.K.Hota, Unit In-Charge, OSRTC. He stated that the route have already been granted PP to OSRTC vehicle No.OD07D-7701 pm the same timing i.e. from Paradeep at 3.40.

Advocate appearing for the applicant stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots i.e. 24,52,68 from Cuttack side and 24,40,70 from Paradeep side.

This may be verified whether the applicant has applied in any vacant slots which have been notified. If so this may be considered.


10/6

212. **ROUTE-** MUNDAMARAI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHABARADA, SASAN AND BACK, SUSANTA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD10H 7582

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Shri Pramod Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD02AA-1231 through Advocate Shri M.B. Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

2. There is another objection filed by Keshab Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD18F-6309. But he has not mentioned the specific objection. He has stated that due to his net problem, he could not attend the Video conference.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

213. **ROUTE-** BHANJANAGAR TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA RAJAPATANA , ITAMATI AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMARA PATA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD25C3354.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

There is an objection filed by Shri Pulin Bihar Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD25D-5556 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of timing exact time at Bhanjanagar.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

214. **ROUTE-** KALAPADA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA BARAPARHA, KENDUPATNA AND BACK, ASIT KUMAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AT9390

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. It may also be verified whether the applied route is coming under the rationalized route.

215. **ROUTE-** BALUGAON TO KADUA VIA CHANDAPUR, GOPALPUR AND BACK, KAILASH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD041814

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant had got one TP on the route. But he could not lift his PP due to Covid-19. Hence, he has against applied TP which may be granted.

 10/6

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. It may also be verified whether any objection from any body has been received during period of TP issued earlier.

216. **ROUTE-** KUMARI TO BURLA AND BACK, SUDHIRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15G2395

Applicant is represented by his son Shri Sunil Kumar Behera. He stated that the route is from Kumari to Burla not Harbhanga.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

217. **ROUTE-** KANTABANJI TO JEYPORE VIA JUNAGARH, MOTER AND BACK, JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08F6355

Applicant is represented by Advocate Mr. Santanu Das.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sunanda Dash, owner of vehicle No. OR08F-7017 has filed an objection stating that she was obtained the PP in the route from Kantabanji to Jeypore and back which was plying our bus alternatively since long. But now the PP could not be renewed due to death of her husband recently on 20.10.2021 who was the registered owner of the bus bearing Regn.No.OR08F-7611. The second alternative bus bearing regd. No.OR08F-7017 belongs to me and both vehicles were plying in the above said route. The reason of delay in renewal of the PP of the said route is due to death of my husband and also the said vehicles are now kept under repairing which is also lunder processing for renewal. Now the applicant has applied to obtain the TP on the said route with same time. Hence, the objector has requested that the TP may not be issued in favour of the present applicant.
2. The Unit In-Charge, OSRTC, Bhawanipatna stated that the timing applied by the applicant from Kantabanji to Jeypore, leaving Jeypore at 4.20hrs. But OSRTC bus No.OD08R-1952 is leaving Bhawanipatna to Jeypore at 4.30hrs. The timing applied by the applicant is just 10 minutes ahead of the OSRTC bus in the same direction up to Bhawanipatna. Hence the objector i.e. OSRTC has requested to change the timing of the applicant's vehicle 1 hour after the service of the OSRTC before issue of new TP against the vehicle of the applicant.

A handwritten signature in a circular scribble, with the initials '102' written below it.

Applicant stated that he may be given in clash free time.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

218. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO MOTABADI VIA ODAGAON, BAHADAJHOLA AND BACK, MANASI MANJARI MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BK0601

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Sri Pranit Kumar Das, son of Satyabrata Das, owner of vehicle No.OD02W-3999. He stated that there is clash of timing at Godipada.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time

219. **ROUTE-** NTPC TO SAMBALPUR VIA FCI CHHAK, NALCO COLONY AND BACK, BULU PRUSTI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15U2047

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.


There is an objection filed by Shri Subrat Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD19P-3777 and OD19F-3477 through Advocate Shri P.K.Behera. He stated that the objector is operating his first vehicle i.e. OD19P-3777 on the route Basantpur to Athmallick and back. His service is departing Angul at 8.35hrs. in the up trip and Athmallick at 11.32hrs.. But the applicant has applied TP on the route from NTPC to Sambalpur and back wherein the applicant has proposed to depart Angul at 8.28hrs. which is 7 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. Hence, the objector has requested that applicant may be given time after the service of this objector.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

220. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KOTPAD VIA G. UDAYAGIRI, RAIKIA AND BACK, MAHIMA SAGAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AG7499.

This is alter service of sl.No.221. The applicants are represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that this is night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.



221. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KOTPAD VIA G. UDAYAGIRI, RAIKIA AND BACK, MAHIMA SAGARBEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AG7599

Since this is alter service of sl.No.220, the observations given in sl.No.220 may be dealt with.

222. **ROUTE-** SINAPALI TO BOLANGIR VIA GANGASAGAR, PATNAGARH AND BACK, RITA SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD03X1514

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

223. **ROUTE-** JANHIKUDA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA PRATAP , BARAKUL AND BACK, SURESH SAMANTASINGHAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BK0765

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

224. **ROUTE-** SUNDARGARH TO CHANDABALI VIA JAJPUR ROAD, PANIKOILI ANDBACK, BRAHMANANDA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR22D5454

Applicant is absent. Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sri Sriram Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05H-3831 stated that there is clash of time in down trip from Panikoili to Jharsuguda..
2. Objector Shri Abhay Kumar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD22K-2287 stated that there is clash of time in down trip from Panikoili to Jharsuguda.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time

225. **ROUTE-** PARADIP TO BALASORE VIACHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, RASHMI RANJAN PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AV 8248.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra.

There is no objection. It may be verified whether the applicant has applied in any rationalized route before consideration of issued of TP. If it is not rationalized route, this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


108

226. **ROUTE-** POKHARIGOCHHA TO BOUDH VIAKUJAMENDI, BADALA AND BACK, SUDARSAN DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD23E1563

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

227. **ROUTE-** BERHAMPUR TO KOTPAD VIA TUMUDIBANDHA, KOTAGADA AND BACK, SUBALA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11Q2615

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

228. **ROUTE-** JHARSUGUDA TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, SANJIB GANGA DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD280090

This is alter service of sl.No.229 and night service. Applicant is present.

Applicant stated that he has applied on the route Jharsuguda to Bhubaneswar via Kolabira.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. It may also be verified whether the applied route of the applicants is coming under any rationalized or part of any rationalized route before consideration of TP.

229. **ROUTE-** JHARSUGUDA TO BHUBANESWARA AND BACK, SANJIB GANGA DEB, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD280091

Since, this is alter service of sl.No.228, the observations given in sl.No.228 may be dealt with.

230. **ROUTE-** SAGAR TO SAMBALPUR VIA GADIAJORE, SUNDARGARH AND BACK, SABITA PUROHIT, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR16D3755

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots No.80 from Sundargarh and slot No.122 from Sambalpur which are vacant and notified.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. It may also be verified whether the applied route of the applicants


10/5

is coming under any rationalized or part of any rationalized route before consideration of TP.

231. ROUTE- R UDAYAGIRI TO BERHAMPUR VIA LUHAGUDI, KHEMUNDIKHOLE AND BACK, JITENDRA KUMARSAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD202211

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows:

1. Objector Shri S.K.Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD07P-4489 stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur point.
2. Objector Sri Manoj Kumar Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OR12-4484 stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur to Digapahandi.
3. Objector DTM, OSRTC, Parlakhemundi stated that there is clash of time at Mohana.
4. Objector Kabita Tripathy, owner of vehicle No.OR07L-0599 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Mohan to Chandragiri.
5. Objector K. Ravana, owner of vehicle No.AP30T-9837 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there will be clash of time from Rayagada to Parlakhemundi

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

232. ROUTE- CHHATRANG TO BHUBANESWAR VIA DASHAPALLA AND BACK, BANSIDHARPATTAJOSHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR25B5956

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

233. ROUTE- TAMKA TO ANANANDPUR BRIDGE CHHAKA VIA-KESHDURAPAL, DEOGAON AND BACK, BIPIN BIHARI SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09E6651

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows:


10/6

1. Objector Sri Iswar Chandra Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OR09Q-1122 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B. Rao. Only the Advocate has filed 'Vakalatnama'. He has not mentioned any specific objection.
2. Objector Shri Artatrana Sethy, owner of vehicle No.OD09M-8637 stated that there is clash of time at Remuli.
6. Objector Vikrant Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD09-6601 stated that there is clash of time at Ghasipura..
7. Objector Sri Ranjan Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD09J-2405 stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.
8. Objector Padma Lochan Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD05Z-4099 stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar point.

Applicant stated that he may be given time after making it clash free.

It may be examined whether the route applied by the applicant is covering one RTA or more. If it is one RTA, then the applicant may apply for TP from concerned RTA. Otherwise, this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

234. **ROUTE-BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KALTA VIA HARICHANDANPUR, KEONJHAR AND BACK, SHARMILA JAGADEV, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR11G 8098.**

Applicant is present.


Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Ranjan Kumar Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OR05AT-0630 stated that there is clash of time at Koida point.
2. Objector Shri Chaturbhuja Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD05X-5730 stated that at Koida point there is clash of time. He has requested to protect interest of senior operators.

Besides, there is another online objections given by the following objector

3. Objector Shri D.Bhuyan, owner of vehicle No.OD02N-6075 has given an online objection stating that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

This may be verified and put up for orders.


10/6

235. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ANGUL VIA GOPINATHPUR, BADAMBA AND BACK, ANJALI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR09Q5846

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri D.B.Das. He stated that there is no service in the route applied by the applicant.

Sri Bichitra Ranjan Behera owner of vehicle no. OD05AQ4249 stated that there is clash of time at Angul point.


This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

236. **ROUTE-** NATAGAM TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA KODALA ,KHALLIKOTE AND BACK, ANUPAMA PATTNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BX9329 .

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD32B-3135 is represented by her husband Sri Manoj Kumar Panda. He stated that there is clash of time at Natagam, there is clash of time. The service of this objector is departing Natagam at 4.05hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 4.15hrs. which is just 10 minutes after the service of this objector. At Aska, the service of this objector is departing at 5.40hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Aska at 5.30hrs. Hence, the objector has stated that the applicant may be given time 45 minutes gap at Natagam after the service of this objector. The objector has also filed an online objection stating that "I am filing an objection against Bus regd No – OD02BX9329, Which is applied a permit from Natagam to Cuttack, Departure from Natagam is 04:15 A.M and departure from Aska is 05:30 A.M and arriving Bhubaneswar is 09:55 A.M. My Bus bearing regd No – OD32B3135 having permit No – PP99/170980/G from Natanga to Bhubaneswar. Departure Natagam 04:05 A.M and departure from Aska 05:40 A.M, But the applied new permit clashing the time from Aska about 170 K.M up to Bhubaneswar. During running of due competition it may cause life risk of both vehicle's passengers. So I request you as a Senior operator of this route to avoid time clashing and coemption he may be allow 04:45 A.M instead of 04:15 A.M departure from Natagam and from Aska After 05:40 A.M."
2. Objectors Shri Krushna Chandra Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD04B-4484 and Shri Pradyumna Kumar Baral, owner of OD-02BU-4198 are represented by


10/6

Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Kaniary & Gobara & Bhubaneswar. Senior operators interest be protected.

3. Objector Laxmipriya Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02AX-8433 stated that she is operating her service on the route Dulub to Bhubaneswar via Aska. Her service is departing Aska at 5.30hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Aska at 5.30hrs.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

237. **ROUTE-** KALAPATHARA TO ANGUL VIA GOPINATHPUR, SANKHAMARI AND BACK, KARUNAKAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR14T5059

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied in a new route.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

238. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KATINGIA VIA JAHADA, SINGHPURAND BACK, ANASUYA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BZ1199

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that this may be considered together with the applicants of sl.No.300 as alter service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

239. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KANIHAN VIA DHENKANAL BYPASS , KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, SRIKANTA KUMAR PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD35C7151

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Tamala Tripathy, owner of vehicle OD05V-0851 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.
2. Objector Sri Sailendra Kumar Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD04H-0045 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He has stated that there is clash of time at Talcher. Applicant stated that the route alignments are totally different. It may be verified.


10/6

3. Objector Shri Gunduchi Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR06J-5909 stated that at Kamakhaya Nagar, there is clash of time.

4. Objector Sri Sidhar Sankar Ray, owner of vehicle No.OD06G-3937 stated that at Talcher, there is clash of time at Talcher.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

240. **ROUTE-** JHARIGAM TO KODALA VIADIGAPAHANDI, CHIKITI AND BACK, S REENA PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07Z 3211.

This is alter service of sl.No.243 i.e. vehicle No.OD07Z-3299. Both the applicants are represented by Advocate Sri M.B. Rao. He stated that this is night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

241. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAGHIAPADA VIA KANTILO, GANIA AND BACK, BHARAT PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02L5225

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri Akhay Kumar Routray, owner of vehicle No.OD05A-3330 is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar point which applicant has suggested at 5.40.


Applicant stated that the route alignment is different. It may be verified whether the route alignment given by the applicant is different or not.

2. Sri Gourang Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OR02BC-8685 stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point..

3. Objector Kabita Tripathy, owner of vehicle No.OD05AH-3330 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point..

4. Objector Shri Niladri Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR02AP-2459 stated that he is operating his service on the route Cuttack to Dasapalla via Kalapathar and back . He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar

5. Objector Shri Basant Kumar Samal, owner of vehicleNo.OD02A-9432 stated that he is operating his service on the route Bhubaneswar to Dasapalla via Kalapathar, Kantilo and back.


10/6

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

242. **ROUTE-** HALDI TO PUKALI VIA NABARANGPUR, BORIGUMMAAND BACK, NAKA PUSAPA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD24G3517

Applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

243. **ROUTE-** KODALA TO JHARIGAM VIA DIGAPAHANDI, RAYAGADA ANDBACK, S REENA PRUSTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07Z 3299

This is alter service of sl.No.240. The observations given in sl.No.240 may be followed.

244. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHISMIGIRI VIA BALUGAON VIA DIGAPAHANDI AND BACK, SATYANARAYANPANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BX9199

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. This route may be treated as Bhubaneswar to Bhismagiri via Balugaon instead of Bhubaneswar to Balugaon via Bhismagiri.

Following objectors have filed their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Sankar Prasad Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OR22F-0777 stated that he is operating his service on the route Gopalpur to Kolkotta. There is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.
2. Objector Shri Nityananda Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OR22E-0777 stated that he is operating his service on the route Gopalpur to Kolkotta. There is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.


This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

245. **ROUTE-** PURI TO BERHAMPUR VIA UTTARA CHHAK, KALPANA ANDBACK, PUSPANJALI PALAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD10F 0408.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Smt. Anusuya Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02AD-8199.He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.



2. Objector Shri Bimal Sankar Singhdeo, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH-7003 and OD02W-7003 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.
3. Objector Shri Surendra Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02BT-7857 is represented by Advocate Shri D.B. Das. He stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur.
4. Objector Shri Subash Chandra Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD13Q-6276 stated that the applicant applied a permit for Puri to Berhampur. Applicant has suggested the departure timing from Puri at 5.30AM whereas the service of this objector is departing at same time to Cuttack having slot No.19 in the rationalised timings. Hence, he has requested that the TP application of the applicant may be cancelled.
5. Objector Shri Sumit Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD02W-4404 stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point. His service is departing Bhubaneswar at 7.24hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 7.24hrs. which is exact time.

Applicant stated that the alignment is different.

It may be verified whether the applicant has applied in rationalized route and in any vacant slots. If so, this may be considered.

246. **ROUTE-BUBANESWAR TO GAJALBADI AND BACK, SATYA NARAYAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BX 5599.**


The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. This is alter service of sl.No.373. He stated that this may be considered with sl.No.373.

There is an objection given by the objector Shri S.K. Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02E-5657. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

247. **ROUTE- PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO SUMANDI VIA BALUGAON, KESHPUR AND BACK, PRASHANT KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD13S 0085.**

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.


10/6

There is no objection. It may be verified whether the applicant has applied in any rationalized route and vacant slots which has been notified. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

248. **ROUTE-** PHULABANI TO BERHAMPUR VIA-MUJAGADA, BHANJANAGAR ANDBACK, MANOJ KUMAR PARIDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AU 4969.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B. Rao.

There is an objection filed by Johara Begum, owner of vehicle No.OD07M-5725 through her son Anis Bej. He stated that there is clash of time at Phulabani point.

249. **ROUTE-** SUMANDI TO BERHAMPUR AND BACK, DEEPAK PANIGRAHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07Y 1803.

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


250. **ROUTE-** TATA MINES TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA PANDUA , DHENKANAL AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AD-1988

Applicant is present.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Md. Mohiuddin Zillani, owner of vehicle No.OD06A-2382 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar & Cuttack.
2. Objector Smt. Swapnamayee Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OR19C-9339 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack to Dhenkanal.
3. There is an online objection given by Shri Gayadhar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD05AN-3499. He has stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar.

This may be verified whether the applicant has applied in any rationalized route and in vacant slots which have been notified. If so, this may be considered. Otherwise, the route is not coming under any rationalized route, then it may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


106

251. **ROUTE-** CHANDRAPUR TO BAMUNIGAM VIA SARAMULI, GADAPUR AND BACK, SHRITAM DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR09Q 6427.

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

252. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KOSAGUMUDA VIA KESINGA, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, SAGAR KUMAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD33T 5225.

This is alter service of OD02AU-5225.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

253. **ROUTE-** SERANGA TO PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND VIA-CHANDRAGIRI, LUHAGUDI AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AD 3235.

This is alter service of sl.No.254.

Both the applicants are represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

There is one online objection given by Minati Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-3736. She stated that the applicant's departure time from Bhubaneswar 05.33 comes under rationalization timing on the route Bhubaneswar to Puri and it clashes with her vehicle. The applicant may be granted in free time zone.

This may be verified whether the applicant has applied in any rationalized route and in vacant slots which have been notified. If so, this may be considered. Otherwise it may be rejected.


254. **ROUTE-** SERANGA TO PURI MUNICIPALTYBUS STAND VIA CHANDRAGIRI, LUHAGUDI AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE-OD02AD-3236.

Since this is alter service of sl.No.253, the observation given in sl.No.254 may be follows;

255. **ROUTE-** MALKANGIRI TOBHAWANIPATANA VIA-NABARANGPUR, PAPADAHANDIAND BACK, BANALATA BISWAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD10M 8007.

Applicant is present.


Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;


186

1. DTM, OSRTC, Malakanagiri stated that the OSRTC is operating vehicle No.OD30-4909 from Jeypore to Padia. In down trip, the service of the OSRTC is departing Jeypore at 12.45hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Jeypore at 12.40hrs. which is just 5 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. Hence, OSRTC requested that the time given by the applicant may be changed to 30 minutes after OSRTC.
2. Mr. Firoz Kumar Turuk, owner of vehicle No.OD10T-7077 is represented by Advocate Shri P.K. Nanda stated that applicant has already All India Tourist Permit vide No.566/G/2019 which is valid till 22.7.24. Besides there is clash of timing at Malkanagiri, Mathili, Govindapalli, Boipariguda, Jeypore.
3. Objector Shri Sangram Kesari Barik, owner of vehicle No.AP35U-7489 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty stated that the applicant has valid All India Tourist Permit which is not surrendered. Besides there is clash of timing from Jeypore to Malkangiri.
4. Objector Shri Sanjay Kumar Padhi, son of Late Shri Gupteswar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD10E-3616 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the objector is legal hire of Late Gupteswar Padhi, the permit holder. Since the transfer of Permit is pending, the legal hire son is filing this objection. He stated that there is clash of timing from Jeypore to Malkangiri.
5. Objector Prvanjan Acharya, owner of vehicle No.OD08F-0678 stated that the applicant has valid All India Tourist Permit which is not surrendered.
6. Objector Mrs. Sunanda Dash, owner of vehicle No.OD08A-2274 stated that the applicant has valid All India Tourist Permit which is not surrendered.

The applicant stated that the objection of Sangram Barik is not viable and his permit should be cancelled for operating a vehicle with regd. No. of Andhra Pradesh. She further stated that all the objections are not justified and hence permit may be granted in her favour. She again stated her vehicle has been converted from Contract Carriage to Stage Carriage in April-2022 and after conversion generally All India Tourist Permit stands cancelled. Hence the objections on this ground should not be accepted.

All India Tourist Permit matter may be verified before grant of permit and this should be considered with verifying clash free timing.

A handwritten signature in black ink, followed by the date '10/6' written below it.

256. **ROUTE-** JANHIKUDA TO GOPALPUR VIA-BAJRAKOT, PRAYAGI AND BACK, AMARESH PALAI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR07R1635

Applicant is absent.

Shri Sumit Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD02AV-5140 objected during virtual hearing stating that there is clash of timing at Palur junction but no petition filed.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

257. **ROUTE-** KHICHING TO GUPTI, SABITRI SINGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09R 2128.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.


The application of applicants of sl.No.180 and 426 may be considered together. The applicant has not given timing. He has applied Khiching to Gupti. This is alter service of sl.No.180. Part of the route coming under rationalized route which may be verified if the applied time is notified vacant slot or not, otherwise the application may be rejected.

258. **ROUTE-** BANPUR TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA HARIPUR, SORANA AND BACK, SASMITA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BX4077

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B. Rao. He stated that this is coming under 2 RTAs, Khurda and Nayagarh.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Pramod Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD02C-4776 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that in down trip there is clash of timing at Bhubaneswar. Senior operator should be given preference in timing.
2. Objector Sri Dillip Kumar Harichandan, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-2565 operating in RTA permit is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Tangi.
3. Shri Sarangadhar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD02AF-1687 and OD02AV-1777 is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. He stated that there is clash of timing at Balugaon.


10/6.

Applicant Sasmita Sahoo has requested to include stoppage at Chandpur which is wrongly missed.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

259. **ROUTE-** BALISANKARA TO CHANDAHANDI VIA GODBHAGA CAMP, ATTABIRA AND BACK, TARUN CHANDRA HOTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15U 7399

This is alter service of sl.No.260 which is also night service. Both the applicants are represented by Advocate Sri J.N. Mohanty.

There is one online objection filed by Sri Dolagobinda Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD15G-0195 without any validity.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

260. **ROUTE-** BALISANKARA TO CHANDAHANDI VIA GODBHAGA CAMP, ATTABIRA AND BACK, SANJIB NATH SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD01Q2888

Since this is alter service of sl.No.259, the observations given in sl.No.259 may be follows.

261. **ROUTE-** KHUNTA TO PURI AND BACK, ARATI MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05S1655

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Shri Chinmay Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD11K-6768 stated that there is clash of time at Khunta. There is clash of time at Khunta.
2. Objector Smt. Anjana Pattanayak, owner of vehicle No.OR11D-9403 stated that there is clash of time at Udala point. There is clash of time at Udala.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

262. **ROUTE-** ROURKELA TO TARKERA VIA RANIBANDH, KUTRA AND BACK, RAJENDRA MAHANANDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD16C2059.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Objector Sukhjindar Singh, ownr of vehicle No.OR16D-4334. He stated that there is clash of time Ranibandha stoppage.

 10/6

2. Indarjit Singh, owner of vehicle No.OR16B-8899 stated that at Ranibandha there is clash of time.
3. Shri Jogendra Singh, owner of vehicle No.OR16D-2277 stated that there is clash of time at Kutra.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as well as whether the applicant has applied in rationalized route and in vacant slots before consideration of grant of TP.

263. **ROUTE-** GUDARI TO RAYAGADA VIARAMANAGUDA, MUKUNDAPURAND BACK, EDIBILLI VAI KUNTARAO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD18E4689

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri J.N..Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

264. **ROUTE-** UDAYPUR TO BONAI VIA-KARANJIA, SAHARAPADA AND BACK, SUSHIL KUMAR BAGHELBABU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD097872

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

1. There is an objection filed by Bajjayanti Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD09-5705 through Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is direct clash of time at Keonjhar point. Interest of the objector should be protected.
2. Mrs Bisweswari Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD09F-7585 represented through advocate Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar. Senior operator should be given priority of time.

Applicant stated that he may be given in clash free time.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

265. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO JANHIKUDA VIA BALUGAON, KESHPUR AND BACK, SUMIT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AF2208

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

266. **ROUTE-** SUNDARGARH TO SAMBALPUR VIA JHARSUGUDA, RENGALI ANDBACK, SURENDRA KUMARSAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD23A8119

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri J.N.Mohanty.

 J.N.M.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. It may be verified whether the applied route is rationalized route or not. Slot No.34 and 112 from Sundergarh and Slot No.70 and 172 from Sambalpur. Further it should be verified whether slots are notified as vacant or not.

267. **ROUTE-** JAJPUR TOWN TO ANGUL VIA-BHUBAN, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, ASWINI KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD06L0065

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given their objections which are as follows;

1. Shri Sunil Kumar Behera. owner of vehicle No.OR19K-5577 has submitted an on line objection stating that There is clash of time at Parjang.
2. Laxmidhar Nath, owner of vehicle No.OD04K-4791 filed objection that there is clash of time at Angul.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

268. **ROUTE-** BARBIL TO ANGUL VIA PALALAHARHA, KHAMAR AND BACK, SUSHANTA KUMARPANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09E8888.

Applicant is present. He stated that this is night service.

There is an online objection filed by Shri Paresh Nath Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09-4417 stating that the time applied should be granted after his service and it should be as per norms of STA"

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


269. **ROUTE-** PHULKUSUM TO ANGUL VIANAKTIDEOL AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05BE 5411.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri P.K. Behera.

Following objectors have filed objection as follows;

DTM, OSRTC, Angul stated that there is clash of time at Naktideol towards Angul. The interest of the OSRTC should be protected and the applicant may be granted after their service.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

 106.

270. **ROUTE-** BALIGUDA TO RISIDA VIA NUAGAON, KHAMANPADA AND BACK, SANJEEB KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR03F6428

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri J.N. Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

271. **ROUTE-** PURI MUNICIPALTY BUS STAND TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA)VIA KALPANA, RASULGARH ANDBACK, SANDHYARANI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BZ1016.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied in vacant slots vide slot No.64 and 206 from Puri and 126 and 256 from Bhubaneswar.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;


1. Subhasri Panda, owner of vehicle No.OR02BC-6344 objected that she is operating in the same time on the route Puri to Bhubaneswar and the application should be rejected.
2. Shri Arup Kumar Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OR13B-0405 represented by Advocate Shri Santanu Das stated that there is clash of time at Puri and requested to grant permit after the service of this objector.
3. Sri Samir Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD02BF-9329 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that the slots 64, 206 from Puri and 126 and 256 from Bhubaneswar are not yet notified. Hence this application may be rejected.

It should be verified whether slots are vacant and duly notified or not, if not notified application may not be considered.

272. **ROUTE-** THAKURGARH TO ANGUL VIA NAKCHI, BOINDA AND BACK, BISWAPRATAP SETHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19E9418

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri J.N.Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


10/6.

273. **ROUTE-** ANGUL TO JHARSUGUDA VIA REAMAL, JAMANKIRA AND BACK, ROSY DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD23M5934

Applicant is present.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

274. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR TO KIRIBUR VIA CHANDIKHOLE KEONJHAR, CHATURBHUJA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05Q9530

Applicant is present.

There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. Sl. Nos.161, 210 and 274 are same application may be considered together.

275. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BOINDA VIA DASHAPALLA, MADHAPUR AND BACK, ASHUTOSH SARANGI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02E5857

Applicant is absent.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Shri Kanhu Charan Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR02AK-5511 stated that there is clash of time from Gania to Charichhak. He has requested to grant after his service.
2. Santa Ranjan Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR05AC-6355 has given an objection and stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar. The objector requested to grant 15 mins after his service.
3. Shri A.K. Routray, owner of vehicle No.OR11G-3535 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Dasapalla.
4. Shri Sumit Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH-8004.He stated that there is clash of time at Dasapalla.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

276. **ROUTE-**BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) GORUMAHISANI VIA BALASORE, BARIPADA, RAIRANGPUR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR MAHALA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11C 0993.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.


10/6.

Sri Sanatan Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD05AN-7955 filed objection and stated that there is clash of time at Karanjia, Thakurmunda, Cuttack. The route alignment applied is different. Hence objection is invalid.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time as it is a night service.

277. **ROUTE-** KUTUNI TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA RANAPUR, BRAJARAJPUR AND BACK, NABAKISHOR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AZ7857

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao. He stated that at sl.No.18, at Khurda the halting time given as 44 minutes may be revised and reduced to 2 minutes.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows;

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02AF-0701 and OD02AH-5101 stated that there is clash of time at Ranapur and Siko.
2. Sri Satyabrata Das, owner of vehicle No.OD02W-3999 is represented by his son Shri Pranit Kumar Das stated that there is clash of time from Godipada to Bhubaneswar.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

278. **ROUTE-** TENSA TO KEONJHAR VIA BARBIL, JODA AND BACK, BHOLANATH MAHANTA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR09Q4182

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra.

Following objectors have given objections as follows;


Bijayalaxmi Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD09L-2577 is represented by her son Abhisek Sahoo stated that there is clash of time at Koira and Barbil. It should be granted after her departure time.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

279. **ROUTE-** BAGEDIA TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA MAHIDHARAPUR, RASOL AND BACK, PABITRA MOHAN KHATUA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AR0908

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

 10/6

1. Sri Laxmidhar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD05A-7788 is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.
2. Shri Ashok Kumar Samantray, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-2253 stated that There is clash of time at Rasol.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

280. **ROUTE-** KOTAGADA TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA LANJIGARH, BISWANATHPURAND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08Q3405

Applicant is absent, there is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

281. **ROUTE-** BIRMITRAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA)VIA KHAMAR , PITIRI AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ8943

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that this is alter service of OD05AW-2939 and night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

282. **ROUTE-** CHEPILIPALI TO ROURKELA VIATUNIAPALI, NARENDRA AND BACK, BHISMA CHARAN SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR281972

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

There is an objection filed by Shri Saroj Kumar Dash, owner of vehicle No.OR02-0990 through Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad. He stated that there is clash of time at Barkote. The applicant may be granted with reasonable gap after objector.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

283. **ROUTE-** BANEI TO SAMBALPUR VIADEOGARH, TILEIBANI AND BACK, KSHIROD CHANDRAPRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19J1003

The applicant is absent.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

284. **ROUTE-** DAITARY TO SINGHPUR VIA-JAJPUR ROAD, PANIKOILI ANDBACK, ASIKUR RAHEMAN KHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR03J2828

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

 10/6.

There is no objection. It may be considered whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under one RTA or more before consideration of TP. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

285. **ROUTE-** BADAGAON TO KANSAR VIA KALLA, BARKOTE AND BACK, PRATAP KUMAR PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR23E1356

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

Since the route applied by the applicant is coming under one region i.e. Deogarh, the application may be sent to RTA, Deogarh for consideration. Otherwise if the route is covering more than one region, this may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

There is no objection.

286. **ROUTE-** DHINKIA TO CUTTACK(BADAMBADI) VIA KUJANGA, RAHAMA AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AG7317

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

Following vehicle owners have given objections as follows;


1. Shri Gourahari Das, owner of vehicle No.OR05AA-0778 stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack.
2. Promodini Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD13D-7399 stated that the route may be notified.
3. Sarat Chandra Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-7317 stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack and the applicant has applied exact departure time which should not be considered.

It may be verified whether the applied route of the applicant is coming under any rationalised route and applicant has applied in any vacant slots which have been notified or not. If not notified the application may not be considered.

287. **ROUTE-** BODEN TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SONEPUR , BOUDH AND BACK, SANJAY KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AG2899

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. This is alter of OD26C-6377 and also night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.


10.6.

288. **ROUTE-** JAGANNATHPRASAD TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BHAPUR, FATEGARH AND BACK, CHITTA RANJAN MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD25F 0415.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri K.C.Das.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Shri Pravakar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD05BC-5078 through Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.
2. Shri Pradip Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR02BE-7482 stated that there is clash of time at Kalapathar and Bhubaneswar.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

289. **ROUTE-** CHAMPUA TO ROURKELA VIA PALA LAHARHA, BARKOTE AND BACK, SARAT CHANDRA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02BE0043

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.


Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Sri Satrughna Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD11S-3738 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B. Rao stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar towards Rourkela. He has suggested for exchange of dep time at Keonjhar.
2. Md. Israr Ali, owner of vehicle No.OD09B-6817 is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera stated that there is clash of time at Jhumpura .
3. Sri Priyabrata Barik, owner of vehicle No. OD09B-0110 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Rourkela which is 210kms. The applicant may be considered 20 min after his service.
4. Shri Rajendra Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD11G-2615 is re represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Barkote which is 101kms. The applicant may be considered 20 min after his service.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

290. **ROUTE-** BHADRAK TO ANANDAPUR VIA BONTH, BASANTIA AND BACK, KAILASH CHANDRA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR22E3334.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the kilometers mentioned in application is wrong which may be corrected.


10/6

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Objector Smt. Swastirekha Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD09F-7734 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time from Bhadrakh to Chandabali which is 58 kms. The applicant may be considered 20 min after his service.
2. Objector Shyam Sundar Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD04G-9584 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time from Anandapur to Bhadrak which is 45 kms. The applicant may be considered 20 min after his service.
3. Shri Gagan Bihari Rout, owner of vehicle No.OR22T-6223 is represented by Advocate K.Mohammad stated that there is clash of time from Bhadrak to Chandbali.
4. Shri Kamala Kanta Parida, owner of vehicle No.OR11F-8978 then replaced by OD04H-7284 is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad that there is clash of time from Bhadrak to Chandbali. As senior operator priority of time should be given to them.
5. Shri Balaram Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD22H-0577 stated that at Chandbali, there is clash of time.
6. Basanti Laha, owner of vehicle No. OD22Q 1278 stated that there is clash of time at Bhadrak.


This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

291. **ROUTE-** CHILIKHAMA TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA NACHUNI , TANGI AND BACK, SHANTILATA PATTANAYAK,OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BK0723

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;

1. Smt. Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD32A-4535 has given an online objection stating that there is clash of timing from Balugaon to Bhuabaneswar.
2. Shri L.D.Khuntia, owner of vehicle No.OD02AP-4629 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao stated that there is clash of timing from Balugaon to Bhuabaneswar. Interest of senior operator may be protected.

 10/6

3. Shri Abhaya Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD02M-8885 is represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of time at Balugaon point.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

292. **ROUTE-** BADANGI TO BALIGUDA VIA KALINGA, G. UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD12B0156

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

293. **ROUTE-** BARGARH TO RAIRANGPUR VIA BARKOTE, PALA LAHARHA AND BACK, SAUDAMINI DORA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15H6888

Applicant is absent. This is night service..

There is an objection filed by Indumati Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD11C-8788 stating that there is clash of time at Keonjhar.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

294. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GARUMAHISANI VIA SORO, BALASORE AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR MAHALA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11C0993

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. This should be consider alongwith Sl. No.276 as the vehicle is the same.

Following objectors have given their objection as follows;


1. Shri Dillip Karmakar, owner of vehicle No.OD11-C-0993 is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad stated that there is clash of time from Rairangpur to Bhubaneswar. Priority of seniority may be maintained.
2. Smt. Sushila Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD11M-0076 stated that there is clash of time at Rairangpur. Priority of seniority may be maintained.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

295. **ROUTE-** BINIKEYI TO PARJANGA VIA ANGUL, TALCHER AND BACK, NIHAR RANJAN NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD17A-9855.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri J.N.Mohanty.

One objection has been filed by Sri Sunil Kumar Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD19D-2115 stated that there is clash of time at Talcher.

A handwritten signature in a circle with a horizontal line through it, followed by the date '10/6'.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

296. **ROUTE-** MEGHAJHOLI TO BERHAMPUR, VIABHISMAGIRI, DIGAPAHANDI AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07AK6540

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

There is one objection filed by Sri Radhakanta Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OR07H-0597 through Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur towards Digapahandi.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

297. **ROUTE-** CUTTACK TO JEYPORE VIA BERHAMPUR, RAYAGADA AND BACK, MANJIT BEURIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD33G5225

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. This is night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

298. **ROUTE-** ADAL TO PARADIP VIA KHURDHA, BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, SHACHINDRA SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AH0754

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra. He stated that the applicant has applied up trip slot No.27 from Cuttack and in slot No.65 from Paradeep. He stated that if it is not considered, then he may be given from Cuttack.

There is an objection filed by Jayanti Swain, owner of vehicle No.OD05AZ-6128 stated that the applied route is a rationalised route and the applied route is not vacant.


This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

299. **ROUTE-** GUHALADANGIRI TO CHAMPUA VIA BHANJKIA, RARUAN AND BACK, CHANDAN KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09R2325

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Yudhisthir Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD09M-7445 and OD11A-2087 is represented by Advocate Shri J.N. Mohanty stated that there is clash of time at Champua in respect of vehicle No.OD09M-7445 and at Rairangpur and Jashipur

 10/6

in respect of vehicle No. OD11A-2087. He requested to protect the seniority of the objector.

2. Bibekananda Das, owner of vehicle No.OD11A-1110 is represented by Advocate K. Mohammad stated that there is clash of time at Rairangpur to Jashipur.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

300. **ROUTE-** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) .TO SABA VIA BUDHAMB, KABISURYANAGAR AND BACK, GANGA DHARASUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AS6899

The applicant is represented by Advocate Sri Sabyasachi Mishra.


This may be considered with sl.No.238 together.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows;

1. Smt. Anusaya Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD02-BZ-1199 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty stated that there is clash of time at Aska.
2. Sri Raghunath Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD02J-5810 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B. Rao stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.
3. Shri Amulya Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR02BA-5378 stated that he is operating his service on the route Badamba to Aska via Tangi. Now the applicant has applied to depart Aska 3 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. The applicant may be allowed 20 mins after service of the objector.

This may be considered together with sl.No.238.

 10/6/2022


Transport Commissioner,
Odisha